#### Measurement of WW Cross Section at 7 TeV with the ATLAS Detector at LHC

Haijun Yang (on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration)



APS/DPF Meeting, Brown University August 9-13, 2011

# Outline

- ➢ WW Production at LHC
- ➤ WW Event Selection
- Background Estimations
- Sources of Systematic Uncertainties
- WW Fiducial and Total Cross Sections
- ➤ Summary

### **WW Production at LHC**

qq'  $\rightarrow$  WW production  $\sigma_{\text{NLO}}$  = (44.92 ± 2.25) pb at 7 TeV



gg  $\rightarrow$  WW contributes additional ~3% of WW event rate : 1.3 pb



- ♦ Major background to SM Higgs  $\rightarrow$  WW search
- Sensitive to new physics through anomalous TGC
- Experimental signature: two isolated leptons with large MET
- Major backgrounds: W/Z + jets, ttbar, single top

## WW Analysis using 2010 Data

- ➢ Based on 34 pb<sup>-1</sup> integrated luminosity at 7 TeV
  - Solution Soluti Solution Solution Solution Solution Solution Solution Solu
  - > Expected signal: 6.85  $\pm 0.07 \pm 0.66$
  - > Expected background: 1.68  $\pm 0.37 \pm 0.42$
  - → WW:  $\sigma_{W^+W^-} = 41^{+20}_{-16}(stat.) \pm 5(syst.) \pm 1(lumi.) pb$

Published: Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 041802 (2011)



WW Cross Section - H. Yang

# Major Challenges in 2011 Data





#### ➢ Higher luminosity (~1.75×10<sup>33</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>)

- ➢ higher pileup, more backgrounds from Drell-Yan, Top etc.
- Corrections on JES, MET, lepton isolation
- Needs better understanding of systematic uncertainties

# Major $\ell^+\ell^-$ + E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> Backgrounds







#### • W+jets

- W leptonic decay produces a charged lepton and large missing  $E_T$ .
- Associated jets can fake a second charged lepton.
- > Suppressed by lepton identification.

#### **Drell-Yan**

- high  $P_T$  charged lepton pairs produced from leptonic decays of Drell-Yan bosons.
- Missing  $E_T$  either from mis-measurement of leptons or of associated jets, or from  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ .
- > Reduced by Z mass veto and missing  $E_T$  cut.

#### о Тор

- WW pairs produced in *tt* or single top processes.
- > Rejected by vetoing on high- $P_T$  jets.

#### Di-boson (*WZ,ZZ,W/Z*+γ)

- Leptons from boson decays or faked by photons.
- Missing  $E_T$  from neutrino production or  $e/\mu$  escape.
- Suppressed by the criteria mentioned above plus the requirement of exactly two high P<sub>T</sub> charged leptons.

# **WW Event Selection**

#### Remove Drell-Yan Background:

- > Exact two leptons with opposite sign charge,  $p_{T}^{\ell}$  > 20 GeV
- >  $|M_{\parallel} M_{z}| > 15 GeV$  for ee and  $\mu\mu$  channels
- >  $M_{\parallel}$  >15GeV for ee and  $\mu\mu$ , and  $M_{\parallel}$  > 10 GeV for e $\mu$  channel



WW Cross Section - H. Yang

### **WW Event Selection**

#### Further remove Drell-Yan and Wjets/QCD:



MET<sup>Rel</sup> distributions after Z mass veto cut



WW Cross Section - H. Yang

#### Jet Veto to Remove Top Background no jet with $E_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4.5$





WW Cross Section - H. Yang

### **W+Jets Background Estimation**

#### Data driven method to estimate W + Jets

– Define a fake factor f :

 $f_l \equiv \frac{N_{lepton ID}}{N_{Jet-Rich ID}} \longrightarrow$  using di-jet samples in data

- W+jet background contributes to WW selection:

 $N_{W+jet Bkg} = f_l \times N_{lepton ID + Jet-Rich ID}$ 

$$N_{W+jet Bkg}^{e\mu-ch} = f_e \times N_{\mu ID + Jet-Rich e} + f_{\mu} \times N_{elec. ID + Jet-Rich \mu}$$

#### Checked with an independent data driven matrix method

	Estimated <i>W</i> +jets background
Channel	from Data
ee-channel	$5.3 \pm 0.4(\text{stat}) \pm 1.7(\text{syst})$
$e\mu$ -channel (e fake)	$8.1 \pm 0.5(stat) \pm 2.9(syst)$
Sum of e fake background	$13.4 \pm 0.6(stat) \pm 4.6(syst)$
$\mu\mu$ -channel	$12.4 \pm 2.9(stat) \pm 5.2(syst)$
$e \ \mu$ -channel ( $\mu$ fake)	$24.7 \pm 3.8(\text{stat}) \pm 8.7(\text{syst})$
Sum of $\mu$ fake background	$37.1 \pm 4.8(\text{stat}) \pm 14.0(\text{syst})$
Sum of $e\mu$ -channel	32.9±3.8(stat)±9.2(syst)
$ee + \mu\mu + e\mu$ -channel	50.5±4.8(stat)±14.7(syst)



#### **Drell-Yan Background Estimation**

Data-Driven Method (DDM):

 $N_{DY}^{out}(estimated) = N_{DYDATA}^{in} \times R_{out/in}; here R_{out/in} = \frac{N_{DYMC}^{out}}{N_{DYMC}^{in}}$ 

MC closure test: good agreement between input and estimated DY background has been observed

	ee	μμ	eμ
MC	$18.7 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.9$	$19.2 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.1$	$16.0 \pm 2.8 \pm 1.7$
DDM	$18.2 \pm 3.4$	$20.1\pm3.6$	-

Drell-Yan is estimated from Alpgen MC prediction. Systematic uncertainty (~10.4%) is determined by comparing MET<sup>rel</sup> distributions from Data and MC using Z control sample

$$S(E_{\rm T, Rel}^{\rm miss}cut) = \frac{N_{\rm MC}(E_{\rm T, Rel}^{\rm miss}cut) - N_{\rm data}(E_{\rm T, Rel}^{\rm miss}cut)}{N_{DY}(E_{\rm T, Rel}^{\rm miss}cut)}$$





# **Top Background Estimation**

- \* Top background is estimated using a *semi-data-driven method*:
  - ♦  $N_{jet} \ge 2$ : Control region is dominated by Top background
  - ★ Assuming fraction of Top events with N<sub>jet</sub> = 0 and N<sub>jet</sub> ≥ 2 are similar in MC and data
  - Advantage: uncertainties on luminosity and the top cross sections are cancelled out in the MC ratio

$$N_{\text{Top}}^{\text{Estimated}}(N_{\text{jet}} = 0) = N_{\text{Top}}^{\text{MC}}(N_{\text{jet}} = 0) \times \frac{N_{\text{data}}(\text{control region})}{N_{\text{Top}}^{\text{MC}}(\text{control region})} \stackrel{\text{\&}}{\longrightarrow}$$

- Estimated Top in signal region (N<sub>jet</sub>=0)
   58.6±2.1 (stat)±22.3 (syst, from JES)
  - Cross-checked with b-tagged Top control sample to estimate Top background
- ✤ MC Expectation: 56.7



data/MC

# WW Selected Events (1.02 fb<sup>-1</sup>)

Final State	$e^+e^-E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$	$\mu^+\mu^- E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$	$e^\pm \mu^\mp E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$	Combined
Observed Events	74	97	243	414
Background estimations				
Top(data-driven)	$9.5 {\pm} 0.3 {\pm} 3.6$	$12.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 4.7$	$36.8 {\pm} 1.3 {\pm} 14.0$	$58.6 {\pm} 2.1 {\pm} 22.3$
W+jets (data-driven)	$5.3 {\pm} 0.4 {\pm} 1.7$	$12.4 \pm 2.9 \pm 5.2$	$32.9 \pm 3.8 \pm 9.2$	$50.5{\pm}4.8{\pm}14.7$
Drell-Yan (MC/data-driven)	$18.7 {\pm} 1.9 {\pm} 1.9$	$19.2 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.1$	$16.0{\pm}2.8{\pm}1.7$	$54.0{\pm}3.7{\pm}4.5$
Other dibosons (MC)	$0.9{\pm}0.1{\pm}0.1$	$2.4{\pm}0.2{\pm}0.3$	$3.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4$	$6.8 {\pm} 0.4 {\pm} 0.8$
Total Background	$34.4 \pm 2.0 \pm 4.4$	46.3±3.4±7.3	89.1±4.9±16.8	$169.8 \pm 6.4 \pm 27.1$
Expected WW Signal	29.5±0.3±3.0	52.5±0.4±4.9	$150.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 13.4$	$232.4 \pm 0.9 \pm 21.5$
Significance $(S/\sqrt{B})$	5.0	7.7	15.9	17.8



WW Cross Section - H. Yang

#### **Kinematic Distributions of WW Candidates**





WW Cross Section - H. Yang

#### **Sources of Systematic Uncertainties**

	Sources	$e^+e^-E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	$\mu^+\mu^- E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$	$e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$
	Luminosity	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%
	Cross-section (theory)	5%	5%	5%
	PDF	1.2%	1.4%	1.4%
	Trigger	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Lanton nooon Fff	Lepton $p_T$ smearing	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
E/P scale / smearing	Reco eff. scale factors	1.4%	0.0%	0.7%
0	$E_T/p_T$ scale correction	0.9%	0.0%	0.4%
Lepton ID and	Particle ID eff. scale factors	3.3%	1.4%	1.6%
Isolation Eff.	Isolation	4.0%	2.0%	3.0%
Missing Transverse	$E_T^{miss}$ in-time contribution	3.5%	3.9%	1.4%
Energy uncertainty	$E_T^{miss}$ out-of-time contribution	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%
	Jet-veto	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%
Dominant Syst	Total experimental uncertainty	8.1%	6.7%	6.2%
Uncertainties	Overall uncertainty			
	for WW signal estimation	10.3%	9.2%	8.9%

### **WW Fiducial Phase Space**

- Measure "fiducial" cross section to minimize the dependence on theoretical prediction. The WW fiducial phase space requirements:
  - muon cuts:  $p_{\rm T} > 20$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.4$
  - electron cuts:  $p_{\rm T} > 20$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 1.37$  or  $1.52 < |\eta| < 2.47$ 
    - leading electron in *ee* channel and electron in  $e\mu$  channel:  $p_T > 25$  GeV
  - jet cuts:  $p_{\rm T} > 30$  GeV, |y| < 4.5,  $\Delta R(e, \text{jet}) > 0.3$
  - event cuts:
    - $\mu\mu$  channel:  $p_{T,Rel}^{\nu+\bar{\nu}} > 45$  GeV,  $m_{\mu\mu} > 15$  GeV and  $|m_{\mu\mu} m_Z| > 15$  GeV
    - *ee* channel:  $p_{T,Rel}^{\nu+\bar{\nu}} > 40$  GeV,  $m_{ee} > 15$  GeV and  $|m_{ee} m_Z| > 15$  GeV

- 
$$e\mu$$
 channel:  $p_{T,Rel}^{\nu+\bar{\nu}} > 25 \text{ GeV}, m_{e\mu} > 10 \text{ GeV}$ 

$$A_{WW} = \frac{N_{MC}^{fiducial}}{N_{MC}^{total}}$$
$$C_{WW} = \frac{N_{MC}^{selected}}{N_{MC}^{fiducial}}$$

$$\varepsilon_{WW} = A_{WW} \times C_{WW}$$

Channels	$A_{WW}  imes C_{WW}$	$A_{WW}$	$C_{WW}$
evev	$0.039 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.004$	$0.090 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.007$	$0.432 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.035$
μνμν	$0.069 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.006$	$0.086 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.005$	$0.802 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.066$
evμv	$0.100 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.008$	$0.167 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.011$	$0.596 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.040$
		Stat. error Sy	st. error

# **WW Fiducial Cross Section**

- ♦ The WW fiducial phase space acceptance  $A_{WW}$  and correction factor  $C_{WW}$ 
  - ✤ Systematic uncertainties of A<sub>WW</sub> include
    - ◆ PDF uncertainty (~1.2% 1.4%)
    - Renormalization and factorization scales uncertainty ( $\sim 1.5\% 5.3\%$ )
    - Parton shower/fragmentation modeling uncertainty (~4.8%)
  - Systematic uncertainties of  $C_{WW}$  include (slide p17)
    - Uncertainty associated with jet veto cut is replaced by JES uncertainty (~4.5%)
    - ✤ Renormalization and factorization scales uncertainty (~2.0%)

The measured WW fiducial cross sections in three dilepton channels.

$$L(\sigma_{WW}^{i,fid}) = \ln \frac{e^{-(N_s^i + N_b^i)} \times (N_s^i + N_b^i)^{N_{obs}^i}}{N_{obs}^i!}, \ N_s^i = \sigma_{WW \to \ell \nu \ell \nu}^i \times \mathscr{L} \times C_{WW}^i$$

Channels	expected $\sigma^{fid}$ (fb)	measured $\sigma^{fid}$ (fb)	$\Delta \sigma_{stat}$ (fb)	$\Delta \sigma_{syst}$ (fb)	$\Delta \sigma_{lumi}(\mathrm{fb})$
evev	66.8	90.1	$\pm 18.9$	$\pm 11.3$	$\pm$ 3.3
μνμν	63.8	62.0	$\pm 12.1$	$\pm 10.7$	$\pm 2.3$
evμv	245.1	252.0	$\pm 24.6$	$\pm$ 29.4	$\pm 9.3$

# **WW Production Cross Section**

★ The total WW production cross section is determined from three dilepton channels ( $e^+e^-$ ,  $\mu^+\mu^-$ ,  $e\mu + E_T^{miss}$ ) by maximizing the log-likelihood function using 1.02 fb<sup>-1</sup> data.

_	$L(\sigma_{WW}^{tot}) = \ln$	$n\prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{e^{-(N_{s}^{i}+N_{b}^{i})} \times (N_{s}^{i}+N_{b}^{i})}{N_{obs}^{i}!}$	$\frac{(i_{b})^{N_{obs}^{i}}}{N_{s}^{i}}$ , $N_{s}^{i}$ =	$\sigma_{WW}^{tot}  imes Br^i$	$ imes \mathscr{L}  imes \pmb{arepsilon}^i_{WW}$
-	Channels	Total cross-section (pb)	$\Delta \sigma_{stat}(pb)$	$\Delta \sigma_{syst}(pb)$	$\Delta \sigma_{lumi}(\text{pb})$
-	evev	62.1	$\pm$ 13.5	$\pm 9.1$	$\pm 2.3$
	μνμν	44.7	$\pm$ 8.7	$\pm$ 7.7	$\pm$ 1.7
	evμv	47.3	$\pm$ 4.8	$\pm$ 6.2	$\pm 1.8$
_	Combined	48.2	$\pm$ 4.0	$\pm$ 6.4	$\pm 1.8$

- \* Fitted  $\sigma_{WW}$  = 48.2 ± 4.0 (stat) ± 6.4 (syst) ± 1.8 (lumi) pb
  - Dominated by systematic uncertainties, mainly come from uncertainties of data driven background estimations

#### \* NLO SM prediction: $\sigma_{WW}$ (SM) = 46 ±3 (theory) pb

https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/CONFNOTES/ATLAS-CONF-2011-110/

# Summary

- \* The WW production cross section and fiducial cross section are measured using three dilepton channels ( $e^+e^-$ ,  $\mu^+\mu^-$ ,  $e\mu + E_T^{miss}$ ).
- Total integrated luminosity of 1.02 fb<sup>-1</sup> data collected by the ATLAS detector in 2011 are used for this analysis. 414 WW candidates are observed, 232 WW signal and 170 backgrounds events are expected.
- The measured WW cross section is consistent with NLO SM prediction (46 ±3 pb):

 $\sigma_{WW} = 48.2 \pm 4.0 \text{ (stat)} \pm 6.4 \text{ (syst)} \pm 1.8 \text{ (lumi) pb}$ 

We expect to extract limits on anomalous TGC (WWγ, WWZ) based on 1.02 fb<sup>-1</sup> data soon.

# **Backup Slides**

### WW $\rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$ Signal Acceptance

Cuts	ee Channel		$\mu\mu$ Channel		eµ Channel	
	evev	$ au  u \ell  u$	μνμν	$ au  u \ell  u$	evμv	$ au  u \ell  u$
Total Events	552.3	211.4	552.3	211.4	1104.5	423.1
2 leptons (SS+OS)	116.6	11.8	229.0	25.5	332.7	35.5
2 leptons (OS)	115.7	11.6	229.0	25.5	331.3	35.3
leading electron $Pt > 25 GeV$	114.4	11.4	-	-	305.5	30.2
trigger matching	114.2	11.4	231.9	25.8	305.3	30.2
$M_{\ell\ell} > 15 \text{ GeV}, M_{e\mu} > 10 \text{ GeV}$	113.5	11.3	229.7	25.6	304.5	30.1
Z mass veto	88.2	8.4	176.6	19.0	-	-
$E_{\rm T, Rel}^{\rm miss}$ cut	38.6	2.9	69.7	5.2	193.2	16.1
Jet veto (Num of Jet=0)	27.8	1.7	49.4	3.1	139.6	10.9
$W^+W^-$ Acceptance	5.0%	0.8%	8.9%	1.5%	12.6%	2.6%

- The numbers are normalized to the data integrated luminosity of 1.02 fb<sup>-1</sup> using the SM W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>-</sup> cross sections.
- \* MC efficiency correction factors ( $\epsilon_{data}/\epsilon_{MC}$  ) have been applied.

# **ATLAS Detector**



WW Cross Section - H. Yang

### Data. Trigger, Physics Objects



#### GRL (35.2 pb<sup>-1</sup>)

#### **Trigger:**

Single e with  $E_T > 15 \text{ GeV}$ Single m with  $p_T > 13 \text{ GeV}$ Efficiency plateau  $E_T(p_T) > 20 \text{ GeV}$ Dilepton  $\epsilon(data)/\epsilon(MC) = 1.0 (\sigma_{syst} < 0.1\%)$ 

#### **Primary vertex:**

Vertex with max. sum track  $p_T^2$   $N_{track} > = 3$  (with  $p_T > 150$  MeV) Two leptons from primary vertex MC pile-up reweighted to reproduce data

#### 'RobusterTight' electron

 $\begin{array}{l} {\sf E}_{\sf T} > 20 \; {\sf GeV}; \; |\eta| < 2.5, \; (\text{remove [1.37--1.52]}) \\ {\sf Isolation: \; Sum \; {\sf E}_{\sf T}{}^i_{\sf Cone=0.3} < 6 \; {\sf GeV} \\ {\sf d0}/{\sigma}{\sf d0} < 10; \; |z0| < 10 \; {\sf mm} \\ {\epsilon}({\sf data})/{\epsilon}({\sf MC}) = 0.97 \; (\text{with } \sigma_{syst} \sim 5.3\%) \end{array}$ 

#### 'Combined' Muon:

#### Jet:

Anti-Kt, R = 0.4;  $|\eta| < 3.0$ ;  $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$ Discarded if  $\Delta R$  (jet, electron) < 0.2 Jet veto SF = 0.97 (with  $\sigma_{syst} \sim 6.0\%$ )

#### E<sub>T</sub>miss:

MET\_LocHadTopo ( $|\eta|$ <4.5), account for  $\mu$ 's

 $E_{T, \text{ Rel}}^{miss} = \begin{cases} E_T^{miss} \times \sin\left(\Delta\phi_{\ell,j}\right) & \text{if } \Delta\phi < \pi/2\\ E_T^{miss} & \text{if } \Delta\phi \ge \pi/2 \end{cases}$ 

WW Cross Section - H. Yang

# **Diboson Production Cross Sections**



(\*)  $E_T^{\gamma} > 7$  GeV and  $\Delta R(\ell, \gamma) > 0.7$ , for W/Z e/ $\mu$  decay channels only (#)  $E_T^{\gamma} > 10$  GeV and  $\Delta R(\ell, \gamma) > 0.7$ , for W/Z e/ $\mu$  decay channels only

→ Diboson production rates at LHC (7 TeV) are ~3-5 times of Tevatron

→  $\sqrt{s}$  at LHC is higher than Tevatron (3.5x-7x) which greatly enhances the detection sensitivity to anomalous triple-gauge-boson couplings

#### **Generic Search for New Particles** with Diboson through VBF Process

- Vector-Boson Fusion (VBF) Process:  $qq \rightarrow q_{tag} q_{tag} V V (V = W, Z)$ 
  - Two vector bosons with two tagged jets in F/B regions
  - Production rate ~ 2.5% of qq  $\rightarrow$  WW (WHIZARD, PDF MRST2004)
- An example of ATLAS sensitivity to a 850 GeV spin-zero resonance produced in VBF process (at 14 TeV).



WW Cross Section - H. Yang

### Search for new physics through Anomalous TGCs with Diboson Events

• Effective Lagrangian with charged/neutral triple-gauge-boson interactions

$$L/g_{WWV} = ig_1^V (W_{\mu\nu}^* W^{\mu} V^{\nu} - W_{\mu\nu} W^{*\mu} V^{\nu}) + ik^V W_{\mu}^* W_{\nu} V^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i\lambda^V}{M_W^2} W_{\rho\mu}^* W_{\nu}^{\mu} V^{\nu\rho}$$

$$L = -\frac{e}{M_Z^2} [f_4^V(\partial_\mu V^{\mu\beta}) Z_\alpha(\partial^\alpha Z_\beta) + f_5^V(\partial^\sigma V_{\sigma\mu}) \tilde{Z}^{\mu\beta} Z_\beta]$$

- The anomalous parameters:  $\Delta g_1^{Z}$ ,  $\Delta \kappa_z$ ,  $\lambda_z$ ,  $\Delta \kappa_\gamma$ ,  $\lambda_\gamma$ ,  $f_4^{Z}$ ,  $f_5^{Z}$ ,  $f_4^{\gamma}$ ,  $f_5^{\gamma}$ ,  $h_3^{Z}$ ,  $h_4^{Z}$ ,  $h_3^{\gamma}$ ,  $h_4^{\gamma}$
- Complementary studies through different Diboson channels ( $\hat{\mathbf{s}} = M^2_{vv}$ )

Production	$\Delta \kappa_{z}, \Delta \kappa_{\gamma} \text{ term}$	∆g <sub>1</sub> <sup>z</sup> term	$\lambda_{z}, \lambda_{\gamma}$ term
WW	grow as ŝ	grow as \$ <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	grow as ŝ
WZ	grow as $\hat{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}$	grow as ŝ	grow as ŝ
Wγ	grow as $\hat{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}$		grow as ŝ

# **Limits on Anomalous Couplings**

		λ	Z	$\Delta \kappa_z$		$\Delta \mathbf{g_1^z}$		$\Delta \kappa_{\gamma}$	$\lambda_{\gamma}$
١	VW (D0, 1.1fb <sup>-1</sup> )	λ <sub>Z</sub> =	= λ <sub>γ</sub>	$\Delta \kappa_z = \Delta \kappa_\gamma$		[-0.14, 0.30]		[-0.54, 0.83]	[-0.14, 0.18]
١	VW (LEP)	λ <sub>z</sub> =	= λ <sub>γ</sub>	$ = \lambda_{\gamma} \qquad \Delta \kappa_{z} = \Delta g_{1}^{Z} \\ tan^{2} \theta_{y} $		[-0.051,0.034]		[-0.105,0.069]	[-0.059,0.026]
١	NZ (D0, 4.1fb <sup>-1</sup> )	[-0.075	,0.093]	[-0.376,0.0	686]	[-0.053,0.15	6]		
١	NZ (CDF, 1.9fb <sup>-1</sup> )	[-0.14	,0.15]	[-0.81,1.2	29]	[-0.14,0.25	5]		
١	Wγ (D0, 0.7 fb <sup>-1</sup> )							[-0.51,0.51]	[-0.12,0.13]
	$\Lambda$ = 1.2 TeV			f <sub>4</sub> <sup>Z</sup>	.12] [-0.13,0.12]			<b>f</b> <sub>4</sub> γ	$f_5^{\gamma}$
	ZZ (CDF, 1.9fb <sup>-1</sup> )		[-0.1	2,0.12]				-0.10,0.10]	[-0.11,0.11]
	ZZ (D0, 1.1fb <sup>-1</sup> )		[-0.2	28,0.28]	[-0	[-0.31,0.29]		-0.26,0.26]	[-0.30,0.28]
	ZZ (LEP combine	d)	[-0.3	80,0.30]	[-0	[-0.34,0.38]		-0.17,0.19]	[-0.32,0.36]
	$\Lambda$ = 1.5 TeV			h <sub>3</sub> <sup>z</sup>		h <sub>4</sub> <sup>z</sup>		h <sub>3</sub> γ	h₄γ
	Zγ (CDF, 5.0fb <sup>-1</sup> )		[-0.017,0.0167]		[-0.0	006,0.0005]	[-	-0.017,0.016]	[-0.0006,0.0006]
	Zγ (D0, 3.6fb <sup>-1</sup> )		[-0.03	33,0.033]	[-0.0	017,0.0017]	[-	-0.033,0.033]	[-0.0017,0.0017]
	Zγ (LEP combined	d)	[-0.3	30,0.30]	[-(	0.34,0.38]	).34,0.38]		[-0.32,0.36]