Neutrino cross sections for shallow- and deep-inelastic scattering

Yu Seon Jeong (Chung-Ang University)

Work with M. H. Reno (Univ. of Iowa) (arXiv: 2307.09241)



Forward Physics Facility theory workshop

September 18-19, 2023



Neutrinos at the FPF



- The neutrinos that reach to the FPF can have energies up to a few TeV.

The LHC produces a large number of neutrinos in the forward direction for all three flavors.

- mainly distributed above 100 GeV, but there are considerable amount in 10s GeV region.

Neutrino interactions



- For E > 100 GeV, neutrinos interact through deep inelastic scattering.
- In the energies of 10 100 GeV, there exist different types of interactions, so there should be the transition regions.
- It is important to understand the cross sections in the transition regions to avoid double counting.

		CCR	NCEL	NCRES		
ν_e	$\bar{ u}_e$	$ u_{\mu}$	$ar{ u}_{m{\mu}}$	$ u_{ au} + ar{ u}_{ au}$	all	all
170	180	1.6k	1.1k	10	170	1.3k
120	140	1.2k	860	10	130	940

Table from FPF White paper: J.Phys.G 50 (2023) 3, 030501



Deep inelastic scattering cross sections

Neutrino-nucleon charged-current (CC) cross section for deep inelastic scattering

$$\frac{d^{2}\sigma^{\nu(\bar{\nu})}}{dx \, dy} = \frac{G_{F}^{2}m_{N}E_{\nu}}{\pi(1+Q^{2}/M_{W}^{2})^{2}} \left(\left(y^{2}x + \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}y}{2E_{\nu}m_{N}}\right)F_{1,CC} + \left[\left(1 - \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}}{4E_{\nu}^{2}}\right) - \left(1 + \frac{m_{N}x}{2E_{\nu}}\right)y \right] F_{2,CC} \right)$$

$$\pm \left[xy\left(1 - \frac{y}{2}\right) - \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}y}{4E_{\nu}m_{N}} \right] F_{3,CC} + \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}(m_{\ell}^{2} + Q^{2})}{4E_{\nu}^{2}m_{N}^{2}x} F_{4,CC} - \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}}{E_{\nu}m_{N}} F_{5,CC} \right)$$

$$Y = (E - E')/E$$

$$V_{1}(k)$$

$$I(k')$$

$$I(k')$$

$$I(k')$$

$$I(k')$$

$$V(k)$$

$$I(k')$$

$$W_{1}(k)$$

$$W_{2}^{2} = Q^{2} \left(\frac{1}{x} - 1\right) + m_{N}^{2}$$

$$W_{2}^{2} = Q^{2} \left(\frac{1}{x} - 1\right) + m_{N}^{2}$$

Rev. D 81 (2010) 114012

 1 GeV^2 all Q^2



Structure functions $F_i(x, Q^2)$

- Structure functions are:
 - essential components in evaluating the DIS cross section.
 - \sim expressed in terms of Bjorken-x and the parton distribution functions (PDF) $q(x, Q^2)$

e.g.
$$F_{2,CC}(x,Q^2) = \sum_{q,q'} 2x(q(x,Q^2) + \bar{q}'(x,Q^2))$$

• not reliable for $Q^2 < 1$ GeV², where the perturbative QCD is not applicable.

- Non-perturbative structure functions at low Q ($Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$)
 - phenomenologically constructed by fitting to the data.
 - (e.g.) Bodek-Yang, CKMT, NNSFV



- ²)) (at LO in pQCD)



Parameterization from fits to the electromagnetic structure function data.

$$F_2^{\text{CKMT}}(x, Q^2) = Ax^{-\Delta(Q^2)}(1-x)^{n(Q^2)+4} \left(\frac{Q^2}{Q^2+a}\right)$$
$$+Bx^{1-\alpha_R}(1-x)^{n(Q^2)} \left(\frac{Q^2}{Q^2+b}\right)$$

Using the same functional form, normalized parameters are modified for neutrino-nuc charged-current scattering.

M. H. Reno, *Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 033001* Y. S. Jeong and M. H. Reno, *arXiv:2307.09241*

A. Capella, A. Kaidalov, C. Merino and J. Tran Thanh Van, Phys. Lett. B 337, 358 (1994)

 $1+\Delta(Q^2)$ $n(Q^2) = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + c} \right)$ $\Delta(Q^2) = \Delta_0 \left(1 + \frac{2Q^2}{Q^2 + d} \right)$ $\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 + f(1-x) \right)$

ation	Δ_0	α_R 0.4150	$a [{\rm GeV}^2]$	$b [\text{GeV}^2]$	$c [\text{GeV}^2]$	$d [{ m GeV}^2]$
	0.07084	0.4100	0.2031	0.0492	0.0409	1.1170
loon		Process	A	B	f	
		$\operatorname{EM} F_2$	0.1502	1.2064	0.15	
		$ u N F_2$	0.5967	2.7145	0.5962	
		$\nu N \ xF_3$	9.3955×10^{-3}	2.4677	0.5962	
		$\bar{\nu}N \ xF_3$	9.3955×10^{-3}	-2.4677	0.5962	





CKMT + PCAC

- limit through F_L .
- According to Kulagin and Petti for the PCAC corrections to F_L at low Q^2 :

$$F_L^{\text{PCAC}} = \frac{f_\pi^2 \sigma_\pi(W^2)}{\pi} f_{\text{PCAC}}(Q^2)$$

$$\sigma_\pi \simeq X(W^2)^{\epsilon} + Y(W^2)^{-\eta_1}, \quad f_{\text{PCAC}}(Q^2) = \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_{\text{PCAC}}^2}\right)^{-2} \text{ with } M_{\text{PCAC}} = 0.8 \text{ GeV}$$

Partially conserved axial-vector current (PCAC) gives non-zero structure function at low Q²

S. A. Kulagin, and R. Petti, *Phys. Rev. D* 76, 094023 (2007)

We further implemented PCAC correction approximately to CKMT parameterizations.



Other structure functions at low Q

- A. Bodek, I. Park, U. K. Yang, Bodek-Yang Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 139, 113 (2005)
 - provides the effective PDFs at low Q² to construct structure functions.
 - GRV98 LO PDF + charged lepton DIS data $F_2(x, Q^2 < 0.8 \,\mathrm{GeV^2}) =$ $K(Q^2) \times F_2(\xi_{\omega}, Q^2 = 0.8 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2)$
- NNSFV
- A. Candido, A. Garcia, G. Magni, T. Rabemananjara, J. Rojo, and R. Stegeman, JHEP 05 (2023) 149
 - machine learning parameterization fitted to neutrino scattering data.

See Tanjona's talk for NNSFV





8

The neutrino-nucleon DIS cross sections



- about 10% difference at 10 GeV and closer at higher energies.
- NNSFnu results are much larger than the CKMT and BY across the energies.

Cross sections with CKMT+PCAC structure functions are similar to the Bodek-Yang results with

Impact of the Wmin



- \blacksquare The impact of W_{\min} appears below a few hundred GeV, and more significant at lower energies.
- The hadronic final states between RES and DIS $(m_N + m_\pi < W_{\min} < 2 \, \text{GeV})$ can affect the cross sections by a few % at 100 GeV and about 30 % at 10 GeV and have larger impact on antineutrinos.
- \blacksquare These results with σ -ratio are approximately the same for nuclear targets.



Impact of the Q_{min}^2 and W_{min}



- The impact of Q_{min}^2 and W_{min} is more evident at low energies.
- (e.g.) For $W_{min} = 1.4$ GeV, the contribution from $Q_{min}^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ to the CC cross section for muon neutrino-nucleon scattering:
 - \sim is less than 5 % at $E_{\nu} = 100 \text{ GeV}$
 - increases to about 25 % at $E_{\nu} = 10$ GeV.

Neutrino cross sections for ν_{μ} / $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ - nucleon scattering

- \blacksquare Cross sections per nucleon normalized with E_{ν}
- Red: CKMT+PCAC-NT with $W_{\min} = m_N + m_{\pi}$
 - Account for all CC cross sections for inelastic collisions beyond quasi-elastic scattering.
 - Very well matched to the experimental data.
- PCAC improves the prediction to have a better agreement with the data.

More comparison with NNSF ν for Fe target

For heavier nuclear target, disagreement between NNSFV and CKMT based result is relieved compared to the nucleon target.

More comparison with NNSF ν ($W_{min} = 2 \text{ GeV}$)

Summary

- \blacksquare We have evaluated neutrino CC DIS cross sections using the structure functions at low Q² and investigated the impact of low Q^2 and low W outside DIS interaction region.
- \blacksquare Although the impact of low W and Q² is negligible for the main energy region of the FPF, it appears below 100 GeV, where the FPF could obtain sufficient events for interaction study.
- There is discrepancy between the low Q structure functions and cross sections (esp. NNSFV).
- More theoretical study on structure functions for low Q/cross sections for low E will be helpful. Also, the FPF could provide the useful data for interaction study in the transition region.

Thank you for your attention

