Electron identification with Convolutional Neural Network in the ATLAS Experiment

Jean-François Arguin, Olivier Denis, Bruna Pascual Dias, Dominique Godin, Hoang Dai Nghia Nguyen 6th Inter-experiment Machine Learning Workshop



Standard Model (SM) of particle physics



- ► A theory that describes elementary particles (fermions) and their interactions (bosons)
 - ► Based on quantum mechanics + special relativity
- Fermions categorized into quarks and leptons
- ► **Quarks**: sensitive to all SM interactions
- ► **Leptons**: sensitive to EM and weak interactions
- ► 3 generations: 3 copies with increasingly larger masses
- **Bosons** describe fundamental interactions
 - Gluon associates with strong interaction
 - \blacktriangleright Z and W^{\pm} bosons associate with weak interaction
 - Photon associates with electromagnetic (EM) interaction
 - ► **Higgs** boson generates mass for all massive particles

ATLAS detector

- ► A multi-purpose detector, designed to study Higgs boson physics, SM precision measurements and new physics searches
- ► Consists of the Inner Detector, Calorimeters and Muon Spectrometer
- Particles produced after collisions leave different signatures at each layer of the detector
- Each particle can be reconstructed and **identified** by combining these signatures from several sub-detectors



- ► The SM is experimentally established with high precision
- ► In 2012, the last piece of the particles in SM picture, the Higgs boson, was discovered by ATLAS + CMS at LHC
- ► However, SM is not yet a complete theory, unsolved questions remain
 - ► What is the composition of dark matter?
 - ► What is the origin of matter-antimatter asymmetry?

hadronic calorimeter

▶ ...

Electron identification

- Electron identification has an important role in a large fraction of ATLAS physics analyses
- ATLAS currently deployed two electron identification techniques:
 - ► Likelihood (LH): has been mainly used since 2012, takes shower shape, track and track-cluster variables as input
 - ► Deep Neural Network (DNN): uses same input variables as the LH, exploit their correlations, recently introduced in Run-3
- Identification can be improved by using image recognition with **Convolutional Neural Network** (CNN)
- ► CNN's input: High-level variables, Additional track variables, and Calorimeter images



Input variables for CNN

- ► Electron candidates are split into six electron classes (**Prompt electrons**, Charge-flip, Photon conversion, Heavy flavor, Light flavor e/γ and Light flavor hadrons) based on their truth information
 - ► In most use-cases, charge-flip electrons are considered as signal, just like the prompt electron class
- ► Other classes are considered as background

CNN Input Variables for Each Track (up to five)

Number of hits in the pixel detector

Number of hits in the SCT detector

Number of hits in the TRT detector

Ratio of the momentum of track *j* to the energy of the electron

 $\Delta \eta$ between the track *j* and the electron candidate position

 $\Delta \phi$ between the track *j* and the electron candidate position

Transverse impact parameter relative to the beamline

Description

candidate

Type

Matching

variables

Number of

- ► Same **High-Level** inputs as LH and DNN plus two ECIDS variables developed for charge-flip identification
- Additional tracks contain important information that is used by the CNN algorithm for up to five tracks
- Calorimeter images represent the mean energy deposited in cells divided by the electron energy (in %)

ATLAS Simulation Preliminary ; $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV ; $ \eta < 1.3$						
P	rompt Electron	Charge Flip	Photon Conversion	Heavy Flavour	Light Flavour e/ γ	Light Flavour Hadron
0.0875 - 0.0500 - C 0.0000 - -0.0500 - -0.0875 -	EM Presampler 10 ² - 10 ¹ - 10 ⁰ - 10 ⁻ - 10 ⁻ 10 ⁻	EM Presampler	EM Presampler	C2 EM Presampler C1 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C1 C0 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2	EM Presampler 1 10 ¹ 1 10 ⁰ 1 10 ⁻¹ 1 10 ⁻² 1 10 ⁻³ 1	EM Presampler 102 103 104 105 106 106 106 106 106 106 106 106
0.0875 - 0.0500 - 0.0000 - -0.0500 - -0.0875 -	EM Barrel L1	EM Barrel L1	EM Barrel L1	0 ² EM Barrel L1 0 ¹ 0 ⁰ - 0 ⁻¹ 0 ⁻² - 0 ⁻³ -	LD EM Barrel L1 1 10 ¹ 1 1 1 10 ⁰ - 1 1 10 ⁻¹ 1 1 1 10 ⁻² 1 1 1 10 ⁻³ - 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} {}_{0}{}_{0$
0.0875 0.0500 - L 0.0000 - -0.0500 - -0.0875	EM Barrel L2	EM Barrel L2	EM Barrel L2	C ² EM Barrel L2 C ⁰ C ⁰	EM Barrel L2	EM Barrel L2 10 ² 10 ¹ 10 ² 10
0.0875 0.0500 - 0.0000 - -0.0500 - -0.0875	EM Barrel L3	EM Barrel L3	EM Barrel L3	EM Barrel L3 01 - 00 - 0-1 - 0-2 - 0-3 -	EM Barrel L3	EM Barrel L3 10 ² 10 ¹ 10 ² 10 ¹ 10 ² 10 ³ 10
0.0875 0.0500 - L 0.0000 - -0.0500 - -0.0875	Tile Barrel L1	Tile Barrel L1	102 Tile Barrel L1 101 1 100 1 100 ⁻¹ 1 10 ⁻² 1 10 ⁻³ 1	0 ² Tile Barrel L1 0 ¹ 0 ⁰ 0 ⁻¹ 0 ⁻² - 0 ⁻³ -	102 Tile Barrel L1 101 1 100 1 10 ⁻¹ 1 10 ⁻² 1 10 ⁻³ 1	D2 D1 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2
0.0875 -	Tile Barrel L2	Tile Barrel L2	Tile Barrel L2	02 Tile Barrel L2	Tile Barrel L2	D ² Tile Barrel L2



Symbol

 p_j/E

 $\Delta \eta_j$

 $\Delta \phi_j$

 $n'_{\rm SCT}$

 $n'_{\rm TRT}$

Convolutional Neural Network architecture

- ► Global architecture has 3 CNNs dedicated to coarse images, fine images and tracks
- First CNN processes images from EM L1 layer in resolution $\eta \times \phi = 56 \times 11$
- Second CNN processes all images from the calorimeter in resolution $\eta \times \phi = 7 \times 11$
- Third CNN uses a 1×1 kernel to process information of additional tracks
- CNNs outputs are concatenated with the high-level variables and linked to a FCN which outputs probability vectors
- Network trained with TensorFlow and Adam optimizer with an adaptative learning rate is used for gradient descent
- More powerful discriminant obtained from each electron class probabilities and their corresponding adjustable weights: $D = \frac{\sum(w_{\rm sig}p_{\rm sig})}{\sum(w_{\rm bkg}p_{\rm bkg})}$ \rightarrow Can be transformed and bounded between 0 and 1 with $D \rightarrow D/(D+1)$
- Values of w_{sig} and w_{bkg} can be customized to target specific background rejection • Perform the best when w_{siq} and w_{bkq} correspond to the actual fractions of the validation sample

Neural Networks Performance

- Excellent separation observed between signal and all background classes, especially in the case of light-flavour hadron
- ► Other classes like heavy flavor or photon conversion are more challenging
- ► Signal = prompt + charge-flip
- ► Four CNN models trained to compare different components of the global architecture
- When all components are used together, best performance achieved

Towards training CNN in data

- CNN has been trained only on electron candidates generated by Monte Carlo simulation
- ► Simulation of fake electron object is imperfect, particularly the





charged hadrons faking electrons since they involve hadronic showers

- ► Additional information (tracks, calorimeter images) used by the CNN might add sensitivity to data/MC differences
- A sample enriched in light-flavour background was designed and driven from data to provide training example for the CNN
- ► Multiple selections are applied on the sample to ensure purity in light flavor background
- ► Light flavor is the most common background in electron identification
- ► Hence, this class is where we expect the most significant improvement.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by IVADO (Institut de valorisation des données)

• Special thanks to the ATLAS group from Université de Genève for providing Monte Carlo training samples

