LCLS-II Commissioning

Dan Gonnella, LCLS-II SC Linac Integration Lead On behalf of the LCLS-II Collaboration

12 June 2024



Stanford University



BOLD PEOPLE VISIONARY SCIENCE REAL IMPACT BOLD PEOPLE VISIONARY SCIENCE REAL IMPACT

Outline LCLS-II Overview

Installation & Cool Down

SRF Cavity Commissioning

Challenges

Summary & Outlook

LCLS-II Overview



LCLS-II Technical Parameters

Performance Measure	Threshold	Objective			
Variable gap undulators	2 (soft and hard x-ray)	2 (soft and hard x-ray)			
Superconducting linac-based FEL system					
Superconducting linac electron beam energy	3.5 GeV	≥4 GeV			
Electron bunch repetition rate	93 kHz	929 kHz			
Superconducting linac charge per bunch	0.02 nC	0.1 nC			
Photon beam energy range	250–3,800 eV	0–5,000 eV			
High repetition rate capable end stations	≥ 1	ve ^a ≥2			
FEL photon quantity (10 ⁻³ BW) per bunch	5x10 ⁸ (10x spontaneous) @2,50 Ach	> 10 ¹¹ @ 3,800 eV			
Normal conducting linac-based syst					
Normal conducting linac electron beam energy	13.6 GeV	15 GeV			
Electron bunch repetition rate	120 Hz	120 Hz			
Normal conducting linac charge per bunch	0.1 nC	0.25 nC			
Photon beam energy range	1–15 keV	1–25k eV			
Low repetition rate capable end stations	≥2	≥ 3			
FEL photon quantity (10 ⁻³ BW ^a) per bunch	10 ¹⁰ (lasing @ 15 keV)	> 10 ¹² @ 15 keV			

LCLS-II Technical Parameters

Performa	nce Measure	Threshold	Objective			
Variable g	ap undulators	2 (soft and hard x-ray)	2 (soft and hard x-ray)			
Superconducting linac-based FEL system						
Superconducting linac	electron beam energy	3.5 GeV	≥ 4 GeV			
Electron bunch repetition	on rate	93 kHz	929 kHz			
Superconducting linac	charge per bunch	0.02 nC	0.1 nC			
Photon beam energy ran	ge	250-3,800 ev	200-5,000 ev			
High repetition rate capal	ble end stations	≥ 1	≥ 2			
FEL photon quantity (10	BDW) par bunch	(10) (appoint appoint a) $(0.2500 eV)$	> 10 ¹¹ @ 3,800 eV			
	Parameter	LCLS-II				
Normal conducting linac	# 1.3 GHz CMs	35	15 GeV			
Electron bunch repetitio	Operating Gradient	16 MV/m	120 Hz			
Normal conducting linac	Required Q ₂ at Operating Gra	dient 2.7x10 ¹⁰	0.25 nC			
Photon beam energy ran	ge	1-15 KeV	1–25k eV			
Low repetition rate capab	ole end stations	≥ 2	≥ 3			
FEL photon quantity (10-3	³ BW ^a) per bunch	10 ¹⁰ (lasing @ 15 keV)	> 10 ¹² @ 15 keV			

LCLS-II Technical Parameters

Performance I	Measure	Threshold	Objective			
Variable gap un	adulators	2 (soft and hard x-ray)	2 (soft and hard x-ray)			
Superconducting linac-based FEL system						
Superconducting linac elect	ron beam energy	3.5 GeV	≥ 4 GeV			
Electron bunch repetition ra	te	93 kHz	929 kHz			
Superconducting linac charged	ge per bunch	0.02 nC	0.1 nC			
Photon beam energy range		250-3,800 ev	200-5,000 ev			
High repetition rate capable er	nd stations	≥ 1	≥ 2			
FEL photon quantity (10-3 DW)	por bunch Ev4	(10) (apoptopoque) $@2.500$ ($)/$	> 10 ¹¹ @ 3,800 eV			
	Parameter	LCLS-II				
Normal conducting linac	# 1.3 GHz CMs	35	15 GeV			
Electron bunch repetitie	Operating Gradient	16 MV/m	120 Hz			
Normal conducting lina Pequired O at Operating Gradient 2 7x1010		0.25 nC				
Photon beam energy range			1–25k eV			
Low repetition rate capable en	d stations	≥ 2	≥ 3			
FEL photon quantity (10 ⁻³ BW ^a) per bunch	10 ¹⁰ (lasing @ 15 keV)	> 10 ¹² @ 15 keV			

Cryomodules constructed at PLs



Nitrogen-Doping for LCLS-II



- LCLS-II constructed 373 nitrogendoped 1.3 GHz cavities
- All cavities were produced with the **2/6 nitrogen-doping** protocol
- Significant procedural improvements were made along the way to achieve reliable good performance

(flux expulsion, fabrication techniques)

2

Installation & Cool Down

Cryomodule Installation

Last CM (spare) Delivered in May 2021



CM Installation Complete February 2021



SLAC D. Gonnella, LCLS-II Commissioning



Cool Down & Pump Down to 2 K



- Cool down of the entire linac was completed in ~5 days!
- A rate of 2-3 K/hour was maintained over that duration
- Cool down was near-fully automated by the cryogenic controls system
 - CD valves were used to maintain rate and safe temperature gradients across the linac
- After multiple attempts, stable operation at 2 K was achieved only 11 days later

Fast Cool Down



Fast cool down of the cavities is critical to achieve High-Q₀

- This is especially challenging in the installed linac where CMs cannot be cooled/warmed independently
- Special tools were developed to automate this process to make it robust and repeatable

18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33

Time Since Start: 0.00 hours

Coloring based on *actual* temperature data during FCD

3

SRF Cavity Commissioning

Cavity Commissioning Process

Each individual cavity went through an identical checkout process:

- 1. Checkout of support systems (SSAs, LLRF, etc.)
- 2. Checkout of auxiliary components (tuner, piezos, coupler, etc.)
- 3. Gradient and field emission characterization
- 4. Individual and full CM stability demonstration
 - 1 hour run for single cavities to define usable gradient
 - 12 hour full CM test



Placement of radiation sensors is similar but *not identical* to placement during CM acceptance testing

Overall SRF Commissioning Status



- Cryomodule commissioning has been very successful
- 97% of installed cavities fully operational (planned 94%)
- Majority of testing included an admin limit of 18 MV/m
- Total commissioned voltage
 exceeds design by >20%

Total Commissioned Cavity Voltage: 4.9 GV

Gradient Performance



Admin limits:

- 18 MV/m in commissioning
- 21 MV/m in acceptance test

- Gradient performance is in line with CM acceptance test measurements at FNAL and JLab
- No observable change in field emission onsets or magnitude from installation
 - Remarkable achievement by the SLAC installation team







- Due to the strong coupling in the CM, Q₀ is measured cryogenically
- Full CM average Q₀ results look promising
- Across the linac an average of 2.8x10¹⁰ has been observed, exceeding the spec of 2.7x10¹⁰
- Low performers can likely be improved by additional CM degaussing

Demonstrates High Q₀ in an installed linac for the first time

Demonstration of Beam Energy

- 1. Stable 3 GeV beam to BSY achieved in October 2022 4
- 2. 3.5 GeV beam with design cavity phases demonstrated at end of November 2022
- 3. 3.5 GeV used for most of the beam

- . 3.75 GeV beam demonstrated in September 2023 to facilitate lasing
- 5. Beam energy lowered to 3.5 GeV since September with higher headroom to facilitate commissioning and



Challenges



Cavity Limitations



- The majority of cavities were limited by guench below ۲ the admin limit of 18 MV/m
 - It is suspected that many of these are limited by ۲ multipacting which could be processed
- About one-quarter of the cavities reached the admin limit
- About one-fifth of the cavities were limited by field emission
- The remaining 2% of cavities are unable to be used:
 - 2 cavities: poor contact between coupler warm and ٠ cold ends
 - 4 cavities: tuners not functioning properly ٠
 - It is expected that all 6 of these cavities could be ٠ repaired in situ at room temperature 22

New Field Emission in CM01

- During commissioning, CM01 was field emission free (no radiation) and operated at ~100 MeV
 - Specific amplitudes are required for optimal injector performance and beam quality
- In October 2023, new field emission was found in four cavities in CM01, suggesting contamination was introduced

- Exact cause is currently unknown: no specific events in beamline vacuum were found that would explain particle migration or gas bursts
- Operating energy has been reduced to ~80 MeV
 - Required to run below the optimal energy for the laser heater



- One of the cryomodule strings, consisting of 20 CMs shows significantly higher static heat loads than were expected
- This is in part due to a leak from the cryogenic system to the insulating vacuum of the cryomodules
- Overall, an additional 200 W of static heat has been observed compared with what was expected
- While this limits the overall cryogenic capacity, the **beam energy program has not been impacted**
- Plans are underway to repair this issue during an extended down period starting in summer 2025

	Expected Static Heat Load	Observed Static Heat Load
LO-L2	9 W / CM \rightarrow 150 W	9 W / CM → 150 W
L3-UPSTREAM	9 W / CM \rightarrow 90 W	17 W / CM \rightarrow 170 W
L3-DOWNSTREAM	9 W / CM \rightarrow 90 W	16 W / CM \rightarrow 160 W
TOTAL CM STATIC	330 W	500 W
	1	

Likely leak location



Power Outages

- SLAC has experienced three site-wide power outages since LCLS-II commissioning began
- Combined with the static heat load issues, uncontrolled
 warm up of the linac occurred in each of these instances
- This results in loss of helium and risk of damage to CMs

- In the most serious case, in March of 2023, the linac warmed to room temperature
- Additionally, multiple public safety power shutoff threats have resulted in shutting the beam program down out of an abundance of caution



5

Summary & Outlook

Up Next: LCLS-II-HE



3-15 GeV Cu Linac 🛛 ——

- 1. Add 23 additional cryomodules (L4 linac) to double the LCLS-II accelerator energy: 4 GeV to 8 GeV
- 2. Install new cryogenic distribution box and transfer line between the cryoplant and the new L4 linac
- 3. New long period soft X-ray undulator
- 4. Upgrade XPP instrument & hard X-ray photon beamlines for high average power and MHz beam rates
- 5. Construct new tunnel for future Low Emittance Injector (LEI)
- 6. Develop prototype SRF gun for the LEI

LCLS-II-HE Cavity Performance



Summary

- LCLS-II project commissioning is now complete and the SC Linac is facilitating user science
- Cavity performance has been excellent with NO DEGRADATION FROM
 INSTALLATION
- Average Q₀ exceeds the LCLS-II specification and demonstrates high-Q₀ in an installed linac for the first time
- Challenges were encountered along the way but the linac is running stably to support the science program
- LCLS-II-HE will further increase the performance of the SC Linac in the next couple of years



Special thanks to the entire LCLS-II collaboration for all their hard work to make this possible!



Thanks for your attention!



Fermilab













Backup

Fast Cool Down Results



- What we really care about is the cool down gradient not the rate – faster usually means larger gradients
- Two installed CMs have temperature sensors located on the cavity cells
- Gradients from the SLAC fast cool down and testing at FNAL could be compared to gauge how "successful" we were
- Non-optimized cool down results in lower ΔT than achieved



Sufficient cool downs for High Q₀ achieved at SLAC