

Opposite Sign DiLepton Searches at CMS

Derek Barge (for CMS)





9 August 2011

Outline

- Introduction
- Opposite Sign DiLepton Searches
 - Outside the Z Window

– Inside the Z Window

PAS-SUS-11-011 0.98 / fb

PAS-SUS-11-012 0.19 / fb

PAS-SUS-11-017 0.98 / fb

– Will Explain Methods used to Estimate Backgrounds and Present Results

DiLepton Searches

- Why DiLeptons?
 - DiLeptons in the Standard Model (and beyond) are Rare and Interesting: *top pairs, Z, WW, Higgs, Maybe Supersymmetry*
 - Clean Experimental Signature
 - Natural Suppression of QCD Backgrounds
- Search Strategy for DiLepton Final States:



 Searches for Events in Excess of Standard Model Predictions in Final States with <u>Opposite Sign DiLeptons</u> are covered in this talk

Search in The Z Mass Window



9 August 2011

Z Window MET Search

- Leptons pair produced by Z's are a feature common to many models of new physics. Here is an example in SUSY:
- This motivates a search for an excess of MET in a signal region defined by:
 - 2 or more jets from strong production
 - **2 isolated leptons** ($P_T > 20$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$)
 - + Invariant DiLepton mass within 10 GeV of the Z Mass
 - Missing Transverse Energy (MET)
 - Search in 2 regions: MET > 100 GeV, MET > 200 GeV
- Fake MET from badly measured Jets, and real MET from top pairs are the dominant backgrounds.

Estimating Fake MET

 The background of fake MET from badly measured jets in Z+Jets is modeled by γ+Jets <u>data</u>



 The MET prediction for each Z + Jets event is the (unit normalized) MET distribution taken from the γ+Jets control sample with corresponding jet multiplicity and Ht. QCD is also used to corroborate γ+Jets results.

Estimating Real MET from Top Pairs

- Real MET from top pair production is also a background to MET searches in Z+Jets
- In top decays, the number of SF and OF pairs are equal
 - For Real MET from top pairs, Same Flavor MET can be estimated by Opposite Flavor MET
 - Differences between e & μ efficiencies are corrected for
- Both Fake and Real MET backgrounds are Predicted by <u>Data Driven</u> Methods.

 W^{-}

Z Window: Summer 2011 Results

MET Predictions

Real SF MET From top pairs predicted by OF MET

Fake MET predicted by γ + Jets (added to the \underline{red})



No Signal Observed.
 Observations Agree with Data Driven Estimates.

	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 30 { m GeV}$	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 60 { m GeV}$	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 100 {\rm GeV}$	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 200 { m GeV}$
Z Pred	$2060.3 \pm 29.1 \pm 309.1$	$60.8\pm4.1\pm9.1$	$5.1\pm1.0\pm0.8$	$0.09 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.01$
t ī Pred	$246.6 \pm 6.3 \pm 22.2$	$152.5 \pm 4.9 \pm 13.7$	$50.6 \pm 2.8 \pm 4.6$	$3.2\pm0.7\pm0.3$
Prediction	$2306.9 \pm 29.7 \pm 309.9$	$213.0 \pm 6.4 \pm 16.5$	$55.7 \pm 3.0 \pm 4.6$	$3.3\pm0.7\pm0.3$
Data	2287 (1145,1142)	206 (114,92)	57 (25,32)	4 (1,3) (ee,µµ)

Z Window: Summer 2011 Limits

• No Signal Observed. Upper Limits Set at 95% CL.

	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 30 { m GeV}$	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 60 { m ~GeV}$	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 100 { m GeV}$	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 200 { m ~GeV}$
Z Pred	$2060.3 \pm 29.1 \pm 309.1$	$60.8 \pm 4.1 \pm 9.1$	$5.1\pm1.0\pm0.8$	$0.09 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.01$
t ī Pred	$246.6 \pm 6.3 \pm 22.2$	$152.5 \pm 4.9 \pm 13.7$	$50.6 \pm 2.8 \pm 4.6$	$3.2\pm0.7\pm0.3$
Prediction	$2306.9 \pm 29.7 \pm 309.9$	$213.0 \pm 6.4 \pm 16.5$	$55.7 \pm 3.0 \pm 4.6$	$3.3\pm0.7\pm0.3$
Data	2287 (1145,1142)	206 (114,92)	57 (25,32)	4 (1,3)
UL	498	37	20	5.9
LM4	25.4 ± 1.9	22.9 ± 1.8	20.1 ± 1.7	12.3 ± 1.7
LM8	11.8 ± 0.9	10.7 ± 0.8	8.7 ± 0.8	5.0 ± 0.7

Limits also set on σ × A for SUSY benchmarks LM4 & LM8.
 A is the acceptance. LM4 is excluded.

$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} > 200 \mathrm{~GeV}$	efficiency (%)	acceptance (%)	UL($\sigma \times A$) (fb)	$\sigma \times A(\text{fb})$
LM4	50 ± 6	0.84	13	23
LM8	43 ± 5	0.98	15	11
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} >$ 100 GeV	efficiency (%)	acceptance (%)	$UL(\sigma \times A)$ (fb)	$\sigma \times A(\text{fb})$
LM4	53 ± 3	1.4	39	37
LM8	44 ± 3	1.7	47	19

Jet - Z Balance



- Events Selections:
 - 2 isolated ($P_{\rm T}$ > 20 GeV) SF leptons within a 20 GeV window of the Z Mass
 - 3 or more Jets
 - Signal Region: JZB > X (X > 50 GeV, X > 100 GeV)

Backgroud Estimation

- JZB corresponding to **real MET** From SF top pairs **estimated by OF JZB**
- JZB > X corresponding to fake MET estimated by JZB < X
 Opposie Flavor JZB < X is subtracted off to avoid double counting.

9 August 2011

DPF 2011

Jet - Z Balance: Results

- Data and JZB Prediction agree. Predicted Fit also shown.
- No Signal in 0.191 fb⁻¹. Will be updated with more data. **Observation in agreement with** data driven prediction in both signal regions.
- Upper Limits Set at 95% CL •
 - -17B > 50 GeV 11.1
 - JZB > 100 GeV6.6



Region	Observed events	Background prediction	MC expectation
JZB > 50 GeV	20	$24 \pm 6(\text{stat}) \pm 1.4(\text{peak})^{+1.2}_{-2.4}(\text{sys})$	16.0 ± 1.2 (MC stat)
$JZB > 100 \mathrm{GeV}$	6	$8 \pm 4(\text{stat}) \pm 0.1(\text{peak})^{+0.4}_{-0.8}(\text{sys})$	3.6 ± 0.4 (MC stat)

PAS-SUS-11-012 0.19 / fb

Search Outside The Z Mass Window



9 August 2011

Search Outside the Z Window

 Look for Events in Excess of Standard Model Predictions. Top Pairs are the Principal Background.
 In Excess of Standard Model Predictions.
 In Excess of Standard Model Predicting Standard Model Predictions.
 In Exce

h

ĺ

• 2 Signal Regions

- Chosen to reduce top background to $\sim 0.1\%$
- High MET: MET > 275 Gev, H_T > 300 GeV
- High H_T : MET > 200 GeV, H_T > 600 GeV

4 Background Estimation Methods (and MC)

- MET Predicted by DiLepton Pt
- ABCD'
- Opposite Flavor Subtraction
- Search for an Edge in DiLepton Mass

Event Selection (Non-Z)

- Choose Selections to Reduce SM backgrounds:
 - <u>2 opposite sign leptons</u> that are <u>inconsistent with Z</u>
 - <u>2 jets or more</u> with at least <u>100 GeV scalar pt sum</u>
 <u>MET ≥ 50 GeV</u>
- Remaining Background is more than <u>90% top (MC)</u>

Sample	σ [pb]	ee	μμ	еµ	total	
$t\bar{t} ightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$	17	412.8 ± 8.9	465.4 ± 9.0	1095.6 ± 14.2	1973.8 ± 19.0	
$t\bar{t} \rightarrow fake$	141	12.6 ± 1.6	3.7 ± 0.8	22.7 ± 2.0	39.0 ± 2.7	1
$\mathrm{DY} \! ightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$	16677	18.6 ± 5.0	26.6 ± 6.0	37.6 ± 7.1	82.8 ± 10.6	
W^+W^-	43	4.0 ± 0.5	4.3 ± 0.4	9.5 ± 0.7	17.7 ± 0.9	
$W^{\pm}Z^{0}$	18	0.8 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.2	
Z^0Z^0	5.9	0.3 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.0	1.2 ± 0.1	1
single top	102	12.6 ± 0.6	14.0 ± 0.6	33.2 ± 1.0	59.9 ± 1.3	
W + jets	96648	12.6 ± 5.4	0.0 ± 0.0	7.8 ± 4.6	20.5 ± 7.1	
total SM MC		474.5 ± 11.7	515.4 ± 10.8	1208.6 ± 16.7	2198.5 ± 23.1	
data		524	576	1381	2481	

 Observed Reasonable Agreement Between Data & MC in 0.98 / fb



Opposite Flavor Subtraction

- New physics that might produce leptons via a cascade decay will result only in same flavor lepton pairs, provided that lepton flavor is conserved
- For the dominant ttbar background, the flavor of the 2 leptons is not correlated and we expect equal amounts of same flavor (SF) and opposite flavor (OF) lepton pairs



 Searches for an excess of SF events in Di-Lepton events are therefore well motivated

Opposite Flavor Subtraction Results

• We quantify the SF – OF difference as:

$$\Delta = R_{\mu e} N(ee) + \frac{1}{R_{\mu e}} N(\mu \mu) - N(e\mu)$$

- $R_{\mu e} = 1.12$ is the ratio of muon to electron efficiencies
- Z-Veto is applied to OF leptons for consistency with SF
- OF Subtraction is applied to the high MET (left) and High HT (right) signal regions

	high $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ signal region	high H_T signal region
observed Δ	3.6 ± 2.9 (stat) ± 0.4 (syst)	-0.9 \pm 1.8 (stat) \pm 1.1 (syst)
UL	7.9	3.6

• We Observe No Excess of Same Flavor Events.

Search for an Edge

- Search for a kinematic edge in SF DiLepton mass
 - Z-Veto is removed to look at the full mass distribution
 - The Drell-Yan let in by removing the Z-Veto is again reduced by raising the MET cut:
 - MET > 100 GeV
- A search for an edge is performed by fitting the DiLepton mass in 2 region
 - 300 Gev > HT > 100 GeV (Control)
 - 300 GeV < HT



(Signal)

Search for an Edge: The Fit

- The background is modeled by a Breit-Wigner convoluted with a Gaussian and added with $B(m_{II})$: $B(m_{\ell\ell}) = m^a_{\ell\ell}e^{-bm_{\ell\ell}}$
- The signal is modeled by a special function:

$$T(m_{\ell\ell}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{ll}} \int_0^{M_{cut}} dy \, y e^{\frac{-(m_{\ell\ell}-y)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

 A simultaneous maximum likelihood fit is performed first in the <u>control region</u> with the tt, Z, and signal yields free to vary.



 The extracted signal yield of 10.7 ± 15.4 is consistent with the background only hypothesis

Search for an Edge: Results

- A fit is performed again, now in the signal region HT > 300 GeV
- The Z yield from the preselection is extrapolated to the signal region <u>MET > 100 GeV</u>, <u>HT > 300 GeV</u> in order to constrain the Z yield used in the signal region fit



• The extracted signal 8.4 \pm 7.7 is consistent with BG only.

DiLepton Pt

- MET is a search variable used in this analysis
- ttbar is the dominant background
 - In DiLeptonic ttbar, true MET arises when each top decays to a W boson and b quark, and each W subsequently decays to a lepton and neutrino.
 - The transverse momentum of the 2 neutrinos is the true MET.

MET can be estimated with DiLepton Pt

- Ignoring W polarization, the spectrum of Di-Lepton Pt is the same as the MET spectrum on average.
- W polarization results in the Di-Lepton spectrum being softer than the MET spectrum. This is corrected for by scaling the Di-Lepton Pt up by a factor of $K_c \sim 1.3 1.5$ (K_c from MC)

DiLepton Pt: Results

• Results of the Data Driven Pt(II) Method



• Pt(II) Prediction in Agreement with Observation & Monte Carlo

	high E ^{miss} signal region	high H_T signal region
observed yield	8	4
MC prediction	7.3 ± 2.2	7.1 ± 2.2
$p_T(\ell \ell)$ prediction	14.3 ± 6.3 (stat) ± 5.3 (syst)	$10.1 \pm 4.2 \text{ (stat)} \pm 3.5 \text{ (syst)}$

ABCD' Method

• MET is not correlated with $y = MET / \sqrt{HT}$

- Events in a (y, H_T) signal region can be predicted: $N_D = (N_A \times N_C)/N_B$
- But... searches in (MET, H_T) are more sensitive
- The functions f(y) & g(H_T) allow events in a (MET, H_T) signal region to be predicted given events in the (y, H_T) control regions: A, B, C
- (MET, H_T) predictions are given by integrating the probability $P(y, H_T) = f(y) \times g(H_T)$
- $N_{SIGNAL} = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{N}_{CONTROL}$
 - N_{SIGNAL} are the events in the (MET, H_T) signal region we want to estimate (black curve)
 - $N_{CONTROL}$ are the events in the (y, H_T) control region (A or B or C)
 - **R** is the ratio of random (y, H_T) pairs take $f(y) \& g(H_T)$ which lie in the signal region t which lie in the control region



10

10⁻²

ABCD' Results



• ABCD' Prediction in Agreement with Observation & Monte Carlo

	high $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ signal region	high H_T signal region	
observed yield	8	4	
MC prediction	7.3 ± 2.2	7.1 ± 2.2	
ABCD' prediction	4.0 ± 1.0 (stat) ± 0.8 (syst)	$4.5\pm1.6~(\mathrm{stat})\pm0.9~(\mathrm{syst})$	

Non-Z Search Summary PAS-SUS-11-011 0.98 / fb

- 3 Data Driven Methods: ABCD', DiLepton Pt and Opposite Flavor Subtraction are consistent with <u>Data</u> & <u>Monte Carlo</u>
 - No Evidence for Non Standard Model Physics
- Upper Limits Set at 95% Confidence Level
 - Set Upper Limits on Number of Events Allowed in 2 Signal Regions (using N_{bkg} , the error-weighted Average of ABCD', & DiLepton Pt)

	high $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ signal region	high H_T signal region	
observed yield	8	(4)	
MC prediction	7.3 ± 2.2	7.1 ± 2.2	
ABCD' prediction	$4.0 \pm 1.0 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.8 \text{ (syst)}$	4.5 ± 1.6 (stat) ± 0.9 (syst)	
$p_T(\ell \ell)$ prediction	14.3 ± 6.3 (stat) ± 5.3 (syst)	$10.1 \pm 4.2 \text{ (stat)} \pm 3.5 \text{ (syst)}$	
N _{bkg}	4.2 ± 1.3	5.1 ± 1.7	
non-SM yield UL	10	5.3	

- Set Upper Limits on the SF Excess. (An OF Z Veto is Applied)

	high $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ signal region	high H_T signal region
observed Δ	$3.6 \pm 2.9 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.4 (\text{syst})$	$-0.9 \pm 1.8 (\text{stat}) \pm 1.1 (\text{syst})$
UL	7.9	3.6

CMSSM Limits (Non-Z)

- Upper limits on the number of allowed non Standard Model events can be interpreted in the context of a particular model
- CMSSM is a benchmark SUSY model used conventionally by the LHC & Tevatron
- An exclusion is made in terms of m₀ and m_{1/2} (2 of 5 parameters in the CMSSM Lagrangian)



 Summer 2011 CMS Exclusion Improves on Previous CMS & Tevatron Results

Conclusion

- Searches for New Physics in Final States with Opposite Sign DiLeptons, Jet Activity, and MET were completed in Summer 2011.
 - Both inside and outside the Z Window
 - **0.98 fb⁻¹** of Data Analyzed

Evidence For New Physics Not Observed

- Upper Limits Set on Non Standard Model Events
 - Provided Experimental Efficiencies to Allow Arbitrary Models to be Tested for Compatibility with our Observed Results
- Excluded Region of CMSSM Space as an Illustration of what the Observed Limits exclude in a particular model

Backup

Large Hadron Collider

 The LHC collides protons at √s = 7 Trillion eV Center of Mass Energy





- Collision Data is recorded by one of 4 detectors: ATLAS, CMS, ALICE, LHCb
- Results with <u>0.98 fb⁻¹</u> of data presented today

Compact Muon Solenoid Detector

The CMS Detector Reconstructs Final State
 Electrons, Photons, Jets, Muons & MET





 Charged particle momentum inferred from curvature in 3.8 T magnetic field

Di-Lepton Pt

- DiLepton Pt is used to model MET
 - DiLepton Pt is <u>renormalized</u> (scaled up) to correct for the <u>MET > 50</u> GeV DiLepton selection
 - The renormalization factor ${\rm K}_{\rm 50}$ is the ratio of all events to those with MET > 50 GeV (${\rm K}_{\rm 50}$ \sim 1.3 1.5)
- MET is replaced with DiLepton Pt in the signal selections to estimate backgrounds
 - The number of background events with MET > 275 GeV can be estimated by counting the number of events with DiLepton Pt > 275 GeV for example

References

- CMS Notes
 - Inside Z Window

http://cms.cern.ch/iCMS/jsp/openfile.jsp?tp=draft&files=AN2011 274 v5.pdf

– Outside Z Window

http://cms.cern.ch/iCMS/jsp/openfile.jsp?tp=draft&files=AN2011_269_v5.pdf

Hadron Collider Physics

- Protons are made of constituent partons.
 - A proton consists of 3 valence quarks (2 up and 1 down) as well as gluons and other (c, s) "sea" quarks
- Interactions between partons are interesting.



- The initial state longitudinal momentum of interacting partons is not known definitely, but as a probability
 - Leads to interesting <u>transverse</u> physics