# Measurements of W/Z production in association with jets at

Ashish Kumar, SUNY at Buffalo on behalf of the D0 Collaboration)

Division of Particles and Fields of the American Physical Society, Brown University, August 9-13, 2011

# Outline



- Introduction
- Motivation
- Results
  - W + jets cross section
  - Z + jets cross section
  - Z + jets angular correlations
  - Z + Heavy Flavor Jets
- Summary

# The DØ Experiment at the Fermilab Tevatron





Tevatron



Tracker : silicon mictrostrips and scintillating fibers inside 2T
Calorimeter: Liquid Ar sampling & U absorber.
Wire tracking and scintillating muon system:

Results based on 1-4.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> data.

Ashish Kumar

Main Injector

# Motivation

### Test of pQCD in multijet environment

- Presence of W/Z ensure high Q<sup>2</sup>: pQCD
- Clean environment: leptonic final state provides clean signature, low BG
- High statistics allows precision tests
- Test of MC Models
  - Key sample to validate available MC tools using experimental data
- W/Z+HF production sensitive to HF PDFs
- Significant irreducible background
  - Studies of Top production
  - Searches for SM Higgs & New Phenomena







**DPF-2011** 

Ashish Kumar

# W/Z + Jets Production

 $\sigma(Z^0 \rightarrow l^+l^-) \sim 250 \text{ pb} \qquad \sigma(W^{\pm} \rightarrow l\nu) \sim 2700 \text{ pb} \qquad \Rightarrow \text{Millions of W's;} \\ 100's \text{ k Z's per fb}^{-1}$ 



# W→lv and Z→l+l<sup>-</sup> decays are easily identified with little background. Z - two high p<sub>T</sub> electrons or muons clean signal BG : fake leptons, semi-leptonic decays, di-boson production W - high p<sub>T</sub> lepton + Missing E<sub>T</sub> higher statistics, also higher BG BG : QCD (fake lepton), W→τv, Top, diboson, Z→ll





 Jets are identified using midpoint cone algorithm – use calorimeter towers as seeds.

Jets are fully corrected for the instrumental effects.

Ashish Kumar

# W/Z + Jets Measurements

Measurements are unfolded to particle level correcting for the effect of finite experimental resolution, detector response, acceptance and efficiencies.

Data – Theory comparison done at the particle level

Correct parton-level theory for nonperturbative effects (hadronization and underlying events) using parton shower Monte Carlo.



### hep:ex/1106.1457

 $W(\rightarrow ev)$ + Jets



Precise measurement s of inclusive 0  $W(\rightarrow e_{\nu})$ +n jet cross-sections (n=1 - 4) -- total incl. x-sections -- diff. x-sections vs nth jet  $p_T$ Considerably smaller uncertainties than 0 previous méasurements First detailed study of W+4j production 0 Compared to two NLO calculations 0 Blackhat + Sherpa, Rocket + MCFM  $\mu = \frac{1}{2} H_{T}$  $\mu = \sqrt{M_W^2 + (p_\tau^{jet})^2}$ UE + hadronization particle level 0 corrections derived from Sherpa Good agreement between data and  $\bigcirc$ theory except for 1-jet bin.



Ashish Kumar

DPF-2011

7



 $W(\rightarrow ev)$ + jets

- Diff. x-sections normalized to inclusive W cross section
- Many uncertainties cancel in the ratio
- Measurement uncertainties of 4-14% (W+1j), 5-20% (W+2j), smaller than the theoretical predictions.
- Data agree well with NLO calculations except for certain regions of phase space where theory can be improved





 $W(\rightarrow ev)$ + jets





# Data agree well with NLO calculations

W+2j



### MCFM significantly lower

Ashish Kumar



 $W(\rightarrow ev)$ + jets

W+3j

# NLO predictions smaller but still consistent within uncertainties



W+4j



- Only LO available , need NLO calculation for Tevatron
- Good agreement but large uncertainties
- First differential cross section for W+4 jets

### PLB 678, 45 (2009)

Z + Jets



# Measure diff. x-sections normalized to incl. $\sigma(Z)$ binned in $p_T$ of nth jet for $Z+ \ge n$ jets, n=1-3



Data described well by MCFM predictions

Ashish Kumar

# Z + Jets



### Comparison with event generators exhibit normalization & shape differences



• Pythia (v6.325) with  $p_T$  ordered showering (S0) shows improved performance

- Alpgen (v2.13) + Pythia predicts lower rates but shapes described well
- Sherpa (v1.1.1) generally well described, some deviations for  $p_T > 40$  GeV
- Herwig (v6.510) + Jimmy (v.4.31) Ashish Kumar

PLB 678, 45 (2009)

# **Z+ Jets Angular Correlations**



The diff. cross-sections are normalized to incl.  $\sigma(Z)$  $p_T^Z>25$  GeV (avoid soft effects) Small  $\Delta\phi(Z,jet)$  excluded from MCFM due to importance of non pert. effects.

NLO predictions describe data reasonably well.

Event generators tend to have normalization and shape differences.

- --Sherpa best describes the shape, but not normalization
- -- Alpgen + Pythia (Perugia improves description



Ashish Kumar



### Z p<sub>T</sub> > 25 GeV

 $\sigma_{Z+iet}/\sigma_{Z} = [122 \pm 2(\text{stat.}) \pm 4(\text{syst.})] \cdot 10^{-3}$ pQCD:  $[111 \pm 6(\text{scale}) \pm 2(\text{PDF})] \cdot 10^{-3}$  @NLO

Ashish Kumar

### Z p<sub>T</sub> > 45 GeV

 $\sigma_{Z+jet}/\sigma_{Z} = [47 \pm 1(stat.) \pm 2(syst.)] \cdot 10^{-3}$ pQCD:  $[40 \pm 3(scale) \pm 1(PDF)] \cdot 10^{-3}$  @NLO

Z + b-jets / Z + jets



### Motivation

- Interesting test of pQCD predictions
- Important bkgd to SM Higgs search in ZH→vv/ll bb channel
- Sensitive to b-quark PDF
- Measurement of ratio benefits from cancellation of many systematics  $\Rightarrow$  precise comparison with theory



### hep-ex/1010.6203

- Data : 4.2 fb<sup>-1</sup>
   Consider both e and μ channels 70 < M<sub>ll</sub> < 110 GeV</li>
   Z + ≥ 1 Jet
  - R=0.5, p<sub>T</sub>>20 GeV, |η|<2.5



Ashish Kumar

# $Z^{PRD 83, 031105 (2011)} Z + b-jets / Z + jets$



### Strategy: Select Z events with ≥1 b-tagged jet to enrich sample with heavy flavors

- Use a novel technique to distinguish b-flavored jets from charm and light flavored jets : construct a discriminant with M<sub>svT</sub> and jet lifetime probability.
- Fit Data Bkgd with templates of disc. to extract Z+b fraction





• Measured  $\sigma(Z+b)/\sigma(Z+jet)$  ratio = 0.0192 ± 0.0022 ± 0.0015

- Most precise to date
- Good agreement with MCFM prediction : 0.0185 ± 0.0022

CDF result :
 0.0208 ± 0.0033 ± 0.0034

# **Summary & Outlook**

- Many interesting results focusing on vector boson + jets production
- Generally, NLO QCD calculations describe data well, but some discrepancies observed indicating need for improvement
- Experimental uncertainties either comparable or lower than theoretical uncertainties
- Good understanding of W/Z+jets processes critical for SM Higgs and NP searches
- More results with better statistics will become available soon.
- Tevatron would continue exploring these processes

http://www-d0.fnal.gov/Run2Physics/qcd/

# **Backup Slides**

# **Z+ Jets Angular Correlations**

 $\mathcal{L} = 1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 

First measurements of Z+jets cross sections as function of Angular correlations between Z and leading jet  $\Delta\phi(Z, jet), \Delta y(Z, jet)$ 

 $y_{boost} = 1/2(y_z + y_{jet})$ Sensitive to QCD radiation : Test of PS model assumptions.

The diff. cross-sections are normalized to incl.  $\sigma(Z)$  $p_T^Z>25$  GeV (avoid soft effects) Small  $\Delta\phi(Z,jet)$  excluded from MCFM due to importance of non pert. effects.

Reasonable agreement between data and NLO. Sherpa best describes the shape, but not normalization.



Event generators tend to have normalization and shape differences. ALPGEN+PYTHIA (Perugia) improves description.

Ashish Kumar

# **Comparison to NLO QCD & MC Models**

### pQCD calculations

 NLO calculations mostly available for lower jet multiplicities Z+2 jets (+3 jets) at NLO (LO) evaluated with MCFM W+3 jets (+4 jets) at NLO now available

### Monte Carlo Simulation Tools

- LO matrix elements + PS modeling PYTHIA v6.420
  - Tune Perugia (p<sub>T</sub> ordered showers)
  - Tune QW (Q<sup>2</sup> ordered showers) HERWIG v6.510 +JIMMY v4.31

HO matrix elements matched with PS

- ALPGEN v2.13+PYTHIA v6.420
- ALPGEN v2.13+HERWIG v6.510
   Sherpa 1.1.3

Data fully corrected for instrumental effects ⇒ can be directly used for testing & improving MC models and any future calculations /models.

## Z/γ\*+ Jet(s) Angular Correlations PLB 632, 370 (2010) $Z p_T > 45 \text{ GeV}$



(C)

Reasonable agreement between data and NLO. NLO : improvement over LO

MCFM v5.6 PDF's: MSTW2008  $\mu_r^2 = \mu_f^2 = M_Z^2 + p_{T,Z}^2$ 

Hadronisation and underlying event correction: PYTHIA 6.421. Tune QW. CTEQ6.1M

Event generators tend to have normalization and shape differences.

> PYTHIA 6.421. HERWIG 6.510 + JIMMY 4.31 ALPGEN 2.13. SHERPA 1.1.3. PDF's: CTEQ6.1M and MRST2007 (LO\*) for Perugia\*



ALPGEN+PYTHIA (Perugia) improves description. Sherpa best describes the shape, not normalization.

**DPF-2011** 

2.5

# **CDF & D0 detectors**

# CDF properties

 Silicon Tracker: large si+ Time of flight detectors

 $|\eta| < 2,90$  cm long,  $r_{L00} = 1.3 - 1.6$  cm Drift Chamber(COT)

96 layers between 44 and 132cm

Muon coverage

|η|<1.5

Outer chambers: high purity muons
 Electron and general Calorimeter

- |η|<2.8

### Calorimeter

- CEM lead + scint 13.4%/√E,⊕2%
- CHA steel + scint 75%/√E,⊕3%

### Tracking

σ(d0) = 40μm (incl. 30μm beam)

σ(pt)/pt = 0.15 % pt

Tracker (Silicon Microstrips + Scintillating Fibers): covers |n| < 2.5 inside 2 T superconducting solenoid</p>

 <u>Calorimeter</u> (Sampling U/Liquid Ar): hermetic coverage: |η| < 4.2</li>

Calorimeters ( $\rightarrow$  jets, e,  $\gamma$ ): Fine granularity and good energy resolution DØ:  $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi \sim 0.1 \times 0.1$ CDF:  $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi \sim 0.1 \times 0.26$ 

Muon system (Wire Chambers + Scintillators): covers |n| < 2 before and after toroid</p>



# **Many Tevatron Run II Results**



### V + Jets

Z/ $\gamma^*(\rightarrow\mu\mu)$ +jets Z/ $\gamma^*(\rightarrow ee)$ +jets Z/ $\gamma^*(\rightarrow\mu\mu)$ +jets Z/ $\gamma^*(\rightarrow ee)$ +jets D0/1.0 fb<sup>-1</sup> D0/1.0 fb<sup>-1</sup> D0/1.0 fb<sup>-1</sup> D0/0.4 fb<sup>-1</sup> PLB 682, 370 (2010) PLB 678, 45 (2009) PLB 669, 278 (2008) PLB 658, 112 (2008)

### V + Heavy Flavor Jets

Z+b/Z+jets W+c/W+jets Z+b/Z+jets D0/4.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> D0/1.0 fb<sup>-1</sup> D0/0.18 fb<sup>-1</sup> hep-ex/1010.6203 PLB 666, 23 (2008) PRL 94, 161801 (2005)

Will concentrate on recent results DPF-2011

Ashish Kumar

# **Identifying b-jets**

- Most common b-tagging technique exploits long lifetime of b-hadrons
   Reconstruct secondary vertex from displaced tracks (not from primary vertex) inside jet
- D0 : NN based on combination of variables sensitive to presence of displaced tracks forming sec. vtx.





# PLB 669, 278 (2008) $Z/\gamma^* \to \mu^+ \mu^- + Jet(s)$

Differential cross sections in  $p_T$  and y of the leading jet





### ALPGEN describes shape well. ALPGEN and PYTHIA below the data, SHERPA better

MCFM NLO better describes data

Ashish Kumar

DPF-2011

 $\mathcal{L} = 1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 

# **Identifying Heavy Flavor Jets**

- Light jets have a much higher production rate than heavy flavor jets
  - ~100:1 light jets to b jets
  - ~10:1 light jets to c jets
  - ~10:1 c jets to b jets
- But, heavy flavor jets can be distinguished due to the long lifetimes of their mesons
  - Average meson lifetimes
    - ~1.5 x 10<sup>-12</sup> seconds (B mesons)
    - ~0.8 x 10<sup>-12</sup> seconds (C mesons)
  - Decay *measurable distances* from the primary vertex
- The secondary vertex:
  - Contains valuable information to identify heavy flavor jets

### b Jet Neural Network Output



The inputs to the NN combine several characteristic quantities of the jet and associated tracks to provide a continuous output value between zero and one. The input variables are the number of reconstructed secondary vertices in the jet, the mass of the secondary vertex, the number of tracks used to reconstruct the secondary vertex, the two dimensional decay length significance of the secondary vertex in the plane transverse to the beam, a weighted combination of the tracks' transverse impact parameter significances, and the probability that a jet originates from the primary vertex, which is referred to as the JLIP probability. The NN output value tends toward one and zero for b jets and non-b jets, respectively.

### Ashish Kumar



ALPGEN describes shape well except at low  $p_T^Z$ All generators show significant normalization differences to the data Ashish Kumar

MCFM NLO better describes data except at low  $p_T^{Z}$ , where nonpert. Processes dominate

**DPF-2011** 

(a)