

CEPC: overall status and prospects for beam polarization

Zhe Duan On behalf of the CEPC Study Group

Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS

Courtesy of Yuhui Li, Jie Gao, Xinchou Lou, Manqi Ruan, Dazhang Li, Qingjin Xu for their help in preparing the slides.

Detailed updates see presentations in HKUST-IAS HEP Conf Jan 22-25, 2024, Hong Kong, in particular Jie Gao's overview

29 Jan - 02 Feb, 2024, LAPP Annecy, France, 7th FCC Physics Workshop email: duanz@ihep.ac.cn



Overall status of CEPC

Prospects for beam polarization @ CEPC

A brief introduction of CEPC

- \Box Circular Electron-Positron Collider (CEPC) as a Higgs (Z / W / $t\bar{t}$) factory in China
 - □ Higgs Factory (>1M Higgs, ~1% precision)
 - □ Z Factory (~Tera Z)
 - \Box The W+W⁻ pair and then $t\bar{t}$ pair production thresholds
 - □ Higgs, EW, flavor physics & QCD, probe to new physics up to 10 TeV
 - Possible *pp* collider (SppC) of $\sqrt{s} \sim 125$ TeV (TDR spec.) in the far future.

Particle	E _{c.m.} (GeV)	Years	SR Power (MW)	Lumi. /IP (10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Integrated Lumi. /yr (ab ⁻¹ , 2 IPs)	Total Integrated L (ab ⁻¹ , 2 IPs)	Total no. of events
Н*	240	10	50	8.3	2.2	21.6	$4.3 imes 10^6$
			30	5	1.3	13	$2.6 imes 10^6$
Z	01	~	50	192**	50	100	$4.1 imes 10^{12}$
	91	2	30	115**	30	60	$\textbf{2.5}\times\textbf{10}^{12}$
W	1.00		50	26.7	6.9	6.9	$2.1 imes 10^8$
	160	1	30	16	4.2	4.2	$1.3 imes 10^8$
tī	360	5	50	0.8	0.2	1.0	$0.6 imes 10^6$
	550	V	30	0.5	0.13	0.65	$0.4 imes 10^6$

CEPC Operation Plan and Goals

- * Higgs is the top priority. The CEPC will commence its operation with a focus on Higgs.
- ** Detector solenoid field is 2 Tesla during Z operation, 3Tesla for all other energies.
- *** Calculated using 3,600 hours per year for data collection.





FCC Physics Workshop 2024

Timeline and status



- Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) is planning for the 15th 5-year-plan (2026-2030) for large science projects, a steering committee has been established, chaired by the President of CAS.
 - High energy physics & nuclear physics, is one of the 8 groups (fields).
 - CEPC is ranked No. 1, with the smallest uncertainties, by every evaluation committee both domestic and international ones among all the collected proposals in this group.
 - A final report has been submitted to CAS for consideration.
- The abovementioned process is within CAS.
- The following national selection process around 2025 will be decisive.
 FCC Physics



Accelerator Considerations in TDR

- Circular collider: Higher luminosity than a linear collider
- 100km circumference: Optimal total cost
- Shared tunnel: Compatible design for CEPC and SppC
- Seamless switchable operation: Higgs/W/Z/(ttbar)
- Accelerator complex comprised of a 30 GeV Linac, a 100 km booster and a double-ring e+/e- collider with two IPs
- High energy & high flux synchrotron light source provides γ-ray up to 300 MeV, critical for multi-disciplinary sciences





Hardware R&D progress in the TDR

representative Key Technologies for the CEPC	Specification Met	Prototype Manufactured		Accelerator	Fraction
representative key rechnologies for the CEFC				Magnets	27.3%
				Vacuum	18.3%
				RF power source	9.1%
				Mechanics	7.6%
Booster				🗸 Magnet power supplies	7.0%
				SC RF	7.1%
Collider Position Ring	inac		-	Cryogenics	6.5%
				Linac and sources	5.5%
				Instrumentation	5.3%
			8	Control	2.4%
				Survey and alignment	2.4%
				Radiation protection	1.0%
Key technology R&D spans all	component list	s in CEPC CDR		SC magnets	0.4%

FCC Physics Workshop 2024

Damping ring

60.2%

Site Preparation



CEPC Accelerator TDR Reviews & Release in 2023



to enter the EDR phase

CEPC Accelerator EDR: Goal, Scope and Plan

- Engineering Design Report (EDR) 2024-2027: preparation phase for
 - CEPC proposal to be presented and selected by Chinese Government around 2025
 - Construction start around 2027 (in 15th Five Year Plan 2025-2023) and completion around 2035 (the end of 16th Five Year Plan 2030-2035)



Examples of some key R&D items

- More prototypes
 - SRF
 - Klystron
- More detailed design and planning
 - MDI
 - Control and Timing
 - Alignment and Installation Plan
 - Tunnel Mockup for installation
- Mass production preparation
 - Magnets' Automatic Production Lines
 - Massive Production Line of NEG Coating Vacuum Chamber

CEPC Detector R&D Status

\geq Extensive detector R&D benefitted from experiences

- Silicon strip detector: Experience from ATLAS upgrade \geq
- MDI, Drift chamber, SC magnet: Experience from BESIII \geq

CEPC R&D on key technologies \geq

- Vertex detector \geq
- TPC/drift chamber
- PFA calorimeter

CEPC Detector TDRrd (rd=reference design) will be released in June, 2025

Prototype Manufactured

\sim					
	Sub-detector	Specification	Requirement	World-class level	CEPC prototype
\checkmark	Pixel detector	Spatial resolution	$\sim 3 \mu{ m m}$	$3-5 \ \mu m$ [12, 13]	$3-5\mu{\rm m}$ [14–16]
\checkmark	TPC/drift chamber	dE/dx (dN/dx) resolution	$\sim 2\%$	$\sim 4\%$ [17, 18]	~ 4% [19–21]
					Prototype built
\checkmark	Scintillator-W	Energy resolution	$<15\%/\sqrt{E({\rm GeV})}$	12.5% [22]	to be measured
~~~~	ECal	Granularity	$\sim 2 \times 2 \ {\rm cm}^2$		$0.5\times0.5~{\rm cm^2}$
					Prototyping [25]
$\checkmark$	4D crystal ECal	EM energy resolution	$\sim 3\%/\sqrt{E({\rm GeV})}$	$2\%/\sqrt{E({ m GeV})}$ [23, 24]	$\sim 3\%/\sqrt{E({\rm GeV})}$
		3D Granularity	$\sim 2\times 2\times 2~{\rm cm^3}$	N/A	$\sim 2\times 2\times 2~{\rm cm^3}$
	Scintillator-Steel	Support PFA,			Prototyping
$\checkmark$	HCal	Single hadron $\sigma_E^{had}$	$< 60\%/\sqrt{E({\rm GeV})}$	$57.6/\sqrt{E({ m GeV})}\%$ [26]	
~	Scintillating	Support PFA			Prototyping
~	glass HCal	Single hadron $\sigma_E^{had}$	$\sim 40\%/\sqrt{E({ m GeV})}$	N/A	$\sim 40\%/\sqrt{E({\rm GeV})}$
$\sim$	Low-mass	Magnet field strength	$2 \mathrm{T} - 3 \mathrm{T}$	1 T – 4 T [27–29]	Prototyping
	Solenoid magnet	Thickness	$< 150 \mathrm{~mm}$	$> 270 \mathrm{~mm}$	

#### Vertex detector R & D (3-5 µm reso.)



#### 4,5 prototypes, 15⁺ years of R&D, all [to be] tested

Si-W ECAL

(ALICE FoCAL)

[Scint-W ECAL]

0.5×4.5 cm²

+ SS

×30 Scint+SiPM lav.

SDHCAL



×15 (→30) Si layers

+ W



× 24 MIMOSA layers

+ W





AHCAL



3×3 cm² × 38 Scint+SiPM lay + SS

1×1 cm² × 48 layers GRPC + SS



- Overall status of CEPC
- Prospects for beam polarization @ CEPC

# **Motivation of CEPC polarized beam program**

#### Vertical polarization for resonant depolarization

- Essential for precision measurements of Z and W properties
- > 5% ~ 10% polarization, for both e+ / e- beams

#### **Longitudinal polarization for colliding beams**

- Figure of merit: Luminosity * f( P_{e+}, P_{e-} )
- 50% or more polarization is desired, for at least one beam; polarizing both beams is beneficial









	LEP	SLC
No. Z decays events	17 million	0.6 million
Longitudinal polarization	None	e-~80%

- Supported by National Key R&D Program 2018-2023 to design longitudinally polarized colliding beams at Z-pole.
- Summarized as a chapter in the Appendix of CEPC TDR.

# **Self-polarization in the CEPC**



- e+/e- beams become "self-polarized" via the Sokolov-Ternov effect in a storage ring
  - $\tau_{BKS} \propto E^{-5} \rho^2 R$
- Beam polarization build-up rate much slower than the beam decay rate @ Z
  - Boosted with asymmetric wigglers in the Collider (FCC EPOL)
  - Hard to achieve a high-level polarization
  - In conflict with a high luminosity

CEPC CDR parameters	45.6 GeV (Z, 2T)	80 GeV (W)	120 GeV (Higgs)
Polarization build-up time w/o radiative depolarization $\tau_{BKS}$ (hour)	256	15.2	2.0
Beam lifetime $ au_b$ (hour)	2.5	1.4	0.43

### How to achieve a high-level polarization?

- A high-level polarization (time-averaged) P_{avg} in the Collider is attainable if
  - Top-up injection of highly polarized beam
  - Depolarization rate  $(\tau_{DK}^{-1})$  << beam loss rate  $(\tau_b^{-1})$

time



Sawtooth-shape evolution during top-up injection

If  $\tau_{DK} \gg \tau_b$ , then  $P_{avg} \approx P_{inj}$ 

 $|P_{\rm inj} - P_{\rm DK}|$ 

 $\overline{(\tau_b + \tau_{\rm DK})}/dt$ 

Bunch charge

Polarization

Amplitude of sawtooth ~

 $P_{\text{avg}} = \frac{P_{\text{inj}}}{1 + \frac{\tau_b}{\tau_{BKS}} \frac{P_{\infty}}{P_{\text{DK}}}} + \frac{P_{\text{DK}}}{1 + 1/\frac{\tau_b}{\tau_{BKS}} \frac{P_{\infty}}{P_{\text{DK}}}}$ 

 $P_{\rm DK}$  depends on machine imperfections, spin rotators Assume  $P_{\infty} = 90\%$ 

#### $P_{\text{avg}}$ > 50% requires a minimum value of $P_{\text{DK}}$

	45.6 GeV (Z)	80 GeV (W)	120 GeV (Higgs)
P _{inj} = 50%	<i>P</i> _{DK} >50%	<i>P</i> _{DK} >50%	<i>P</i> _{DK} >50%
P _{inj} = 60%	<i>P</i> _{DK} >4%	<i>P</i> _{DK} >23%	<i>P</i> _{DK} >33%
P _{inj} = 70%	<i>P</i> _{DK} >2%	<i>P</i> _{DK} >15%	<i>P</i> _{DK} >25%
P _{inj} = 80%	<i>P</i> _{DK} >1%	<i>P</i> _{DK} >11%	<i>P</i> _{DK} >20%

#### FCC Physics Workshop 2024

# A high-level longitudinal polarization @ Z-pole

- 50%-70% longitudinal polarization for e- bunches is a reasonable goal
- Over 70% injected e- beam polarization is possible.
  Polarized e+ source is challenging for CEPC [1], polarization





[1] P. Musumeci et al., Positron Sources for Future High Energy Physics Colliders, ArXiv:2204.13245 Phys. (2022).

# **Polarization Maintenance in the Booster**

#### Concern

- Depolarization due to crossings of hundreds of spin resonances, during Booster acceleration
- Finding
  - Highly periodic lattice -> structural cancellation -> weak spin resonances
  - Spin resonance crossings lead to negligible depolarization to Z



#### **Resonance strength vs ramping rate:** CEPC-Z in the regime of negligible depolarization



Polarization transmission to Z, W and Higgs energies in **Booster: Z: over 99%, W: 77.5%~ 98%, Higgs: no better than 40%** 



T. Chen, et al., Booster free from spin resonance for future 100-km-scaleFCC Physics Workshop 2024circular e+e- colliders, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams, 26, 051003 (2023).

# A high-level longitudinal polarization @ Z-pole

- 50%-70% longitudinal polarization for e- bunches is a reasonable goal
- Over 70% injected e- beam polarization is possible.
- Simulated equilibrium longitudinal polarization > 70%, leaving a large margin for effects not yet covered.





### **Polarization, luminosity and beam lifetime**

It is possible to attain 50%-70% e- longitudinal polarization at the nominal luminosity and simultaneously with a decent lifetime @ Z-pole

#### Two pairs of spin rotators

- 240 T·m solenoid each
- Occupy a space of 2.8 km, can be optimized
- No interference with the complicated IR design
- Influence to DA & beam lifetime can be recovered by dedicated sextupole optimization.



#### - CDR CDR - Solenoid On Solenoid On 60 Solenoid Off Solenoid Off *κ*ρ/κη 40 × 20 20 -0.02 0.00 0.01 0.01 -0.01 0.00 AP/P AP/P

Comparison of the dynamic aperture

#### Contributors to the beam lifetime

Beam lifetime contribution	CDR lattice w/ spin rotators	Comments
Radiative Bhabha	2.9 hour	ref: CEPC TDR
Vacuum lifetime	3 hour	ref: CEPC TDR
Touschek lifetime	4.63 hour	
Lifetime limited by dynamic	> 9.53 hour	no loss in 100 k turns in the
aperture		tracking simulations
Total beam lifetime	> 1 hour	

W. Xia et al., Investigation of spin rotators in CEPC at the Z-pole, Radiat. Det. Tech. Meth. 6:490 (2022).

FCC Physics Workshop 2024

#### **Resonant Depolarization at Z**

- It's possible to inject > 20% polarized beams to enable RD measurements at Z-pole
  - No dead time for physics, a few pilot bunches
  - Polarized e+ source ? Dual-purpose damping/polarizing ring (could accommodate both e+/e- beams to gain sufficient polarization)



Approaches		Self-polarization in the collider	Injection of polarized beams
Hardwa re	Polarized electron gun	None	Yes
	Asymmetric wigglers	In the colliders	In the e+ damping ring or None
Polarizat	tion level	5% ~ 10%	> 70% for e-, > 20% e+
Dead time for physics		Initial 1~2 hours in each fill	None
Frequency of RD measurements		Every ~10 min per beam	More frequent for e- beam
RD on colliding beams		None	Possible at lower bunch charge



One typical design: beam energy ~ 2 GeV, circumference ~ 150 m polarization build-up time ~ 14.5 min Extracted beam polarization @ 10min ~ 44%

### **Prospects of Z-pole polarization for CEPC**

- Injecting polarized beam(s) to the Collider
- 50%-70% longitudinal polarization for e- versus unpolarized e+
  - Polarized e+ source requires technology innovations; self-polarization at a low energy ring is possible, a tradeoff between the challenges & costs of the ring versus reduction injection rate & luminosity (need more study);
- E- spin helicity flexibly adjusted by changing laser helicity at polarized e- source
- RD measurements w/ a few pilot non-colliding bunches, no physics deadtime
- Accurate 3D polarimetry is needed
  - Inside the IR -> deduce longitudinal polarization @ IP
  - Outside the IR -> RD measurements

# **Longitudinal polarization at W & Higgs?**

- A good chance for >50% e- longitudinal polarization at W
  - Assume injected polarization > 60%, then  $P_{DK}$  needs to be above 23%
- More challenging at Higgs (Under study)
  - Simulated injected polarization < 30% -> improvements in Booster lattice design & mitigation to machine imperfections etc
  - Simulated equilibrium polarization ~ 1% -> mitigate depolarization by harmonic CO spin matching, cancellation of Sokolov-Ternov effect etc
- Strength of solenoid spin rotators scales linearly with energy



W. H. Xia, et al, Evaluation of radiative depolarization in the future circular electronpositron collider, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams, 26, 091001, (2023).



The key is to reduce the spin resonance strength by a factor of 10



## **Polarization R&D plan in the CEPC EDR Phase**

- Implement the spin components in the post-TDR lattice designs
- Study the polarization utilities at Higgs and W energies
- Polarization-related key hardware R&D

Modify the PAPS photocathode DC gun to a Polarized Electron Source







- CEPC Accelerator TDR has been released on Dec 25, 2023, <u>arXiv:2312.14363</u>
- CEPC EDR phase (2024-2027) working plan and beyond has been preliminarily established, with the aim for CEPC proposal to be presented to and selected by Chinese government around 2025, for the construction starting around 2027, and completion around 2035.
- Injecting polarized beams is promising for longitudinal polarization and RD measurements.
- 50%-70% longitudinally polarized e- versus unpolarized e+ at Z with nominal luminosity is a reasonable goal.
- Further studies of polarized beams towards higher energies as well as related hardware R&D are planned for the CEPC EDR phase.
- International collaboration and participation are warmly welcome.







Workshop website: https://indico.in2p3.fr/event/20053/

#### Your participation is very welcome!

# Thank you for your attention!

FCC Physics Workshop 2024

# **CEPC Site Implementation and Construction Plans**

**CEPC construction plan** 

#### **CEPC site implementation plan in EDR**

#### 黄河勘测规划设计研究院有限公司 In-depth study of the Zhejiang Huzhou Site ow River Engineering Consulting Co., Ltd Overlap 3. Analysis of the Construction Plan Implementation Planning before Construction Design stage Schedule analysis of CEPC 8 10 12 2 4 6 8 10 12 2 4 6 8 10 12 2 1 6 8 10 12 2 4 6 8 10 12 12 1 12 2 4 6 Site selection report 1st year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year 5th year 6th year 7th year 8th year Site Seletion Topographic Surveying, Initial geotechnical investigations **Project Proposal Project Proposal** Total duration of CEPC project: 96 months 52 month Topographic Surveying, Preparatory construction period: 14 month Detailed geotechnical investigations Civil construction duration: 52 months Special Topic Feasibility Study • EM equipment installation: 48 months Preparation period: 3 months Feasibility Study • Overlap period: 15 months Supplementary • Total duration: 96 months geotechnical investigations Preliminary Design Preliminary Design Main ring tunnel Main ring tunnel lining and grouting: 18 months excavation and support: Tender Design Tender Design 30 months Tender and Award Tender Start of Construction 20 Civil construction completion period: 1 month

#### **CEPC Plasma Injector (alternative option) and TF plan**

Plasma accelerators are promising candidates for future colliders.

CEPC plasma injector scheme:

From 10 GeV  $\rightarrow$  30 GeV  $\rightarrow$  **TR**  $\geq$  **2** as a potentially low cost replacement of conventional technologies

- Works on paper via simulations
- Key issues call for experimental justifications





1 PW high performance laser

PWFA/LWFA Test Facility based on BEPC-II Linac and HPL has been funded by CAS in Sept. 2023. Other TF plans under consideration. See <u>Dazhang Li's presentation</u> 5.5 m

# **CEPC Booster 1.3 GHz 8*9-cell High Q Cryomodule**

CEPC booster 1.3 GHz SRF R&D and industrialization in synergy with CW FEL projects. World's first 1.3 GHz cryomodules containing 8*9-cell SRF cavities with medium-temperature furnace baking

Parameters	Horizontal test results	CEPC Booster Higgs Spec	LCLS-II, SHINE Spec	LCLS-II-HE Spec
Average usable CW <i>E</i> _{acc} (MV/m)	23.1	<b>3.0×10¹⁰</b> @	2.7×10 ¹⁰ @	2.7×10 ¹⁰ @
Average Q ₀ @ 21.8 MV/m	3.4×10 ¹⁰	21.8 MV/m	16 MV/m	20.8 MV/m

ar (iv > physics > arXiv:2312.01175

Physics > Accelerator Physics

[Submitted on 2 Dec 2023]

High Q and high gradient performance of the first medium-temperature baking 1.3 GHz cryomodule

Jyuan Zhai, Weimin Pan, Feisi He, Rui Ge, Zhenghui Mi, Peng Sha, Song Jin, Ruixiong Han, Cuuyao Wang, Haiying Lin, Guangseei Wang, Mei Li, Minjing Sang, Liangsul Sun, Rui Ye, Tongxian Zhao, Shaopeng Li, Keyu Zhu, Baiqi Liu, Xiaolong Wang, Xiangchen Yang, Xiaojuan Blan, Xiangzhen Zhang, Huizhou Ma, Xuwen Dai, Zhanjiun Zhang, Liang Zhang, Hui Zhao, Runbing Guo, Zhihui Mu, Conglai Yang, Xiaobing Zheng, Chao Dong, Tongming Huang, Claing Ma, Hongjuan Zheng, Mig Liu, Zhan Wang, Wenzhong Zhou

Word's first 1.3 GHz cryonodule containing eight 9-oit superconducting relid-bequency (RF) parties treaded by medium-temperature turnace taking (mixT bake) was developed, assembled and tested at HEP for the Dation Advanced Light Source (DALS) and CEPC RAD. The Over ele cardies in the roumodule activities at uncercendented highest areasepo 00 cfl at REIS to 18 21 MVIm in the horizontal test. The cryomodule can operate stably up to a total CW RF voltage greater than 191 MV, with an average cavity CW accelerating gradient of more than 23 MVim. The results significantly exceed the specifications of CEPC. DALS and the other high reportion rate these electron laser facilities (LCL-8), LCL-8+HE, SMRE, SMRE). SMRE the mixT have a write may not require last cod-down or fore processing time in the cryomodule. This paper reviews the cryomodule activities and testing.

Comments: 5 pages, 6 figures Subjects: Accelerator Physics (physics.acc-ph) Cite as: arXiv:2312.01175 (physics.acc-ph) (or arXiv:2312.01176 (physics.acc-ph) for this ven https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.01175 (D











See Jiyuan Zhai's presentation

# **High-field superconducting magnets for SppC**

- Motivation:  $E[GeV] = 0.3 \times B[T] \times \rho[m]$ 
  - IBS 20-24 T to reach  $\sqrt{s} \sim 125-150$  TeV for SppC, Nb₃Sn etc as options
- Progress
  - A 16T (Nb₃Sn + HTS) model dipole under performance test with very promising results



See **Qingjin Xu's presentation**