CERN Accelerator Controls GUI Strategy: Status & Plans

Stephane Deghaye, CERN

Purpose of the GUI Strategy

- We need a GUI Strategy in order to manage 2 risks that could impact beam time and future evolutions of the accelerators
 - 1. Breaking applications
 - 2. Difficult (if not impossible) to maintain & extend applications

Types of applications & Target users

- Equipment experts need to configure, maintain, control, tune, visualize, diagnose, and monitor their equipment
- → Need to develop specific applications
- **Operations crews** need to **operate**, **optimise** and **supervise** accelerators, and the complex systems they are made of, and **automate** repetitive tasks
- → Need to develop operation-use-case-driven applications

Types of applications & Target users

- Operations (OP) also need to diagnose, and monitor the equipment
- ➔Applications not developed for them end up in the control room & this can (and does) create issues and the usability is sub-optimal

What triggers changes?

• For all groups

- Hardware changes (evolution & renovation)
- Expert needs (developments & operation)
- OP needs (to increase efficiency & new operational requirements)
- Bug fixes
- Evolution of Controls solutions & frameworks
- Evolution of underlying technologies (software & hardware)



Purpose of the GUI Strategy - Reminder

- We need a GUI Strategy in order to manage 2 risks that could impact beam time and future evolutions of the accelerators
 - 1. Breaking applications
 - 2. Difficult (if not impossible) to maintain & extend applications

Controlled follow-up of the technology evolution

GUI technologies



May break at any time



→ Reassuring that we are all (almost) going in a similar direction

GUI development offering

- The GUI strategy comes together with a GUI Development Offering
- Key aims:
 - Keep under control the potentially huge expense due to obsolete technologies that would require rewrite of the applications
 - Reduce the cost of GUI developments in the long run, for both creation and maintenance of applications
- Several coherent solutions:
 - 2 no-code application platforms: WRAP & NavPy
 - 2 application frameworks: Accsoft-Commons-Web (ACW) with Angular & PyUI with PyQt

GUI development solutions - Platforms

- From the outset, the platforms aim to build on the success of an old Java-Swing-based platform (aka **Inspector**)
 - Reduce the number of full-fledged specific applications
 - Facilitate the development thanks to no-code/low-code approach (domain focus)
 - Reduce the exposure to technology changes

• WRAP

- Web-based, available from anywhere (on CERN's network)
- Integrated with high-level services (setting management, archiving, etc.)
- High-level of customisation

• NavPy

- Desktop application, low-level access, available on 1st day of RT software development
- Low-level tools for experts and diagnostics (cycle comparison, copy, etc.)
- Flexible layout with many viewers

GUI development solutions - Frameworks

- The frameworks aim to facilitate the development thanks to:
 - Pre-selection and integration of technologies (Angular, Java, Spring Boot)
 - Integration with the Control System (role-based access, Timing, etc.) & libraries of widgets
 - Simplified software-engineering processes
- PyUI based on PyQt
 - Desktop applications
 - Library of controls-aware widgets
 - Based on in-depth user interviews over the summer \rightarrow clear plans for the next year
- ACW based on Angular
 - Web-based applications
 - Angular (web front-end) & Java with Spring Boot (back-end)
 - Many generic components already available
 - Used in all recent Controls web apps

Java Swing's Future @ CERN

• Swing is part of the core of Java

 \rightarrow Unlikely that Oracle manages to get rid of it

- Swing was released in the late 90; that's ~25 years ago
- At the end of the decade, very little knowledge of Swing will remain in the central controls group (Java expertise remains)
- It's unrealistic to plan to train 1 or 2 young engineers for the **evolutive** maintenance of Swing components.
- However, maintaining the Swing code base as-is must (and can) be done until the end of LHC
- Therefore, even though Swing will be supported, it is not recommended to use it for any new developments

Java Swing

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \mathbf{O}

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

SY-ABT

SY-BI

BE-CEM

SY-EPC

TE-MPE

BE-OP

SY-RF

Java FX

 \checkmark

 \mathbf{O}

 \mathbf{O}

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \odot

Which solution to choose for Java GUIs?



Conclusions

- The strategy for GUIs was reviewed in a recent workshop at CERN
- Most of the clients support it!
- → Overall, they would like that we deliver the new solutions faster
- For some communities, it's hard to see Swing not being recommended
- ➔ With tens (hundreds) applications in Swing, we foresee to maintain it for at least the next 15 years (lifetime of the LHC)