

DPF-Providence August 10, 2011 Jose Alonso MIT





Use decay-at-rest neutrino beams, and the planned 300 kton H<sub>2</sub>O detector (Gd doped) at the Deep Underground Science & Engineering Laboratory to search for CP violation in the neutrino sector

<u>DAESALUS</u>

## DAE<br/> **ALUS** Concept

### THESIS

- A new generation of cyclotron-based neutrino sources for decay-at-rest (from pi-mu chain) can become valuable research tools
- TECHNOLOGY GOALS
- Compact
- Cost-effective
- Efficient, reliable, economical to operate



## **Collaboration Resources:**

### DAEδALUS co-spokespersons:

- Janet Conrad, MIT
- Mike Shaevitz, Columbia
- Accelerator Team:
- Luciano Calabretta, LNS-Catania
- Bill Barletta, MIT
- Andreas Adelmann, PSI
- Jose Alonso, MIT
- Thx to:
- Georgia Karagiorgi, Columbia



# Outline

- Physics basis for DAE $\delta$ ALUS experiment
- Description of experiment
- Sensitivity studies
- Complementarity between DAE $\delta$ ALUS and LBNE
- Accelerator requirements/options for DAE $\delta$ ALUS
- Accelerator design based on H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>
- Status and planned work
- Summary



# Neutrino Oscillation and $\delta_{\text{CP}}$

Potential CP-violation in the lepton sector is accessible through:



 $\delta \rightarrow -\delta$  for neutrinos  $\rightarrow$  antineutrinos

$$\Delta_{ij} = \Delta m_{ij}^2 L/4E_{\nu}$$



### Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment (LBNE)



### LBNE – Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment

Beam from Fermilab

### Aimed at detectors in South Dakota









## **Decay-At-Rest Source**



- NO electron anti-neutrinos!
  - $\overline{\nu_e}$  contribution ( $\pi^-$  decay) is insignificant: <10<sup>-2</sup>%

![](_page_8_Picture_4.jpeg)

# DAE $\delta$ ALUS Experiment

Uses multiple π+ and μ+ decay-at-rest neutrino beams, and the planned 300 kton H2O detector (Gd-doped) at the Deep Underground Science & Engineering Laboratory

AEδALUS

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

Nominally, ~4x10<sup>22</sup> neutrinos/flavor/accelerator/year

# DAE $\delta$ ALUS Experiment

Uses multiple π+ and μ+ decay-at-rest neutrino beams, and the planned 300 kton H2O detector (Gd-doped) at the Deep Underground Science & Engineering Laboratory

AEδALUS

#### Short baseline minimizes matter effects

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

Nominally, ~4x10<sup>22</sup> neutrinos/flavor/accelerator/year

## **Oscillation Signal**

### Look for $\overline{v}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{v}_{e}$ via inverse-beta-decay (IBD): $\overline{v}_{e}+p \rightarrow n+e^{+}$ Gd n capture efficiency ~67%

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Sensitivity Comparisons**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Sensitivity Comparisons**

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Sensitivity Comparisons**

#### DAE\deltaALUS

AEδALUS

#### LBNE

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Synergistic Combination

#### DAE<sub>d</sub>ALUS alone

(10 year data collection)

AEδALUS

# $DAE\delta ALUS + LBNE$ (10 yr DAE $\delta$ ALUS + 10 yr LBNE v only)

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Accelerator Requirements

Can they be built?

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Accelerator Requirements

- Beam on target: Protons
  - Most efficient beam for pion production
- Beam Energy: ~ 800 MeV
  - Produce pions in "delta plateau"
  - Optimize:

EδALUS

- Nuclear mean free path (~ 15 cm)
- Energy loss
- Minimize decay in flight ( $\pi$  background)

![](_page_17_Figure_9.jpeg)

## Accelerator Requirements

- Beam Power:
  - 1.5 km site: 1 MW average
  - 8 km site: 2 MW average
  - 20 km site: 5 MW average
- Accelerator Duty Factor: ~20%
  - Instantaneous power is ×5 average power
  - Can be optimized and time structure fairly arbitrary
- High Reliability: both running & handling
- Cost: As low as possible

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_11.jpeg)

Our Needs vs. Existing Machines (Average Power Needs)

- LAMPF (Linac): 800 MeV, 1 mA (12% DF)
- PSI (Cyclotron): 590 MeV, 2.2 mA (100% DF)

*I*n Current (Average)

• SNS (Linac): 1 GeV, 1 mA (6% DF)

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

EδALUS

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

*ln* Energy [per nucleon]

Our Needs vs. Existing Machines (Peak Power Needs)

- LAMPF (Linac): 800 MeV, 8 mA peak
- PSI (Cyclotron): 590 MeV, 2.2 mA
- SNS (Linac): 1 GeV, 17 mA peak

DAEδALUS
 Near ~ 5 mA peak
 Far ~ 25 mA peak

AEδALUS

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

*ln* Energy [per nucleon]

## Issues with High Intensities

- Beam Loss
  - Thermal power damages components
    - E.g. 0.1% of 1 MW beam (1 kW) will cause problems
  - Activation causes problems for maintenance
    - PSI limits uncontrolled loss to 200 watts per cyclotron vault
- Space-charge Emittance Growth
  - Makes controlling beam loss more difficult
  - Primarily a problem at very low energies
    - current > few mA, at energy < 1 MeV

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **Design Considerations**

- Low Energies
  - Very careful accelerator design for minimizing space-charge blowup
  - High brightness ion source
  - Good focusing, high acceleration rates
- High Energies
  - Careful beam handling for clean extraction
  - Large apertures, minimize chances of beam hitting anything

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Technologies explored

- Linacs
  - Cleanest of technologies
    - but there are issues of size and cost
- Cyclotrons
  - Superconducting (proton) Cyclotron
    - Extension of PSI
  - Stacked (proton) Cyclotron
  - H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> Cyclotron -- reduces many problems related to beam loss and extraction compared to other designs

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Cyclotron Experience

- PSI is most powerful in the world
  - 590 MeV protons
  - 2.2 mA
  - 1.3 MW

Proton Accelerator Complex Paul-Scherrer-Institute Switzerland

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

Source SINQ

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

### Beam at High Energy End

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Also Must Have Very Large RF System

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

- High accelerating voltage promotes larger turn separation
  - $-\Delta E = 2 \text{ MeV/turn}$
  - 500 kV/cavity

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

### H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> Ring Cyclotron Promising Design from 1990's

- Concept proposed by Luciano Calabretta, Catania
  - Response to C. Rubbia idea for high-power cyclotrons for ADS
  - Reports in European Particle Accelerator Conference Calabretta et al: PAC 99 & EPAC 2000
- 1 GeV, ~6 mA
- High rigidity for  $H_2^+$ 
  - Superconducting magnets keep size reasonable
- Efficient extraction (via stripping)
  - Substantially less RF requirements no need for clean turn separation

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Status of Design

### • arXiv: 1107:0652

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

# System Components

- Ion Source
  - High brightness  $H_2^+$  options
    - Multicusp, microwave
- Injector Cyclotron
  - Axial injection
  - "Classical" extraction at 50 MeV/n
- Ring Cyclotron
  - Superconducting  $(B_{max} \sim 6T)$
  - Stripping extraction
- Target/beam dump
  - Shaped graphite/copper/water-cooled
    - To absorb 5 MW

![](_page_29_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Ion Source Options

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

*Either produces 20-50 mA (CW)*  $H_2^+$  *with good emittance* 

AEδALUS

## Ion Source Challenges

- Optimizing H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> production
- Obtaining steady, reliable currents >30 mA

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Ion Source Challenges

- Optimizing H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> production
- Obtaining steady, reliable currents >30 mA
- Quenching of high  $\nu$  (loosely-bound) vibrational states
  - 17 bound states
  - -v > -8 (binding energy < -1 eV) may undergo Lorentz stripping at high energies
  - $\sim 10\%$  of beam could be in these states
  - Mixing He, Ne in source plasma shown to adequately quench loosely-bound states
    - Must develop suitable diagnostic tools!

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Injector Cyclotron

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Axial injection channel

DAESALUS

### Injector Cyclotron

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

AEδALUS

D

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Spiral inflector

### Injector Cyclotron

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

First

10 r

Spiral inflector

10

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Injector Cyclotron Challenges

- Efficiency of capture
  - Space charge blowup
    - low energy
    - high current
- Excessive beam loss
  - Inject ~20 mA, capture ~3 mA
    - this considered "good"!
- Emittance growth
  - Problems in latter stages

![](_page_36_Picture_10.jpeg)

## Strategies

• Central Region tests

- Collaborations with BEST Cyclotrons

Vancouver, BC mfg isotope cyclotrons

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Superconducting Ring Cyclotron

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Cyclotron Parameters

Magnet – 8 sector

14 m steel diameter

4.9 m extraction radius

6.3 T B<sub>max</sub>

RF – 4 single-gap (PSI style)

> 2 MeV energy gain/turn

Vacuum –  $< 10^{-9}$  torr

AEδALUS

avoid gas-stripping losses

### FIELD ON THE MEDIAN PLANE

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Iron Structure

### Bottom half of one octant

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **IRON WITH COILS**

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

### HILL DETAILS

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

AEδALUS

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

Variable gap: 3cm total at 176; 6cm total at 180cm up to 500cm; 3cm total from 510cm to 520cm

### Beam Dynamics: Conformation to Isochronous Field

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

Last closed orbit at energy >800MeV

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Beam Dynamics: Resonance Avoidance

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $v_z$  = 0.5 most dangerous resonance **AVOIDED** 

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Stripping Extraction

-No need for turn separation -Expect excellent efficiency

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Stripping Extraction

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Ring Cyclotron Challenges

- Engineering design of magnet sector
  - Cryostat for containing hoop forces
  - Geometric conformation for isochronicity condition
- RF system design and integration
- Vacuum system
  - Achieve adequate vacuum to avoid gas stripping
- Extraction
  - Stripping several turns introduces momentum spread
  - Require ~2% acceptance in extraction channel

![](_page_48_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### **RIKEN Superconducting Ring Cyclotron (SRC)**

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Present Status of Design Efforts

- Beam dynamics work progressing well
  - Calabretta (LNS-Catania), Adelmann (PSI) leading significant modeling efforts
- Engineering studies expected in next months
   SC magnet experts being contacted
- Ion source and Central Region tests
  - BEST collaborations
- Erice Workshop in late November
  - Assessment of viability and mapping of further R&D efforts

![](_page_50_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Goals

- Determination of feasibility of the technology
  Development of complete straw-man design
- Establishment of base-line cost of the design

Timetable

• Rough cost within one year

![](_page_51_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Summary

- DAEδALUS experiment addresses interesting and timely questions in neutrino physics
- Accelerators being developed could be a revolutionary new compact, (relatively) inexpensive neutrino source, suitable for many experiments
  - and other ADS (Accelerator-Driven Systems) applications
- Our Collaboration is looking for new members!
  - Contact:
    - Janet Conrad <conrad@mit.edu>
    - Mike Shaevitz <shaevitz@nevis.columbia.edu>

![](_page_52_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

### H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> Vibrational State Mitigation

Diagnostic for optimizing quenching with noble gases  $H_2^+(v \ge 3) + He \rightarrow HeH^+ + H$ in source plasma  $H_2^+(v \ge 2) + Ne \rightarrow NeH^+ + H.$ Filter Lens  $H_2^+$ after Chupka & Russell MONOCHROMATOR f/1.5 (1968)Ion Source Chopper Wheel Arc Lamp

> Photo-dissociation, after Von Busch & Dunne (1972)

![](_page_54_Picture_3.jpeg)