

Hadrontherapy

GAP Cirrone, F Farrokhi, S Fattori, L Pandola, G Petringa, A Sciuto

Hadrontherapy

2

First published in 2002

Full reproduction of a 62 MeV clinical protontherapy beamline;

Improved with two additional beam lines (250 MeV clinical protons and 70 AMeV experimental ions);

Improved with a laser-driven beamline for irradiation studies with new beam modalities;

All the relevant dosimetric and radiobiology quantities:

Dose, fluence, LET-t, LET-d, RBE, energy spectra;

For primary and secondaries





LAST IMPROVEMENTS

Laser-driven beamline

Phase Space -> Not released

Dose averaged and track averaged LET

RBE calculations

Bragg peak/radiobiology validation

DICOM interface -> Not released

Hadrontherapy



3







Voxelised water phantom where **relevant quantities** are derived in 1D - 2D and 3D geometries

GAP Cirrone, PhD - pablo.cirrone@Ins.infn.it

Hadrontherapy testing in G4-MED

4 Hadrontherapy is one of the examples present in the **Geant-Val platform**

Hadrontherapy Test



Current version monitories the cell **Survival Fraction and RBE calculation** for a 62 MeV Proton Beam with the three em options of the example: emstandard_opt4, HADRONTHERAPY_1 and HADRONTHERAPY_2;

LET calculation and comparison with data for proton, Carbon, Helium and Oxygen beams of clinical interest, are being inserted GAP Cirrone, PhD - pablo.cirrone@Ins.infn.it

LET simulations vs microdosimetry data: protons



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica

5

$$\bar{L}_d = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N L_i \varepsilon_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_i}$$

$$\bar{L}_d^{Total} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^N L_i \varepsilon_i\right]_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_i\right]_j}$$

 $\bar{L}_T = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N L_i l_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N l_i}$

 $\bar{L}_T^{Total} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n [\sum_{i=1}^N L_i l_i]_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n [\sum_{i=1}^N l_i]_j}.$

L: total electronic stopping power ϵ : energy loss

t: track length

GAP Cirrone, PhD - pablo.cirrone@Ins.infn.it

Physics in Medicine & Biology

IPEM Institute of Physics and Engineering in Medicine

PAPER

Monte Carlo implementation of new algorithms for the evaluation of averaged-dose and -track linear energy transfers in 62 MeV clinical proton beams

G Petringa¹, L Pandola¹, S Agosteo^{2,3}, R Catalano¹, P Colautti⁴, V Conte⁴, G Cuttone¹, K Fan⁵, Z Mei⁵, A Rosenfeld⁶, A Selva⁴ and GAP Cirrone^{1,*}

(2020) doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/abaeb9

New formulation for LET-dose and LET-track

Independence from the **production cut**

Extensible to higer-Z ions

Taking into account the **primary** beam and the **secondaries produced** in hadronic interactions

LET simulations vs microdosimetry data: protons

6



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Contribution of **secondaries**;

Agreement with detectors able to also evaluate the **fragments**; **Projectile fragmentation** already predicted in 2014 by our group

LET simulations vs microdosimetry data: Carbon





Mono- and poly-chromatic 62 AMeV Carbon beam Also validated against microdosimetric data

GAP Cirrone, PhD - pablo.cirrone@Ins.infn.it

LET simulations vs microdosimetry data: Helium, 62 AMeV



0.8

Dose [a.u.]

0.2

0.0

40

•.

30

80

60

40

20

0 ل

Let Total [keV/um]









Oxygen study is ongoing

GAP Cirrone, PhD - pablo.cirrone@Ins.infn.it

Relative Biological Effectiveness (RBE)

9

Computation Method coupling Geant4 to LEM







applied sciences

MDPI

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Article

Radiobiological Outcomes, Microdosimetric Evaluations and Monte Carlo Predictions in Eye Proton Therapy

Giada Petringa ^{1,2,†}, Marco Calvaruso ^{1,3,*}, Valeria Conte ⁴, Pavel Bláha ⁵, Valentina Bravatà ^{1,3}, Francesco Paolo Cammarata ^{1,3}, Giacomo Cuttone ^{1,6}, Giusi Irma Forte ^{1,3}, Otilija Keta ⁷, Lorenzo Manti ^{5,8}, Luigi Minafra ^{1,3}, Vladana Petković ⁷, Ivan Petrović ⁷, Selene Richiusa ^{1,3}, Aleksandra Ristić Fira ⁷, Giorgio Russo ^{1,3}, and Giuseppe Antonio Pablo Cirrone ^{1,6,9,†}

 The code was already successfully validated with clinical proton beams.

• A study with 12C is currently ongoing

The next step is to extend the validation with multiple light ions: 4He and 16O

Laser-driven acceleration



10

 Volume 56, number 3
 OPTICS COMMUNICATIONS

 COMPRESSION OF AMPLIFIED CHIRPED OPTICAL PULSES
 *

 Donna STRICKLAND and Gerard MOUROU
 Laboratory for Laser Energetics, University of Rochester, 250 East River Road, Rochester, NY 14623-1299,

 Received 5 July 1985
 We have demonstrated the amplification and subsequent recompression of optical chirped pulses. A specific compression of optical chirped pulses.

 $1.06 \ \mu m$ laser pulses with pulse widths of 2 ps and energies at the millioule level is presented

Beam with peculiar characteristics:

intense 10E13

very short in time (ns or less)

Need to transport in e.m. fields

Need to use (also) G4DNA physics to evaluate effects

Can we use nano-tube, oriented crystals?

GAP Cirrone, PhD - pablo.cirrone@Ins.infn.it

How Much Pressure Does a PW Laser Exert?

1 PW/1µm spot size corresponds to 10²³ w/cm²

That is the equivalent of the pressure of 10 million Eiffel Towers on the tip of your finger!!

Seriously extreme!

Laser-driven





11





Beam with peculiar characteristics:

intense 10E13

very short in time (ns or less)

Need to transport in e.m. fields

Need to use (also) G4DNA physics to evaluate effects

Can we use nano-tube, oriented crystals?

ELI-Beamline facility, Prague (CZ)

GAP Cirrone, PhD - pablo.cirrone@Ins.infn.it



Roberto Catalano, Davide Passarello, Pablo Cirrone, Emilio Zappalà, Nino Amato, Luciano Pandola, Giuliana Milluzzo, Michele Costa, Mariacristina Guarrera, Serena Fattori, Antonio Russo, Beatrice Cagni, Alma Kurmanova, Carmen Altana, Andrea Matamoros, Giuliana Navarra, Salvo Tudisco, Giacomo Cuttone, Giada Retringa, Gustavo Messina INFN-LNS Medical Physics Group - Catania, April 30, 2021