Measuring mass of long-lived particles with inner detector timing

R. Aleksan, E. Perez, G. Polesello, N.Valle

Introduction

In e+e- collider with CMS energy E_{CM} produce long-lived neutral H recoiling against particle of known mass M H decays at distance d_{H} from the interaction point, with a delay from the time of the interaction t_{H} .

$$p_{H} = \frac{\sqrt{(E_{CM}^{2} - (M_{H} + M)^{2})(E_{CM}^{2} - (M_{H} - M)^{2})}}{2E_{CM}}$$
$$p_{H} = M_{H}\gamma\beta$$



 $\beta = d_H/t_H/c,$

Solve for $M_H, \rightarrow M_H = f(E_{CM}, M, \beta)$, all known or measurable quantities. What precision on M_H in plausible BSM model for realistic FCC-ee detector?

Example: HNL decay in IDEA



Mass of recoiling particle= $0 \rightarrow no$ uncertainty

Interaction point undetected \rightarrow uncertainty on time and position of interaction

$$m_H = E_{CM} \sqrt{\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}} \equiv E_{CM} F(\beta)$$

$$\sigma(M_H) = E_{CM} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}}(1+\beta)^2} \sigma(\beta) \equiv E_{CM} F'(\beta) \sigma(\beta)$$

30/01/24

Analytic formulas



Strong dependence on mass For high masses one can get below percent level

M=40 Gev:

Few tens of ps timing resolution: mass resolution below 1% for a path between 50 cm and 1 m

Shape of mass resolution



Promising results \rightarrow go for detailed analysis on simulated samples

30/01/24

Realistic exercise



Samples used for study of reach of HNL $\rightarrow \mu$ jj search at the Z pole (see talk by N. Valle)

- Generate grid in (U,m) space with MG5aMC@NLO
- DELPHES with IDEA card
- Detailed parametrisation of IDEA tracking performance and well developed vertexing code used for the analysis



Measurement of $t_{\rm H}$

Assume timing layer just outside of tracker (Radius=2m)

Two invisible particles in primary interaction: time and position of interaction

(X_{int},t_{int}) unknown

Distribution known from beam parameters

Ebeam (GeV)	45.6
σ _x (μm)	8.4
σ _y (nm)	33.7
σ _z (mm)	15.4
Vertex σ_x (µm)	5.96
Vertex σ_y (nm)	23.8
Vertex σ_z (mm)	0.397
Vertex σ _t (ps)	36.3



Time of decay vertex:

- •Measure TOF wrt nominal interaction time for each decay track
- For each track, momentum and distance between decay vertex and timing layer measured
 If mass of particle known t_{vert} can be measured

Dec 22 numbers used for winter23 prod

Timing resolution on vertex

40 Gev HNL with $c\tau = 1m$ Resolution timing layer 30 ps Prim. vtx algo in FCCsw. Require $chi2_{vert}!=0 \& chi2_{vert} < 10$



To calculate t_{vert} for each track need mass of particle. In principle gain of of factor 1/sqrt(N) on resolution, with N=number tracks from vtx Two possibilities:

•One of the tracks is a muon: use muon, mass fixed, but only one track

•Apply iterative algorithm on all non-muon tracks starting from pion hypothesis, and modifying id hypothesis for track with worst compatibility with average

Ideal case gaussian with $\sigma(t)$ ~30 ps/sqrt(N) If all tracks assumed π , large tails Iterative algo yields reasonable result Peak narrower if truth momentum and vtx position assumed

Timing resolution on vertex



Timing layer resolution 30 ps. Distributions not fully gaussian, evaluate resolution as minimum interval including 68% of events

Ideal	12.6 ps
Pion masses	106 ps
Reco'ed	22.8 ps
Reco'ed with MC vtx & p	15 ps

Impact of position uncertainty of decay vertex

Two components

Unknown position of interaction vertex

Uncertainty on vertex reconstruction





Mass resolution dominated by uncertainty on vertex timing 10

Measured mass distributions



Based on algorithm for t_{vert} , measure mass event-by-event for M_H =40 GeV Show result for two bins in measured vertex position Distributions mediocrely fitted by gaussian, with tails on both sides Use as resolution value: Q68=(Quantile(0.84)-Quantile(0.16))/2

Path dependence of mass resolution



Mild dependence on TOF resolution because of large uncertainty on time of primary interaction

Use Q68 as estimator of resolution

with $\sigma(TOF)=50$ ps obtain resolution of order 5% for d_{vert}>15 cm comparable to expected PFA measurement from cristal+DR calo JINST 17 (2022) 06, P06008 $_{30/01/24}$

Minimum decay length yielding fixed $\sigma(M)/M$



Can be inverted to evaluate the minimum path yielding a desired mass resolution



Use these values to apply lower limit on position of primary vertex to estimate number of well-measured surviving events

Parameter space coverage



Require: chi2 of primary vertex<10, at least 4 tracks in event. Zero background events for d_{vert} >50 mm. Some mild kinematic cuts for masses for which minimum d_{vert} <50 mm

In significant fraction of parameter space obtain useful mass measurement from timing

Conclusions

- Detection of decay products of long-lived particles (LLP) may allow measurement of LLP mass based on recoil formula and accurate measurement of position and timing of decay vertex
- Benchmarked on HNL production with HNL $\rightarrow \mu j j$
- For a timing layer with $\sigma(t)$ a few tens of ps, mass resolution at percent level for long enough path and high enough mass
- Timing resolution dominated by unknown time of primary vertex
- Developed algorithm to precisely measure timing of decay vertex based on parametrised simulation of IDEA tracker
- Useful measurement of HNL mass from timing over large part of accessible HNL parameter space

Backup

Prompt vs Long Lived selection



Primary vertex well reconstructed in the volume of the detector

Very good resolution in position of HNL reconstructed vertex

Separation between Prompt and Long Lived to some extent arbitrary, choose transverse position of primary vertex such that backgrounds become zero: r_{vxp} =0.5 mm About five times values r_{vxp} for extreme tails of backgrounds

LLP results

Backgrounds=0: sensitivity curve defined as points in parameter space where 3 events are expected after cuts



Final result



Analytic formulas vs. simulation



Compare analytic formulas to DELPHES simulation of IDEA detector with detailed parametrisation of the performance of the tracker

Possible gain from dE/dx PID



Momentum of the tracks: peak at ~ 1 GeV where dN/dx is blind. But average ~ 4 GeV, so some improvement expected although the resolution is expected to be ~ $\sqrt{2}$ worse than for prompt tracks.