

Gil Paz

Enrico Fermi Institute, The University of Chicago & Department of Physics and Astronomy, Wayne State University

Michael Benzke, Seung J. Lee, Matthias Neubert, GP

PRL 106, 141801 (2011) [arXiv:1012.3167 (hep-ph)]

$\bar{B} ightarrow X_s \gamma$ in the SM

• $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ is a flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) In SM no FCNC at tree level Arises as a loop effect:



gives rise to the operator:

$$Q_{7\gamma}=rac{-e}{8\pi^2}m_bar{s}\sigma_{\mu
u}F^{\mu
u}(1+\gamma_5)b$$

part of the effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{eff}} \ni rac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} C_{7\gamma} Q_{7\gamma}$$

Constraints on New Physics: $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

B
 → X_sγ is an important probe of new physics

 b → sγ can have contribution from new physics e.g. SUSY (only one diagram shown):



leads to same operator, modifies $C_{7\gamma}$

Constraints on New Physics: $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

B
 → X_sγ is an important probe of new physics

 b → sγ can have contribution from new physics e.g. SUSY (only one diagram shown):



leads to same operator, modifies $C_{7\gamma}$

• But $Q_{7\gamma}$ is not the whole story...

How To Make a Photon?

• Produce it directly...

$$Q_{7\gamma} = \frac{-e}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s} \sigma_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} (1+\gamma_5) b$$

How To Make a Photon?

• Produce it directly...

$$Q_{7\gamma}=rac{-e}{8\pi^2}m_bar{s}\sigma_{\mu
u}F^{\mu
u}(1+\gamma_5)b$$

• Or make a gluon or a quark pair

$$egin{array}{rcl} Q_{8g} &=& rac{-e}{8\pi^2}m_bar{s}\sigma_{\mu
u}G^{\mu
u}(1+\gamma_5)b \ Q_1^q &=& (ar{q}b)_{V-A}(ar{s}q)_{V-A} & (p=u,c) \end{array}$$

and convert them to a photon

How To Make a Photon?

• Produce it directly...

$$Q_{7\gamma}=rac{-e}{8\pi^2}m_bar{s}\sigma_{\mu
u}F^{\mu
u}(1+\gamma_5)b$$

• Or make a gluon or a quark pair

$$egin{array}{rcl} Q_{8g} &=& rac{-e}{8\pi^2}m_bar{s}\sigma_{\mu
u}G^{\mu
u}(1+\gamma_5)b \ Q_1^q &=& (ar{q}b)_{V-A}(ar{s}q)_{V-A} & (p=u,c) \end{array}$$

and convert them to a photon

• But it will cost you..



Effective Hamiltonian

• For $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ need Effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{p=u,c} \lambda_p \left(C_1 Q_1^p + C_2 Q_2^p + \sum_i C_i Q_i + C_{7\gamma} Q_{7\gamma} + C_{8g} Q_{8g} \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

• At leading power only $Q_{7\gamma} - Q_{7\gamma}$ contribute

• At higher orders need other $Q_i - Q_i$ contributions

Effective Hamiltonian

• For $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ need Effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\rho=u,c} \lambda_\rho \left(C_1 Q_1^\rho + C_2 Q_2^\rho + \sum_i C_i Q_i + C_{7\gamma} Q_{7\gamma} + C_{8g} Q_{8g} \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

• At leading power only $Q_{7\gamma} - Q_{7\gamma}$ contribute

- At higher orders need other $Q_i Q_j$ contributions
- Most important: $Q_{7\gamma}, Q_{8g}$, and Q_1

$$Q_{7\gamma} = \frac{-e}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s} \sigma_{\mu\nu} (1+\gamma_5) F^{\mu\nu} b$$

$$Q_{8g} = \frac{-g_s}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s} \sigma_{\mu\nu} (1+\gamma_5) G^{\mu\nu} b$$

$$Q_1^q = (\bar{q}b)_{V-A} (\bar{s}q)_{V-A} (q=u,c)$$

• Ratio of Wilson coefficients:

$$C_1$$
 : $C_{7\gamma}$: C_{8g}
3 : 1 : $\frac{1}{2}$

• Measured [Heavy Flavor Averaging Group, arXiv:1010.1589]

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_{s}\gamma} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_{s}\gamma) - \Gamma(B \to X_{\bar{s}}\gamma)}{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_{s}\gamma) + \Gamma(B \to X_{\bar{s}}\gamma)} = -(1.2 \pm 2.8)\%$$

Experiments measure $\mathcal{A}_{X_s\gamma}(E_{\gamma} \geq E_0)$ where $1.9 \leq E_0 \leq 2.1 \, \mathrm{GeV}$

• Measured [Heavy Flavor Averaging Group, arXiv:1010.1589]

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_{s}\gamma} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_{s}\gamma) - \Gamma(B \to X_{\bar{s}}\gamma)}{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_{s}\gamma) + \Gamma(B \to X_{\bar{s}}\gamma)} = -(1.2 \pm 2.8)\%$$

Experiments measure $\mathcal{A}_{X_s\gamma}(E_\gamma \geq E_0)$ where $1.9 \leq E_0 \leq 2.1\, {
m GeV}$

• Theoretically, thought to be of a perturbative origin, e.g.



We need

- "Weak" (CP odd) phase: CKM phase $\propto \lambda^2$, C_i of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ (not in SM)
- "Strong" (CP even) phase: α_s suppressed

• The perturbative prediction

[Kagan, Neubert PRD **58**, 094012 (1998); Asatryan, Asatrian, Yeghiyan, Savvidy Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16**, 3805 (2001)]

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_s\gamma}^{\mathrm{dir}}(E_0) = \alpha_s \left\{ \frac{40}{81} \operatorname{Im} \frac{C_1}{C_{7\gamma}} - \frac{8z}{9} \left[v(z) + b(z,\delta) \right] \operatorname{Im} \left[(1+\epsilon_s) \frac{C_1}{C_{7\gamma}} \right] - \frac{4}{9} \operatorname{Im} \frac{C_{8g}}{C_{7\gamma}} + \frac{8z}{27} b(z,\delta) \frac{\operatorname{Im} \left[(1+\epsilon_s) C_1 C_{8g}^* \right]}{|C_{7\gamma}|^2} + \frac{16z}{27} \tilde{b}(z,\delta) \left| \frac{C_1}{C_{7\gamma}} \right|^2 \operatorname{Im} \epsilon_s \right\}$$

where
$$\delta = (m_b - 2E_0)/m_b$$
, $z = (m_c/m_b)^2$
and $\epsilon_s = (V_{ub}V_{us}^*)/(V_{tb}V_{ts}^*) \approx \lambda^2(i\bar{\eta} - \bar{\rho})$

• Taking $m_c^2 = \mathcal{O}(m_b \Lambda_{\rm QCD})$, expand $z, \delta = \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b)$

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_{s\gamma}}^{\text{dir}} = \alpha_{s} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{40}{81} \operatorname{Im} \frac{C_{1}}{C_{7\gamma}} - \frac{4}{9} \operatorname{Im} \frac{C_{8g}}{C_{7\gamma}} \\ -\frac{40\Lambda_{c}}{9m_{b}} \operatorname{Im} \left[(1 + \epsilon_{s}) \frac{C_{1}}{C_{7\gamma}} \right] + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}} \right) \right\}$$

where $\Lambda_c(m_c,m_b)pprox 0.38\,{
m GeV}$

• In the SM triple suppression: α_s , Im $(\epsilon_s) \sim \lambda^2$, and $(m_c/m_b)^2 \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b$

• In SM asymmetry around 0.5%

[Soares NPB **367**, 575 (1991); Kagan, Neubert PRD **58**, 094012 (1998); Ali, Asatrian, Greub PLB **429**, 87 (1998)]

• In SM asymmetry around 0.5%

[Soares NPB **367**, 575 (1991); Kagan, Neubert PRD **58**, 094012 (1998); Ali, Asatrian, Greub PLB **429**, 87 (1998)]

• A dedicated analysis [Hurth, Lunghi, Porod NPB **704**, 56 (2005)] $\mathcal{A}_{X_{s\gamma}}^{\text{SM}} = (0.44^{+0.15}_{-0.10} \pm 0.03^{+0.19}_{-0.09})\%$ errors: m_c/m_b , CKM parameters, and scale

• In SM asymmetry around 0.5%

[Soares NPB **367**, 575 (1991); Kagan, Neubert PRD **58**, 094012 (1998); Ali, Asatrian, Greub PLB **429**, 87 (1998)]

- A dedicated analysis [Hurth, Lunghi, Porod NPB **704**, 56 (2005)] $\mathcal{A}_{X_s\gamma}^{SM} = (0.44^{+0.15}_{-0.10} \pm 0.03^{+0.19}_{-0.09})\%$ errors: m_c/m_b , CKM parameters, and scale
- $\bullet\,$ Compared to measured, $\mathcal{A}_{X_{s}\gamma}=-(1.2\pm2.8)\%$, room for new physics

• In SM asymmetry around 0.5%

[Soares NPB **367**, 575 (1991); Kagan, Neubert PRD **58**, 094012 (1998); Ali, Asatrian, Greub PLB **429**, 87 (1998)]

- A dedicated analysis [Hurth, Lunghi, Porod NPB **704**, 56 (2005)] $\mathcal{A}_{X_s\gamma}^{SM} = (0.44^{+0.15}_{-0.10} \pm 0.03^{+0.19}_{-0.09})\%$ errors: m_c/m_b , CKM parameters, and scale
- Compared to measured, $A_{X_s\gamma} = -(1.2 \pm 2.8)$ %, room for new physics [Wolfenstein, Wu PRL **73**, 2809 (1994); Asatrian, Ioannisian PRD **54**, 5642 (1996); Asatrian, Egiian, Ioannisian PLB **399**, 303 (1997); Borzumati, Greub PRD **58**, 074004 (1998); Kagan, Neubert PRD **58**, 094012 (1998); Hurth Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 1159 (2003); M. Blanke *et al.* JHEP **0612**, 003 (2006); Ellis, Lee, Pilaftsis PRD **76** (2007) 115011; W. Altmannshofer *et al.*, NPB **830**, 17 (2010); Soni *et al.* PRD **82**, 033009 (2010); Buras *et al.* JHEP **1009**, 106 (2010); Jung, Pich, Tuzon PRD **83**, 074011 (2011),...]

CP asymmetry in Future B factories

- SM asymmetry around 0.5%
- Measured, $\mathcal{A}_{X_s\gamma} = -(1.2\pm2.8)\%$, room for new physics
- One of the goals of the super B factories:
 - Super B in Italy
 - Belle 2 in Japan

CP asymmetry in Future B factories



44

Conceptual Design Report arXiv:0709.0451

The Physics

Table 2-2. The expected precision of some of the most important measurements that can be performed at SuperB. For comparison we put the reach of the B Factories at 2 ab⁻¹. Numbers quoted as percentages are relative precisions. Measurements marked (†) will be systematics limited, and those marked (*) will be theoretically limited, with 75 ab^{-1} . Note that in many of these cases, there exist data driven methods of reducing the errors. See the text for further discussion of each measurement.

Observable B Factories (2 ab⁻¹) SuperB (75 ab⁻¹)

 $A_{CP}(b \to s\gamma) \qquad \qquad 0.012 (\dagger) \qquad \qquad 0.004 (\dagger)$

SuperB Conceptual Design Report

CP asymmetry in Future B factories



Technical Design Report arXiv:1011.0352

Observable	Belle 2006	SuperKEKB		[†] LHCb	
	$(\sim 0.5 \text{ ab}^{-1})$	(5 ab^{-1})	(50 ab^{-1})	(2 fb^{-1})	(10 fb^{-1})
$A_{CP}(B ightarrow X_s \gamma)$	0.058	0.01	0.005	-	-

...but the theory prediction is not complete

...but the theory prediction is not complete

• What is missing are the resolved photon contributions [Lee, Neubert, GP PRD **75**, 114005 (2007); Benzke, Lee, Neubert, GP JHEP **1008** 099 (2010)]

...but the theory prediction is not complete

- What is missing are the resolved photon contributions [Lee, Neubert, GP PRD **75**, 114005 (2007); Benzke, Lee, Neubert, GP JHEP **1008** 099 (2010)]
- Resolved photon contributions probe photon's hadronic structure Arise from $Q_i - Q_j$ contributions, other than $Q_{7\gamma} - Q_{7\gamma}$, e.g.



...but the theory prediction is not complete

- What is missing are the resolved photon contributions [Lee, Neubert, GP PRD **75**, 114005 (2007); Benzke, Lee, Neubert, GP JHEP **1008** 099 (2010)]
- Resolved photon contributions probe photon's hadronic structure Arise from $Q_i - Q_j$ contributions, other than $Q_{7\gamma} - Q_{7\gamma}$, e.g.



• Give non-perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b)$ corrections to $\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma)$ Unlike $\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_{c,u}/\bar{\nu})$, where non-perturbative $= \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/m_b^2)$ Currently largest irreducible error of $\sim 5\%$ on $\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma)$

...but the theory prediction is not complete

- What is missing are the resolved photon contributions [Lee, Neubert, GP PRD **75**, 114005 (2007); Benzke, Lee, Neubert, GP JHEP **1008** 099 (2010)]
- Resolved photon contributions probe photon's hadronic structure Arise from $Q_i - Q_j$ contributions, other than $Q_{7\gamma} - Q_{7\gamma}$, e.g.



• Give non-perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b)$ corrections to $\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma)$ Unlike $\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_{c,u}/\bar{\nu})$, where non-perturbative $= \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/m_b^2)$ Currently largest irreducible error of $\sim 5\%$ on $\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma)$

 Calculated from a systematic study using Soft Collinear Effective Theory (SCET)

Are they important for the CP asymmetry?

Are they important for the CP asymmetry?

• For $\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma)$

Direct photon contributions are O(1) effect Resolved photon contributions are $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b)$ effect

Are they important for the CP asymmetry?

• For $\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma)$

Direct photon contributions are O(1) effect Resolved photon contributions are $O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_b)$ effect

• For $\mathcal{A}_{X_{s}\gamma}$

Direct photon contributions are α_s suppressed Resolved photon contributions are $O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_b)$ effect

Are they important for the CP asymmetry?

• For $\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma)$

Direct photon contributions are O(1) effect Resolved photon contributions are $O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_b)$ effect

• For $\mathcal{A}_{X_{s}\gamma}$

Direct photon contributions are α_s suppressed Resolved photon contributions are $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b)$ effect

 \Rightarrow Potentially big effect!



• The non perturbative functions h_{ij} are

$$h_{17}(\omega_1)$$
 F.T. of $\langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)\cdots G(s\bar{n})\cdots b(0)|\bar{B}\rangle$

$$h_{78}^{(1)}(\omega_1,\omega_2)$$
 F.T. of $\langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)\cdots b(0)\sum_q e_q \bar{q}(r\bar{n})\cdots q(s\bar{n})|\bar{B}\rangle$

Cannot be extracted from data, must be modeled

• How can a dimension 5 and 6 operators

$$\langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)\cdots b(0)\sum_{q} e_{q} \bar{q}(r\bar{n})\cdots q(s\bar{n})|\bar{B}\rangle, \ \langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)\cdots G(s\bar{n})\cdots b(0)|\bar{B}\rangle$$

give $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b$ corrections to dimension 3 operator $\langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)b(0)|\bar{B}\rangle$?

• How can a dimension 5 and 6 operators $\langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)\cdots b(0)\sum_{q}e_{q}\bar{q}(r\bar{n})\cdots q(s\bar{n})|\bar{B}\rangle, \ \langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)\cdots G(s\bar{n})\cdots b(0)|\bar{B}\rangle$

give $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b$ corrections to dimension 3 operator $\langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)b(0)|\bar{B}\rangle$?

• Define $\bar{n}, n = (1, 0, 0, \pm 1) \Rightarrow n \cdot p = E + |\vec{p}|, \ \bar{n} \cdot p = E - |\vec{p}|$



• How can a dimension 5 and 6 operators $\langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)\cdots b(0)\sum_{q}e_{q}\bar{q}(r\bar{n})\cdots q(s\bar{n})|\bar{B}\rangle, \ \langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)\cdots G(s\bar{n})\cdots b(0)|\bar{B}\rangle$

give $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b$ corrections to dimension 3 operator $\langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)b(0)|\bar{B}
angle$?

• Define $\bar{n}, n = (1, 0, 0, \pm 1) \Rightarrow n \cdot p = E + |\vec{p}|, \ \bar{n} \cdot p = E - |\vec{p}|$



• How can a dimension 5 and 6 operators $\langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)\cdots b(0)\sum_{q}e_{q}\bar{q}(r\bar{n})\cdots q(s\bar{n})|\bar{B}\rangle, \ \langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)\cdots G(s\bar{n})\cdots b(0)|\bar{B}\rangle$

give $\Lambda_{
m QCD}/m_b$ corrections to dimension 3 operator $\langle ar{B}|ar{b}(0)b(0)|ar{B}
angle$?

• Define $\bar{n}, n = (1, 0, 0, \pm 1) \Rightarrow n \cdot p = E + |\vec{p}|, \ \bar{n} \cdot p = E - |\vec{p}|$



• Soft functions are convoluted with jet functions \bar{J} which enhance their contribution

Strong Phase

- For a non-zero asymmetry we need
 - "Weak" (CP odd) phase: CKM phase $\propto \lambda^2$, C_i of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ (not in SM)
 - "Strong" (CP even) phase: What is it?

Strong Phase

- For a non-zero asymmetry we need
 - "Weak" (CP odd) phase: CKM phase $\propto \lambda^2$, C_i of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ (not in SM)
 - "Strong" (CP even) phase: What is it?
- Resolved photon contributions



h_{ij} are real due to PT and heavy quark symmetry
 J are complex: arise from uncut propagators and loops

Resolved Photon contributions to CP asymmetry

• At lowest order in α_s and $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_b)$

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_{s}\gamma}^{\mathrm{res}} = \frac{\pi}{m_{b}} \left\{ \mathrm{Im}\left[(1 + \epsilon_{s}) \frac{C_{1}}{C_{7\gamma}} \right] \tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^{c} - \mathrm{Im}\left[\epsilon_{s} \frac{C_{1}}{C_{7\gamma}} \right] \tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^{u} + \mathrm{Im} \frac{C_{8g}}{C_{7\gamma}} 4\pi \alpha_{s} \tilde{\Lambda}_{78}^{\bar{B}} \right\}$$

with

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^{u} &= \frac{2}{3} h_{17}(0) \\ \tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^{c} &= \frac{2}{3} \int_{4m_{c}^{2}/m_{b}}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_{1}}{\omega_{1}} f\left(\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{m_{b}\,\omega_{1}}\right) h_{17}(\omega_{1}) \\ \tilde{\Lambda}_{78}^{\bar{B}} &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_{1}}{\omega_{1}} \left[h_{78}^{(1)}(\omega_{1},\omega_{1}) - h_{78}^{(1)}(\omega_{1},0) \right] \end{split}$$

where

$$f(x) = 2x \ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}$$

• Model *h_{ij}* as in

[Benzke, Lee, Neubert, GP JHEP 1008 099 (2010)]

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{78}^{\bar{B}} = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} \left[h_{78}^{(1)}(\omega_1, \omega_1) - h_{78}^{(1)}(\omega_1, 0) \right]$$

Recall

$$h_{78}^{(1)}(\omega_1,\omega_2)$$
 F.T. of $\langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)\cdots b(0)\sum_q e_q \,\bar{q}(r\bar{n})\cdots q(s\bar{n})|\bar{B}\rangle$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{78}^{\bar{B}} = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} \left[h_{78}^{(1)}(\omega_1, \omega_1) - h_{78}^{(1)}(\omega_1, 0) \right]$$

Recall

$$h_{78}^{(1)}(\omega_1,\omega_2)$$
 F.T. of $\langle \bar{B}|\bar{b}(0)\cdots b(0)\sum_q e_q \,\bar{q}(r\bar{n})\cdots q(s\bar{n})|\bar{B}\rangle$

Fierz and use VIA

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{78}^{\bar{B}}\Big|_{\text{VIA}} = e_{\text{spec}} \frac{2f_B^2 M_B}{9} \int_0^\infty d\omega_1 \frac{\left[\phi_+^B(\omega_1,\mu)\right]^2}{\omega_1}$$

where $\phi^B_+(\omega_1)$ is B-meson LCDA ("wave function")

• Using [Lee, Neubert PRD 72, 094028 (2005)] to constrain the integral

$$\left. \widetilde{\Lambda}^{ar{B}}_{78}
ight|_{ ext{VIA}} \in \emph{e}_{ ext{spec}}[ext{17 MeV}, \ ext{190 MeV}]$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}^{c}_{17}$$
 and $\tilde{\Lambda}^{u}_{17}$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^{c} = \frac{2}{3} \int_{4m_{c}^{2}/m_{b}}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_{1}}{\omega_{1}} f\left(\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{m_{b}\,\omega_{1}}\right) h_{17}(\omega_{1})$$

• $h_{17}(\omega_1)$ has support over hadronic range The convolution starts at $4m_c^2/m_b \approx 1$ GeV \Rightarrow small overlap

$$-9~{
m MeV}< ilde\Lambda^c_{17}<+11~{
m MeV}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}^{c}_{17}$$
 and $\tilde{\Lambda}^{u}_{17}$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^{c} = \frac{2}{3} \int_{4m_{c}^{2}/m_{b}}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_{1}}{\omega_{1}} f\left(\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{m_{b}\,\omega_{1}}\right) h_{17}(\omega_{1})$$

• $h_{17}(\omega_1)$ has support over hadronic range The convolution starts at $4m_c^2/m_b \approx 1$ GeV \Rightarrow small overlap

$$-9\,{
m MeV}< ilde\Lambda^c_{17}<+11\,{
m MeV}$$

We need to estimate

$$\tilde{\Lambda}^{u}_{17} = rac{2}{3} h_{17}(0)$$

We find

$$-330\,\text{MeV}<\tilde{\Lambda}^{\textit{u}}_{17}<+525\,\text{MeV}$$

Asymmetric range since $h_{17}(\omega_1)$ normalization is $2\lambda_2 \approx 0.24 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$ Same result as naive dimensional analysis

Correct CP asymmetry in the SM

• Including both direct and resolved contributions using $\mu = 2 \text{ GeV}$ for the factorization scale

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{X_s\gamma}^{\mathrm{SM}} &\approx \pi \left| \frac{C_1}{C_{7\gamma}} \right| \operatorname{Im} \epsilon_s \left(\frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^u - \tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^c}{m_b} + \frac{40\alpha_s}{9\pi} \, \frac{\Lambda_c}{m_b} \right) \\ &= \left(1.15 \times \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^u - \tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^c}{300 \, \mathrm{MeV}} + 0.71 \right) \% \,. \end{split}$$

Correct CP asymmetry in the SM

• Including both direct and resolved contributions using $\mu = 2 \text{ GeV}$ for the factorization scale

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{X_s\gamma}^{\mathrm{SM}} &\approx \pi \left| \frac{C_1}{C_{7\gamma}} \right| \operatorname{Im} \epsilon_s \left(\frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^u - \tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^c}{m_b} + \frac{40\alpha_s}{9\pi} \, \frac{\Lambda_c}{m_b} \right) \\ &= \left(1.15 \times \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^u - \tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^c}{300 \, \mathrm{MeV}} + 0.71 \right) \% \,. \end{split}$$

• CP asymmetry dominated by non-perturbative effects!

$$-0.6\% < \mathcal{A}_{X_{s}\gamma}^{\mathrm{SM}} < 2.8\%$$

Only value below -2% could be interpreted as sign of new physics

Correct CP asymmetry Beyond the SM

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_{X_s\gamma}}{\pi} \approx \left[\left(\frac{40}{81} - \frac{40}{9} \frac{\Lambda_c}{m_b} \right) \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} + \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^c}{m_b} \right] \operatorname{Im} \frac{C_1}{C_{7\gamma}} \\ - \left(\frac{4\alpha_s}{9\pi} - 4\pi\alpha_s \, e_{\operatorname{spec}} \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_{78}}{m_b} \right) \operatorname{Im} \frac{C_{8g}}{C_{7\gamma}} \\ - \left(\frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^u - \tilde{\Lambda}_{17}^c}{m_b} + \frac{40}{9} \frac{\Lambda_c}{m_b} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \operatorname{Im} \left(\epsilon_s \, \frac{C_1}{C_{7\gamma}} \right)$$

• New test of physics beyond the SM

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_s^-\gamma} - \mathcal{A}_{X_s^0\gamma} pprox 4\pi^2 lpha_s rac{ ilde{\Lambda}_{78}}{m_b} \operatorname{Im} rac{C_{8g}}{C_{7\gamma}} pprox 12\% imes rac{ ilde{\Lambda}_{78}}{100 \, \mathrm{MeV}} \operatorname{Im} rac{C_{8g}}{C_{7\gamma}}$$

Should be looked at by experiments!

Comments on $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_d \gamma$

- $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_d \gamma$ analogous to $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$: replace ϵ_s by $\epsilon_d = (V_{ub}V_{ud}^*)/(V_{tb}V_{td}^*) = (\bar{\rho} - i\bar{\eta})/(1 - \bar{\rho} + i\bar{\eta})$
- CP asymmetry for $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_d \gamma$ in SM enhanced by $\text{Im}(\epsilon_d)/\text{Im}(\epsilon_s) \approx -22$
- Including resolved photon contributions

$$-62\% < \mathcal{A}^{
m SM}_{X_d\gamma} < 14\%$$

Comments on $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_d \gamma$

- $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_d \gamma$ analogous to $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$: replace ϵ_s by $\epsilon_d = (V_{ub}V_{ud}^*)/(V_{tb}V_{td}^*) = (\bar{\rho} - i\bar{\eta})/(1 - \bar{\rho} + i\bar{\eta})$
- CP asymmetry for $\bar{B} \to X_d \gamma$ in SM enhanced by $\text{Im}(\epsilon_d)/\text{Im}(\epsilon_s) \approx -22$
- Including resolved photon contributions

$$-62\% < \mathcal{A}_{X_d\gamma}^{
m SM} < 14\%$$

- Untagged CP asymmetry for B
 → X_{s+d} γ vanishes in SM up to tiny U-spin breaking corrections
 [Soares NPB 367, 575 (1991); Kagan, Neubert PRD 58, 094012
 (1998);Hurth, Mannel PLB 511, 196 (2001)]
 - Even after including resolved photon effects

• Direct CP asymmetry in $\overline{B} \to X_{s,d} \gamma$ is a probe of new physics its precise measurement is one of the goals of future B factories

• Direct CP asymmetry in $\overline{B} \to X_{s,d} \gamma$ is a probe of new physics its precise measurement is one of the goals of future B factories

• How well can we calculate $\mathcal{A}_{X_s\gamma}$?

- Direct CP asymmetry in $\overline{B} \to X_{s,d} \gamma$ is a probe of new physics its precise measurement is one of the goals of future B factories
- How well can we calculate $\mathcal{A}_{X_{s\gamma}}$? Worse than we thought...

- Direct CP asymmetry in $\overline{B} \to X_{s,d} \gamma$ is a probe of new physics its precise measurement is one of the goals of future B factories
- How well can we calculate $\mathcal{A}_{X_{s\gamma}}$? Worse than we thought...
- Resolved photon contributions, which are non perturbative, are the dominant effect in the SM [Benzke, Lee, Neubert, GP PRL 106, 141801 (2011)]

$$-0.6\% < \mathcal{A}_{X_s\gamma}^{
m SM} < 2.8\%$$

compared to $\mathcal{A}_{X_s\gamma}^{\rm SM}\approx 0.5\%$ from perturbative effects alone

• **New** test of physics beyond the SM

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_s^-\gamma} - \mathcal{A}_{X_s^0\gamma} \approx 4\pi^2 \alpha_s \, rac{ ilde{\Lambda}_{78}}{m_b} \, \mathrm{Im} \, rac{C_{8g}}{C_{7\gamma}} pprox 12\% imes rac{ ilde{\Lambda}_{78}}{100 \, \mathrm{MeV}} \, \mathrm{Im} \, rac{C_{8g}}{C_{7\gamma}}$$