

On Entropy Growth in Scattering

Gordon W. Semenoff

University of British Columbia

XIII International Conference on New Frontiers in Physics
Kolumbari, Crete Sept. 3, 2024

D.Macityre & G.S. to appear soon

ICNFP 2024, Sept 3, 2024

Consider a bipartite quantum system:

Subsystem entropy:

Bipartite quantum system: Subsystem A , Subsystem B

Initial state = initial density matrix ρ

Subsystem (reduced) density matrices

$$\rho^A = \text{Tr}_B[\rho] , \quad \rho^B = \text{Tr}_A[\rho]$$

Subsystem entropy

von Neumann : $S = -\text{Tr}[\rho^A \ln \rho^A]$

Tsallis : $S^{(n)} = \frac{1 - \text{Tr}[(\rho^A)^n]}{n - 1}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow 1} S^{(n)} = S$$

Unitary time evolution:

Weak interaction (lowest orders of perturbation theory are valid)

Initial state: ρ

Final state : $\rho \rightarrow U\rho U^\dagger$

$$U = 1 + iT$$

Assume unitarity $\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}^\dagger = i\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^\dagger$

Study change of subsystem entropy S or $S^{(n)}$

Depends on initial state, ρ and dynamics \mathbf{T} .

For which initial states ρ is the subsystem entropy ALWAYS non-decreasing?

**For which initial states ρ is the subsystem entropy
ALWAYS non-decreasing?**

For which initial state ρ is $\delta S \geq 0$ for any possible T ?

Clifford Cheung, Temple He, Allic Sivaramakrishnanar,
arXiv:2304.13052v2 [hep-th] Phys.Rev.D 108 (2023) 4, 045013

Prove that $\delta S^{(n)} \geq 0$ (with $S^{(n)}$ the Tsallis entropy and $n \geq 2$)

If

$$\rho = \rho^A \otimes \rho^B$$

then

$$\rho^A \rho^A = \frac{1}{k} \rho^A$$

$$\rho^A = \frac{1}{k} \text{diag}[1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots]$$

Product state $\rho = \rho^A \otimes \rho^B$, diagonalize reduced density matrices,

$$\rho^A = \sum_a \alpha_a |a\rangle\langle a| \quad , \quad \rho^B = \sum_b \beta_b |b\rangle\langle b|$$

Leading contribution to the change of Tsallis entropy (for $n \geq 2$)

$$\delta S^{(n)} = \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{a,a'} \alpha_a (\alpha_a^{n-1} - \alpha_{a'}^{n-1}) \Gamma_{aa'}$$

$$\Gamma_{aa'} = \sum_{b,b'} \left[\beta_b |\mathbf{T}_{aa'bb'}|^2 - \beta_b \beta_{b'} \mathbf{T}_{aa'bb}^* \mathbf{T}_{aa'b'b'} \right]$$

always positive for any \mathbf{T} , β 's when

$$\rho^A = \frac{1}{k} \text{diag}[1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots]$$

“projector state” “democratic ignorance”

C.Cheung et.al., arXiv:2304.13052v2 [hep-th]

Perturbation theory $\mathbf{T} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k \mathbf{T}^{(k)}$

For example, in the interaction picture,

$$\mathbf{T}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{T} \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt H_I(t) \right)^k$$

Time evolution $\rho \rightarrow U\rho U^\dagger = \rho + \delta\rho$

$$\delta\rho = i\lambda [\mathbf{T}^{(1)}, \rho] + i\frac{\lambda^2}{2} [\mathbf{T}^{(2)} + \mathbf{T}^{(2)\dagger}, \rho] - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} [\mathbf{T}^{(1)} [\mathbf{T}^{(1)}, \rho]] + \dots$$

Reduced density matrix $\rho^A \rightarrow \rho^A + \delta\rho^A$

$$\delta\rho^A = \text{Tr}_B \left\{ i\lambda [\mathbf{T}^{(1)}, \rho] + i\frac{\lambda^2}{2} [\mathbf{T}^{(2)} + \mathbf{T}^{(2)\dagger}, \rho] - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} [\mathbf{T}^{(1)} [\mathbf{T}^{(1)}, \rho]] + \dots \right\}$$

$$\delta\rho^A = \lambda\delta\rho^{A(1)} + \lambda^2\delta\rho^{A(2)} + \dots$$

$$\text{Tr}_A[\rho^A + \delta\rho^A] = 1, \quad 0 \leq \text{eigenvalues} \leq 1$$

The reduced density matrix has the properties

$$\rho^A = \sum_m \rho_m^A |m\rangle\langle m| \quad , \quad \rho_m^A \geq 0 \quad , \quad \sum_m \rho_m^A = 1$$

$$m \in \ker \rho^A \text{ if } \rho_m^A = 0$$

First order in perturbation theory

$$\delta\rho_m^A = \text{eigenvalues of } \langle m | \text{Tr}_B \left(i\lambda \left[\mathbf{T}^{(1)}, \rho \right] \right) | m' \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Tr} \left(\mathbf{T}^{(1)} \left(\left[\rho, |m\rangle\langle m'| \otimes \mathcal{I}^B \right] \right) \right) = 0$$

must non-negative for any $\mathbf{T}^{(1)}$ whatsoever

$$\rightarrow \boxed{ \left[|m\rangle\langle m'| \otimes \mathcal{I}^B, \rho \right] = 0 \quad , \quad \forall m, m' \in \ker \rho^A }$$

for any density matrix, independent of perturbation theory

Linear contribution to shift in entropy

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta S &= - \sum_m (\rho_m^A + \delta \rho_m^A) \ln(\rho_m^A + \delta \rho_m^A) + \sum_m \rho_m^A \ln \rho_m^A \\
 &= - \sum_{m \notin \ker \rho^A} \ln \rho_m^A \delta \rho_m^{A(1)} + \dots \\
 &= - \sum_{m \notin \ker \rho^A} \ln \rho_m^A \langle m | \text{Tr}_B \left(\left[i\lambda \mathbf{T}^{(1)}, \rho \right] \right) | m \rangle + \dots \\
 &= - \sum_{m \notin \ker \rho^A} \ln \rho_m^A i\lambda \text{Tr} \left(\mathbf{T}^{(1)} \left[|m\rangle \langle m| \otimes \mathcal{I}^B, \rho \right] \right) + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

is non-negative for any $\mathbf{T}^{(1)}$ whatsoever if $\left[|m\rangle \langle m| \otimes \mathcal{I}^B, \rho \right] = 0$
 $\forall m \notin \ker \rho^A$

To linear order

$\delta S \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{T}^{(1)}$ requires $\left[\rho^A \otimes \mathcal{I}^B, \rho \right] = 0$, $\rho^A = \text{Tr}_B[\rho]$

To linear order

$$\delta S \geq 0 \text{ for any } \mathbf{T}^{(1)} \text{ requires } \left[\rho^A \otimes \mathcal{I}^B, \rho \right] = 0$$

Includes any product states $\rho = \rho^A \otimes \rho^B$ and it is a special case of separable state which is defined as any state of the form

$$\rho = \sum_i p_i \rho_i^A \otimes \rho_i^B, \quad 0 < p_i < 1, \quad \sum p_i = 1$$

A separable state can be assembled using classical processes alone.

$$\rho^A \otimes \mathcal{I}^B |m, \tilde{m}\rangle = \rho_m^A |m, \tilde{m}\rangle$$

$$\rho |m, \tilde{m}\rangle = \rho_{m, \tilde{m}} |m, \tilde{m}\rangle$$

$$\rho = \sum_{m, \tilde{m}} \rho_{m, \tilde{m}} |m, \tilde{m}\rangle \langle m, \tilde{m}|$$

Second order

$$\delta S = \lambda^2 \ln \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \sum_{m \in \ker \rho^A} \delta \rho_m^{A(2)} + \dots$$

Since we must have $0 \leq \rho_m^A + \lambda \delta \rho_m^{A(1)} + \lambda^2 \delta \rho_m^{A(2)} + \dots$, $\forall m$
 $m \in \ker \rho^A$: Since $\rho_m^A = 0$ and we have already shown that
 $\delta \rho_m^{A(1)} = 0$ for $m \in \ker \rho^A$ it must be that $\delta \rho_{m \in \ker \rho^A}^{A(2)} \geq 0$.

Conclusion: von Neumann entropy always increases if

$$\ker \rho^A \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \left[\rho^A \otimes \mathcal{I}^B, \rho \right] = 0$$

and $\exists \delta \rho_{n \in \ker \rho^A}^{A(2)} \neq 0$.

This includes any pure state, any product state and more general separable states.

Example: entropy generated by scattering

Initial pure state: $\rho_{m,\tilde{m}} = \delta_m^1 \delta_{\tilde{m}}^1$

$$\delta S = \lambda^2 \ln \left[\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right] \sum_{m \neq 1, \tilde{m} \neq 1} \left| \langle m, \tilde{m} | \mathbf{T}^{(1)} | 1, 1 \rangle \right|^2 + \dots$$

which is proportional to the total transition probability to states other than the initial state with the only constraint that the states of both subsystems must change.

Scattering “area law”:

$$\frac{\delta S}{\text{unit time} \times \text{unit beam flux}} = \lambda^2 \ln \left[\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right] \times \text{total cross - section}$$

S. Seki, I.Y. Park, S.-J. Sin, Phys.Lett. **B 743** (2015) 147-153.

G. Grignani, G. W. S., Phys. Lett. B **772**, 699-702 (2017).

What if the $\lambda^2 \ln \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$ contribution vanishes $\delta \rho_m^{A(2)} = 0 \quad \forall m \in \ker \rho^A$

Then, the leading contribution is

$$\delta S = \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{\substack{m \\ m'}} \frac{\ln \frac{\rho_m^A}{\rho_{m'}^A}}{\rho_m^A - \rho_{m'}^A} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{\tilde{m} \\ \tilde{m}', \tilde{m}''}} [\rho_{m, \tilde{m}} - \rho_{m', \tilde{m}'}][\rho_{m, \tilde{m}''} - \rho_{m', \tilde{m}''}] \mathbf{T}_{m \tilde{m}, m' \tilde{m}'}^{(1)} \mathbf{T}_{m' \tilde{m}', m \tilde{m}}^{(1)} \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{\substack{\tilde{m} \\ \tilde{m}'}} [\rho_{m', \tilde{m}} - \rho_{m, \tilde{m}}][\rho_{m', \tilde{m}'} - \rho_{m, \tilde{m}'}] \mathbf{T}_{m \tilde{m}, m' \tilde{m}'}^{(1)} \mathbf{T}_{m' \tilde{m}', m \tilde{m}}^{(1)} \right\}$$

If $\rho = \rho^A \times \rho^B$, same conclusion as

C.Cheung et.al., arXiv:2304.13052v2 [hep-th] Phys.Rev.D 108
(2023) 4, 045013

$$\rho^A = \frac{1}{k} \text{diag}[1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots]$$

Thank you!