Validation of Geant4 physics via calorimeter test-beams in geant-val

D. Konstantinov, <u>L. Pezzotti</u>, A. Ribon CERN, EP-SFT





28th Geant4 Collaboration Meeting Hokkaido University, 25-29 September 2023



Outlook

- Our activity to include into <u>geant-val</u> calorimeters test-beams taken from HEP-experiment was introduced at the 2022 Geant4 Collaboration Meeting [<u>slides</u>]
- We maintain and develop several validation tests exploiting detectors from

the LHC experiments:

ATLAS Tile Calorimeter, ATLAS Hadronic Endcap Calorimeter, ATLAS LAr barrel Calorimeter, CMS High-Granularity Calorimeter (soon)

 future Higgs factories detectors prototypes: CALICE SiW Calorimeter, Dual-Readout fiber calorimeters



Outlook

- Our activity to include into <u>geant-val</u> calorimeters test-beams taken from HEP-experiment was introduced at the 2022 Geant4 Collaboration Meeting [<u>slides</u>]
- We maintain and develop several validation tests exploiting detectors from

the LHC experiments:

ATLAS Tile Calorimeter, ATLAS Hadronic Endcap Calorimeter, ATLAS LAr barrel Calorimeter, CMS High-Granularity Calorimeter (soon)

- future Higgs factories detectors prototypes: CALICE SiW Calorimeter, Dual-Readout fiber calorimeters
- Today's topics:
 - Comparison of ATLAS calorimeters using Geant4 and FLUKA.CERN hadronic models
 - New custom solutions developed for the ATLAS simulation
 - Studies of the new FTF tunings on thick detectors
 - Preliminary results from the new ATLAS LAr barrel Calorimeter test



ATLAS Hadronic End-Cap Calorimeter

- The ATLAS HEC:
 - Covers the range $1.5 < |\eta| < 3.2$. Divided into two wheels (HEC1-2) each consisting of 32 azimuthal modules
 - It uses 8.5-mm-gap LAr sampling regions inserted between parallel copper plates, with 2.5 cm (HEC1) and 5.0 cm (HEC2) thickness
 - ✤ It has four longitudinal layers with a thickness of $≈ 103X_0$ or $≈ 9.7λ_{int}$
- HEC beam test:
 - Tested in 2000-2001 at CERN-SPS-H6 beam line
 - Tests performed with 3 ϕ -wedges
 - ✤ Involving e^- , μ^- and hadrons with $6 \le E_{Beam} \le 200 \text{ GeV}$







ATLAS Tile Calorimeter

- ATLAS TileCal:
 - ✤ Mostly used to reconstruct hadronic jets in the range $|\eta| < 1.7$ thanks to 3 cylinders containing 64 modules each
 - Measure light in scintillating tiles immersed in iron Readout is grouped in pseudo projective cells with each layer readout by two PMTs
 - Each barrel consists of 11 tile rows grouped in 3 longitudinal layers
- TileCal beam test:
 - 2 Long Barrel Modules and 1 Extended Barrel module are regularly exposed to the SPS particle beams
 - The 2017 beam test studied the calorimeter response and resolution for π^+ , p and k^+ in the energy range 16-30 GeV
 - ✤ Cherenkov auxiliaries used to tag π^+ , p and k⁺







The G4-to-FLUKA.CERN interface

- We performed a quantitative comparison of the hadronic models provided by Geant4 (FTFP_BERT PL) and FLUKA.CERN
- See our <u>documentation</u> for a customized FTFP_BERT Physics Lists that uses the FLUKA.CERN Hadron Inelastic model

Caveats:

- User still needs to accept the FLUKA.CERN LICENSE
- Only works with Geant4.11.1.ref05
- Simulation must be run in single-threaded mode
- Used a custom FTFP_BERT Physics List that replaces the G4HadronPhysicsFTFP_BERT constructor with a new one that exploits the FLUKA.CERN interface

```
FLUKAHadronInelasticPhysics.cc
```

```
void FLUKAHadronInelasticPhysics::ConstructProcess() {
 //...
  const auto helper =
          G4PhysicsListHelper::GetPhysicsListHelper();
  // FLUKA hadron - nucleus inelastic XS
  const auto flukaInelasticScatteringXS =
                     new FLUKAInelasticScatteringXS();
  // FLUKA hadron - nucleus model
  const auto flukaModel =
                     new FLUKANuclearInelasticModel():
  // PROTON
  build_G4_process_helpers::buildInelasticProcess(
                                   G4Proton::Proton(),
                                   helper,
                           flukaInelasticScatteringXS,
                                   flukaModel);
  //...
```



ATLAS HEC response

- π/e extracted as the average π⁻ reconstructed energy, using the calibration at the electromagnetic scale, divided by the average value for same energy e⁻ beams
- Showing only the FTFP_BERT PL results, all results with other PLs are available at <u>geant-val.cern.ch</u>
- FLUKA.CERN and Geant4 are very close in the average signal produced
- ✦ They both scale well with E_{beam} , which likely means that the π^0 production is well modeled by both Monte Carlos



ATLAS TileCal response - π^+, k^+, p

- Excellent work by ATLAS to disentangle contributions from π^+ , k^+ and p in the ATLAS TileCal:
 - ✤ Visible difference in the response to *p* and π⁺: due to the baryon number conservation law, high *f_{em}* processes (e.g. π⁺ + n → π⁰ + p) are prohibited for *p*-induced events



Hadronic shower shape

- The ATLAS HEC is made of 4 longitudinal layers
- ♦ It is possible to measure the energy profile as the energy fraction deposited in each layer: $F_i = \langle E_i \rangle / E_{sum}, E_{sum} = \Sigma \langle E_i \rangle$ and the F_i dependence over E_{Beam}
- Average shower depth:
 - Extracted as the mean (L_0) of the energy profile, as a function of E_{Beam}



- barycenter longitudinal position





Hadronic shower shape

- The ATLAS HEC is made of 4 longitudinal layers
- ♦ It is possible to measure the energy profile as the energy fraction deposited in each layer: $F_i = \langle E_i \rangle / E_{sum}, E_{sum} = \Sigma \langle E_i \rangle$ and the F_i dependence over E_{Beam}
- Average shower depth:
 - Extracted as the mean (L_0) of the energy profile, as a function of E_{Beam}
- Average shower length:
 - Extracted as the RMS (σ_L) of the energy profile





ATLAS HEC resolution

- σ/E extracted from a gaussian fit of the energy distributions
 - ATLAS HEC regression testing:
 - Geant4.10.4 (2017) was found to be in good agreement with ATLAS data
 - A big drop in the hadronic signal fluctuations happened between Geant4 10.4 and 10.5 (2018). Stable since then





ATLAS HEC resolution

- σ/E extracted from a gaussian fit of the energy distributions
 - ATLAS HEC regression testing:
 - Geant4.10.4 (2017) was found to be in good agreement with ATLAS data
 - A big drop in the hadronic signal fluctuations happened between Geant4 10.4 and 10.5 (2018). Stable since then
 - **ATLAS HEC** Geant4 vs. FLUKA.CERN:
 - Currently both Geant4 and FLUKA.CERN underestimate the HEC resolution by $\simeq 15\% 20\%$
 - Similar results from the TileCal signal fluctuations





Testing alternative FTF tunes

Custom tunes studies for ATLAS and tests of existing tunes



Changing FTF parameters

- ATLAS moved from Geant4-10.1 to Geant4-10.6 for the Run3 MC campaign → we need to improve the (too) narrow signal fluctuations
- We tried to achieve it by changing the FTF parameters (G4FTFParameters.cc) affecting the charge-exchange stringformation process and the nuclear destruction
- These changes:
 - increase the probability of having a charge-exchange process during the string formation
 - increase the probability of involving a neighboring nucleon during the Reggeon cascade
 - increase the excitation energy per wounded nucleon
- These changes only affect π^{\pm} -induced showers
- We studied their effect on ATLAS calorimeters using Geant4-10.6.p03



Changing FTF parameters

- ATLAS moved from Geant4-10.1 to Geant4-10.6 for the Run3 MC campaign → we need to improve the (too) narrow signal fluctuations
- We tried to achieve it by changing the FTF parameters (G4FTFParameters.cc) affecting the charge-exchange stringformation process and the nuclear destruction
- These changes:
 - increase the probability of having a charge-exchange process during the string formation
 - increase the probability of involving a neighboring nucleon during the Reggeon cascade
 - increase the excitation energy per wounded nucleon
- These changes only affect π^{\pm} -induced showers
- We studied their effect on ATLAS calorimeters using Geant4-10.6.p03





Alternative FTF tunes

Geant4-11.1 introduced alternative FTF-model tunes selectable via the G4FTFTunings singleton

- FTF tunes were extracted by J. Yarba, several examples reported in her <u>presentation</u> at the HSF Detector Simulation WG meetings
- Currently, 4 tunes are available: default (index=0), baryon-tune2022-v0 (index=1), pion-tune2022-v0 (index=2), combined-tune2022-v0 (index=3)
- From the <u>release notes</u>: Currently, the feature is mostly meant for use in internal tests, further study and development
- These alternative tunes are obtained as best parameters to maximize the MC agreement with thin target experimental data
 - We studied their impact on **thick** targets



Testing alternative FTF tunes on calorimeters

- ✦ Alternative tunes do not lead to significant changes in most calorimeter results
- We observed a small improvement in the hadronic shower shape using the ATLAS HEC



However, such improvements are not confirmed in other tests (see next slide)



CALICE SiW Calorimeter beam test

- We studied the hadronic shower shape more precisely using the highly-granular calorimeters for future Higgs factories by CALICE
- The CALICE SiW calorimeter features:
 - * 30 longitudinal layers (silicon + tungsten) with a total thickness of $24X_0$ ($\simeq 1\lambda$),
 - each silicon layer readout by 36×9 Si-cells,
 - * with an active area of 18×18 cm²





Tagging nuclear breakup events

- Beam tests performed at FNAL in 2008 with
 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 GeV π⁻ to study the first development stages of hadronic showers
- Energy depositions in each cell calibrated in MIP units (extracted with µ⁻ runs)
- Events with a single nuclear breakup are tagged and used for later analysis
- Starting from the first-interaction layer, it is possible to measure the longitudinal energy (or hit) distributions, as a function of the beam energy, regardless of the depth of the first interaction





CALICE SiW: Iongitudinal energy distributions

 π^- - exp. data from NIM A794



CERN

Lorenzo Pezzotti, et al. | Validation of Geant4 physics via calorimeter test-beams

CALICE SiW: Iongitudinal energy distributions

 π^- - exp. data from NIM A794



When the shower shape is tested more precisely, the FTF tune2 does not lead to a better agreement with data



Preliminary results from the Geant4 ATLAS LAr barrel test



An ATLAS LAr barrel test for geant-val

- We ported to a standalone Geant4 simulation the last test-beam code of the ATLAS LAr barrel Calorimeter
- This (very) complex geometry is a good benchmark to test the speeding-up solutions in em-physics



A single LAr module





An ATLAS LAr barrel test for geant-val

- We ported to a standalone Geant4 simulation the last test-beam code of the ATLAS LAr barrel Calorimeter
- This (very) complex geometry is a good benchmark to test the speeding-up solutions in em-physics

The Accordion geometry



A single LAr module





100 GeV e^- in the ATLAS LAr barrel





Testing speedup solutions

- 1000 events, 5 GeV γ in the ATLAS LAr geometry:
 - Using Geant4-11.1
 - CPU: Apple M1 Pro @3.2 GHz, using a single thread.
 - No SensitiveDetector, no hit, no SteppingAction
 - Note:

GammaGeneral process is not included in G4HepTrackingManager nor in G4HepEmProcess





Summary and next steps

- Recent results:
 - FLUKA.CERN hadronic model was found to be in good agreement with FTFP_BERT PL
 - Alternative FTF tunings usually do not lead to better description of thick target data
 - Speedup solutions from em-physics modeling are being tested on the ATLAS LAr barrel geometry
- Next steps:
 - CMS HGCAL beam tests
- Our Geant-val tests were selected as benchmarks for R&D activities:
 - Celeritas and Adept/ATLAS-Adept
 - Julia interface (Geant4.jl) by Pere Vila





Backup





mc-config-generator usage

- For the developers, it allows to:
 - Create multiple jobs over beam energies, particle types, physics lists, ..., and automatically submit them on HTCondor(lxplus)
 - Encapsulate variables in json files to later perform the analysis

Example:

1. Create config files, json files (with metadata), and submit jobs on HTCondor

			paran	10.00111
PHYSLIST=FTFP_BERT, QGSP_BERT				
!CONST:ENERGY_UNIT=GeV				
PARTICLE ENERGY PHYSLIST NEVENTS				
pi-	20.	PHYSLIST	50000	
pi-	30.	PHYSLIST	50000	
pi-	40.	PHYSLIST	50000	
pi-	50.	PHYSLIST	50000	
pi-	60.	PHYSLIST	50000	
pi-	80.	PHYSLIST	50000	
pi-	100.	PHYSLIST	50000	
pi-	120.	PHYSLIST	50000	
pi-	150.	PHYSLIST	50000	
pi-	180.	PHYSLIST	50000	
pi-	200.	PHYSLIST	50000	
e-	20.	PHYSLIST	50000	
e-	40.	PHYSLIST	50000	
e-	50.	PHYSLIST	50000	
e-	80.	PHYSLIST	50000	
e-	100.	PHYSLIST	50000	
e-	119.1	PHYSLIST	50000	
e-	147.8	PHYSLIST	50000	
1				

params.conf

template.conf

run/initialize					
gun/position -9 172 0 cm					
gun/direction 0 0 1					
gun/particle %PARTICLE%					
gun/energy %ENERGY% %ENERGY_UNIT%					
run/setCut 1.0 mm					
run/beamOn %NEVENTS%					

run.sh

#!/bin/bash

Environment variables
export PHYSLIST="%PHYSLIST%'

-

Execute

ATLHECTB -m ATLHECTB.mac -pl %PHYSLIST% -t 2

python mc-config-generator.py submit -t ATLHECTB -d OUTPUT -v 10.7.p01 -q "testmatch" -r

- 2. Run analysis: python mc-config-generator.py parse -t ATLHECTB -d OUTPUT
- 3. Deploy jsons on geant val database:

find . -name '*.json' | while read i; do curl -H "Content-Type: application/json"
-H "token: askauthor" --data @\$i https://geant-val.cern.ch/upload; echo; done

