# Beyond the Standard Model in the Higgs Sector

Simon Grewe on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration

**ICNFP 2024** 

27.08.2024

simon.gabriel.grewe@cern.ch





MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR PHYSICS

# Introduction

- Besides its tremendous success the standard model has many shortcomings (often connected to the scalar sector)
  - Dark matter
  - Baryon asymmetries
  - Naturalness
- Many proposed solutions require an extended Higgs sector e.g.
  - SUSY
  - Axion models
- Simplest extension 2 Higgs doublet model:
  - Particle Content: H<sup>+</sup>,H<sup>-</sup>,h,H,A
  - Many more models adding additional singlets, doublet, triplets

# No clear sign of BSM in the Higgs sector



# Introduction

- Many searches for an extended Higgs sector have been performed by ATLAS : <u>public</u> results
- Related talks:
  - Exotic searches at ATLAS by Gökhan Ünel
  - ATLAS searches for non-minimal and long-lived SUSY scenarios by Risa Ushioda
  - <u>Search for Higgs boson production through resonance decays (CMS)</u> by Rainer Mankel
- Today: Selection of recent direct ATLAS searches for an extended Higgs sector in the full Run 2 Data set (140 fb<sup>-1</sup>, √s=13TeV)
  - H⁺→cs
  - Н→аа→үүүү
  - H→aa→bbττ

### H⁺→cs

- t→b H<sup>+</sup>→cs b
  - light H<sup>+</sup>[ 60, 168] GeV
- Light H<sup>+</sup> dominant decay modes
  - H⁺→cs
  - H<sup>+</sup>→τν
  - (H<sup>+</sup>→cb) usually smaller than H<sup>+</sup>→cs due to CKM-Matrix

g

g

- Produced in tt decays
- 1 lepton +jets final state
- Uses simultaneous b and c tagging
  - Uses Pseudo-continuous flavor-tagging



### H⁺→cs

- Mismodelling of dominant SM tt background is corrected by data driven method
- Reconstruct tt system
  - Solve the jet combinatorics by considering every permutation
  - Choose the permutation maximizing  $PDF_{t-lep} \times PDF_{t-had}$
- BDT trained to distinguish between background signal





Correction are separately calculated for each jet multiplicity

### H<sup>+</sup>→cs

- Fit BDT-score
- Dominant uncertainties: ٠
  - flavour tagging/MC stat. /tt modelling •
- No significant deviation above the SM expectation

Events 10

10

10<sup>4</sup>

10<sup>3</sup>

1.02

g 0.98 Dat

ATLAS

Post-Fit

Signal Region

15

- Set limits on BR(tt $\rightarrow$  H<sup>+</sup>b)
- Largest deviation at 110 GeV(1.5  $\sigma$ ) •
- Currently strongest limits between 120-160 GeV
  - First direct limits for 60 70 & 168 GeV





# h→aa→ɣɣɣɣ

- Search Higgs boson (125 GeV) decaying into 2 axion like particles a (ALP) decaying into 4 photons
  - 100MeV<m(a)<62 GeV
- Targets both **prompt**(short lived) and **non-prompt**(long lived)  $a \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  decays
  - Coupling  $c_{ayy} < 10^{-5}$  decay outside ATLAS and are thus not probable
- Targets both **resolved** and **merged**  $a \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  decays
- For m(a) < 3.5 GeV strongly collimated di-photons pairs
  - Reconstrued as only one calo cluster/ photon
- Main Background: di-photon and non-resonant multijet

# h→aa→ɣɣɣɣ

Standard photon identification is inefficient for merged photons →Custom merged photon ID based on Neural Networks was

developed



#### **Event Categories:**

- 1. Four reconstrued photons(4S)
- 2. Three reconstructed photons(3S)
- 3. Two merged photons(2M)
- One merged & One single photon(1M1S)
- 5. Two single photons(2S)

m<sup>reco</sup> [GeV]



### arXiv:2407.01335

### $h \rightarrow aa \rightarrow bb\tau\tau$

- Higgs boson (125 GeV) decaying into 2 new light pseudoscalar a
- Events selected by single e/μ triggers  $_{\odot}$  At least on leptonically decaying  $au_{\mathsf{lep}}$
- Categorize by the decay mode of the  $\tau$
- Main background: Z+jet, tt,  $\tau_{had}$  fakes



### **DeXTer Tagger**

- Identifies low p<sub>T</sub> merged di-b jets(B), b-jets, light jets
- Uses reclustered R=0.8 track jets
- Utilizes secondary vertices ٠ and tracks information

**Resolved** for high m<sub>a</sub>

Merged for low m<sub>a</sub>

### $H \rightarrow aa \rightarrow bb\tau\tau$

- Categories based on  $\tau$  decay & the number of b/B-jets
- Missing Mass Calculator (MMC) is used to reconstruct the v momenta from  $a{\rightarrow}\ \tau\tau$  decay
  - $_{\odot}$  Uses Markov chain to reconstruct most likely v momentum
- Mass parameterized Neural Network is trained to distinguish between background and signal





### $H \rightarrow aa \rightarrow bb\tau\tau$





## **Summary Plot**

tan β



 Interpretation of search results in the hMSSM framework

Large part of the parameter space excluded by extensive search program

# Conclusion

- ATLAS has an extensive search program in the Higgs sector covering many models and final states
- So far **no** strong sign for an extended Higgs sector
- But there **is** Physics beyond the standard model and the Higgs sector is a promising place to search for it and ..
  - There will be a lot more data to explore
  - New analysis techniques to be utilized
  - New models/signatures to be tested

### Backup

#### arXiv:2401.04742

# 4 lepton + E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>/jets

- Search for new Resonance R/A decaying into a heavy Higgs boson H and a new Scalar S or Z boson
  - $S \rightarrow invisible$  (possible DM candidates)
  - $H \rightarrow ZZ$
- S mass fixed at 160 GeV
- In the context of 2HDM+Scalar models ( $R \rightarrow SH$ )
- Or benchmark model for baryogenesis ( $A \rightarrow ZH$ )
- 4 lepton + additional activity final state
  - Missing transverse momentum
  - Jets
  - Additional leptons





# 4 lepton + E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>/jets

- Background estimation: Shape of the m<sub>4l</sub> distribution is obtained from simulation using an parametrized empirical function fitted to data
  - $_{\odot}\,$  Main background: ZZ
  - Decreases statical uncertainties
- Require m<sub>4l</sub>> 200 GeV
  - $_{\odot}$  50 GeV<m\_z<106/115 GeV
- Further cuts optimized to increase significance

Signal region	$R \to SH \to 4\ell + E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ and $A \to ZH \to 4\ell + X$			
SR1		$n_{\rm jets} = 0$	$p_{\rm T}^{4\ell} > 20 {\rm GeV}$	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ significance >2.0
SR2	$n_{b-\text{jets}} = 0$	$n_{\rm jets} \ge 1$	$p_{\rm T}^{4\ell} > 10  {\rm GeV}$	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ significance > 3.5
SR3			$p_{\rm T}^{4\ell}$ < 10 GeV	$2.5 < E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ significance < 3.5
	$A \to ZH \to 4\ell + X$			
SR4	$n_{b-\text{jets}} = 0$	$n_{\rm jets} \ge 2$	$ m_{jj} - m_Z  < 20 \text{ GeV}$	
SR5			$ m_{jj} - m_Z  > 20 \text{ GeV}$	
SR6	90/001	$n_{\rm jets} = 1$		
SR7	$n_{b ext{-jets}} \ge 1$			



# 4 lepton + E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>/jets

- Fit the m<sub>41</sub> distribution
- No significant excess above the SM expectation
  - Largest deviation 2.5 $\sigma$  for the A $\rightarrow$ ZH $\rightarrow$ 4I+X signal at (mA,mH)=(510,380) •

∑9<sup>1300</sup> 9<sup>1200</sup>

E 1100

1000

900

800

700

600

500

400

300

400

500

ATLAS

700

600





### H⁺→cs

Variable type   Variable name		Definition			
Top-quark kinematic variables					
	$j_1 p_{\mathrm{T}}$	$p_{\rm T}$ of $j_1$ -labelled jet			
	$j_2 \; p_{ m T}$	$p_{\rm T}$ of $j_2$ -labelled jet			
	$b_{ m had} \; p_{ m T}$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}$ of $b_{\mathrm{had}}$ -jet			
<i>t</i> -	$b_{\rm had}^{t_{\rm had}-{\rm rest}} p$	Momentum of $b_{had}$ -jet in $t_{had}$ rest frame			
$\iota_{\rm had}$	dijet mass	Invariant mass of $j_1+j_2$ jets			
	$(j_1+b_{had})$ mass	Invariant mass of $j_1 + b_{had}$ jets			
	$(j_2+b_{\rm had})$ mass	Invariant mass of $j_2 + b_{had}$ jets			
	$\cos  heta$	Boson spin sensitive variable			
	$b_{ m lep} p_{ m T}$	$p_{\rm T}$ of $b_{\rm lep}$ -jet			
<i>t</i> -	Lepton $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\rm T}$ of reconstructed lepton			
$v_{ m lep}$	W mass	Invariant mass of reconstructed $W$ boson			
	$t_{\rm lep}$ mass	Invariant mass of reconstructed $t_{\rm lep}$			
	$t_{ m lep} \ p_{ m T}$	$p_{\rm T}$ of reconstructed $t_{\rm lep}$			
tt-system	$\Delta R(b_{ m lep}, b_{ m had})$	$\Delta R$ between the $b_{\text{lep}}$ -jet and $b_{\text{had}}$ -jet			
	$t\overline{t}$ mass	Invariant mass of $t_{had} + t_{lep}$			
Event variables					
	$N_{ m jets}$	Number of jets in the event			
Event level	$S_{\mathrm{T}}$	Scalar $p_{\rm T}$ sum of all calibrated objects			
	$P_{t\overline{t}}$	Normalised probability of correct jet labelling			
Flavour-tagging variables					
	$j_1 \text{ PCFT}$	PCFT score of $j_1$			
Flavour-tagging score	$j_2$ PCFT	PCFT score of $j_2$			
r havour bassing score	$b_{\rm had} \ { m PCFT}$	PCFT score of $b_{had}$ -jet			
	$b_{\rm lep}$ PCFT	PCFT score of $b_{lep}$ -jet			
	$N_{c-\mathrm{tagLo}}$	Number of jets passing loose $c$ -tag WP ( $b$ -veto)			
Number of tags	$N_{c\text{-tagTi}}$	Number of jets passing tight $c$ -tag WP ( $b$ -veto)			
	$N_{b-\mathrm{tag70}}$	Number of jets passing $70\%$ <i>b</i> -tag WP			
	$\mid N_{b-{ m tag}60}$	Number of jets passing $60\%$ <i>b</i> -tag WP <sup>19</sup>			