Overview of STAR measurements on flow, chirality, and vorticity – XIII International Conference on New Frontiers in Physics, ICNFP2024

> Yicheng Feng (for the STAR Collaboration)

PURDUE UNIVERSITY.

Purdue University

September 3, 2024







## Physics of interest



#### Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP) produced in high energy heavy-ion collisions (HIC) QGP: deconfined quarks and gluons over extended volume HIC: nuclei (e.g., Au) collide at nearly the speed of light

#### $\blacktriangleright \ \ \text{Collective motion} \rightarrow \text{flow}$

 $\mathsf{QGP}\xspace$  phase transition, equation of state of medium produced, nuclei shape,  $\ldots$ 

► Global angular momentum (vorticity) → spin polarization

rotation of QCD matter, spin degree of freedom

QCD vacuum fluctuation → chirality anomaly
 + Magnetic field → chiral magnetic effect (CME)
 𝒫 and 𝔅𝒫 violation in strong interaction

## Some of the recent experiments at STAR



Beam Energy Scan (BES): Au+Au collisions at different energies  $(\sqrt{s_{NN}})$ 

- BES-II (2018-2021): large increase of statistics
- FXT (2018-2021): fixed target experiments, lower collision energy
- Study the phases of QCD matter and search for QCD critical point, varying baryon chemical potential (μ<sub>B</sub>)



Isobar collisions (2018):  ${}^{96}_{44}$ Ru +  ${}^{96}_{44}$ Ru vs  ${}^{96}_{40}$ Zr +  ${}^{96}_{40}$ Zr

- Complication: nuclear structure difference
- Comparison to search for the chiral magnetic effect (CME)

#### STAR detector



### Outline



### $\Delta v_1$ combination dependence on charge and strangeness



- Assume coalescence hadronization; EM effect  $\rightarrow$  splitting  $\propto \Delta q$ .
- qualitatively consistent with Hall effect (Hall>Faraday+Coulomb) in 10-40% centrality

Other possibility: baryon inhomogeneities? [Parida, Chatterjee, arXiv:2305.08806] simultaneous fit on  $\Delta q$  and  $\Delta S?$  [Nayak, Shi, Lin, PLB849(2024)138479]

## $v_1$ splitting and possible EM effect



Other possibility: baryon inhomogeneities? [Parida, Chatterjee, arXiv:2305.08806]  $\rightarrow \Lambda$ , p: similar splitting 7/16

#### Excess proton flow $v_1$ in BES-II

Proton directed flow is predicted to be a sensitive probe of the EoS of the produced medium.



$$N_p v_{1,p} = N_p v_{1,\text{medium}} + (N_p - N_{\bar{p}}) v_{1,\text{excess}}$$

assuming  $v_{1,\text{medium}} = v_{1,\bar{p}}$ 

$$v_{1, ext{excess}} = rac{v_{1,p} - v_{1,ar{p}}}{1 - N_{ar{p}}/N_p}$$



- BES-II: higher precision than BES-I
- ▶  $v_1$  slope of excess proton:  $\sqrt{s_{_{
  m NN}}} > 11.5$  GeV scales with  $y/y_{\text{beam}}$ ;  $\sqrt{s_{_{
  m NN}}} \le 11.5$  GeV deviate from scaling  $\rightarrow$  change in medium/collision dynamics
- ▶ Mean field models predict the trend, but over-predict the measurements at lower  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$  → data can constrain EoS

### $v_2$ at fixed target experiments – breaking of NCQ scaling



- ▶ partonic collectivity  $\rightarrow$  NCQ scaling: number of constituent quark scaling  $\rightarrow$  hadrons follow the same scaling  $\frac{v_2}{n_q}$  vs.  $\frac{m_T m_0}{n_q}$  or  $\frac{p_T}{n_q}$
- ▶ Gradual breaking of NCQ scaling  $\sqrt{s_{_{\rm NN}}} \le 3.2$  GeV → shadowing effect + hadronic interaction

Outline



## $\Lambda$ global polarization

Non-central collision  $\rightarrow$  global angular momentum  $\rightarrow$  spin-orbit coupling  $\rightarrow$  global polarization





- ▶ Updates from BES-II  $\sqrt{s_{_{\rm NN}}} = 7.7 17.3$  GeV with high precision (improved statistics & event plane resolution)
- $\Lambda$ ,  $\overline{\Lambda}$  opposite magnetic moment  $\rightarrow \vec{B}$  field enhances  $P_{\overline{\Lambda}}$  and reduce  $P_{\Lambda} \rightarrow$  splitting expected
- ▶ No splitting is observed within uncertainties between  $\Lambda$  and  $\overline{\Lambda}$  global polarization  $\rightarrow$  late-stage magnetic field  $B < 9.4 \times 10^{12}$  T (19.6GeV);  $B < 1.4 \times 10^{13}$  T (27GeV) [STAR, PRC108(2023)014910]

$$\begin{split} P_{H} &= \frac{8}{\pi \alpha_{H}} \langle \sin(\Psi_{\rm RP} - \phi_{p}^{*}) \rangle \\ H: \text{ hyperons, } \Lambda \text{ or } \bar{\Lambda} \text{ here} \\ \alpha_{H}: \text{ decay parameter} \\ \phi_{p}^{*}: \text{ decay daughter } p \ (\bar{p}) \\ \text{ azimuth in } \Lambda \ (\bar{\Lambda}) \text{ rest frame} \end{split}$$

## $\Lambda$ local polarization

[STAR, PRL 131(2023)202301]



$$\begin{split} P_z &= \frac{\langle \cos \theta_p^* \rangle}{a_H \langle \cos^2 \theta_p^* \rangle} \\ \theta_p^*: \quad \text{decay daughter } p \ (\bar{p}) \text{ polar angle} \\ \text{in } \Lambda \ (\bar{\Lambda}) \text{ rest frame} \\ \text{w.r.t. beam direction} \end{split}$$

 $\blacktriangleright~\Lambda$  polarization along beam has dependence on azimuth w.r.t. EP

- $\rightarrow$  vorticity pattern expected due to elliptic and triangular anisotropic flow
- $\rightarrow$  local polarization w.r.t. both  $\Psi_2$ ,  $\Psi_3$  observed with similar magnitudes
- ▶ comparison with models → measurements provide constraints on the thermal vorticity and shear-induced contributions to hyperon polarization

## Outline



## CME signal extraction: SP/PP comparison method



[Voloshin, PRC 98(2018)054911]

- The azimuthal correlator Δγ is widely used, with backgrounds like resonance decays coupled with flow γ<sub>os</sub> = ⟨cos(φ<sup>±</sup><sub>1</sub> + φ<sup>∓</sup><sub>2</sub> − 2Ψ<sub>RP</sub>)⟩, γ<sub>os</sub> = ⟨cos(φ<sup>±</sup><sub>1</sub> + φ<sup>∓</sup><sub>2</sub> − 2Ψ<sub>RP</sub>)⟩, Δγ = γ<sub>os</sub> − γ<sub>ss</sub>
- ▶ Both SP (spectator plane) and PP (participant plane) measure signal and flow-coupled background, but with different responses → SP, PP comparison → separate the signal and background
- The measurements shows positive  $f_{\text{CME}}$  with  $2 \sim 3\sigma$  significance.

## The isobar collision: CME upper limit



- ▶ initial expectation:  ${}^{96}_{44}$ Ru,  ${}^{96}_{40}$ Zr: same A, different  $Z \rightarrow$  same background, different signal
  - ▶ Ru+Ru: proton number  $\uparrow \rightarrow$  magnetic field  $\uparrow \rightarrow$  CME signal  $\uparrow \rightarrow \Delta \gamma / v_2 \uparrow \rightarrow$  Ru/Zr > 1



- STAR blind analysis [STAR, PRC 105(2022)014901] → isobar ratios Ru/Zr < 1, opposite to the initial expectation ← multiplicity diff. ← nuclear structure [Xu et al., PRL121(2018)022301].</p>
- ▶ Nonflow background baseline estimate  $\rightarrow$  CME upper limit 10% (95% CL). Forced match method (N,  $v_2$ , EP res.) [STAR, QM2023]  $\rightarrow$  consistent with unity

# Summary and Outlook

#### Summary

This talk focuses on selected recent studies on flow, vorticity, and chirality, amid numerous other key findings

- Observed v<sub>1</sub> splitting between particles and antiparticles. Physics interpretations: EM effects? Baryon inhomogeneity?
- Proton v<sub>1</sub> measurements and excess proton v<sub>1</sub> offers constraints to EoS of the matter produced
- $\blacktriangleright$   $v_2$  NCQ scaling breaks at low energy
- Λ and Λ polarization consistent within uncertainties. Non-zero local polarization relative to 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> order event planes
- $\blacktriangleright$  CME searches with SP/PP comparison and isobar comparison  $\rightarrow$  currently no firm conclusion on CME  $\rightarrow$  looking forward to new data

#### Outlook

- Fully upgraded STAR detector (BES-II and forward upgrades completed) → better resolution, wider acceptance
- ▶ Unprecedented high statistics Au+Au/p+p at  $\sqrt{s_{_{\rm NN}}} = 200$  GeV in 2023-2025 → anticipated great improvement of precision



[STAR, Beam Use Request, Runs 24-25] [Hot QCD White Paper, arXiv:2303.17254] [The Present and Future of QCD, NPA1047(2024)122874]