

## Identified and strange hadron production and flow in O + Ocollisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7$ TeV using various models

Jagbir Singh, M. U. Ashraf, A. M. Khan, A. Rana and S. Kabana

Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Arica, Chile

email: jagbir@rcf.rhic.bnl.gov



















- Introduction: Heavy-Ion Collisions
- Motivation
- Models: EPOS4 and AMPT
- Results:
  - Charge Particle Multiplicity
  - $p_T$ -Spectra
  - Integrated Yield (dN/dy) and  $\langle p_T \rangle$
  - Ratios
  - Anisotropic flow  $(v_2, v_3 \text{ and } v_4)$
- Summary



## **Introduction: Heavy Ion Collisions**



- *What are Heavy-Ion Collisions?* High-energy collisions between nuclei to create conditions similar to those just after the Big Bang.
- *Objective*: To study the Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP), a state of matter where quarks and gluons are deconfined.
- *Importance*: Helps understand the strong interaction (QCD) and the phase transition from hadronic matter to QGP.



The evolution of a heavy-ion collision at LHC energies

ICNFP-2024, Jagbir Singh



#### Motivation



• Small systems exhibit similar behavior as that of Large systems at LHC — collectivity in small systems?



• O + O collisions can provide significant and timely opportunity to explore these effects



#### **Models: EPOS4**



- EPOS4: a multi-purpose event generator, based on parton-based Gribov-Regge Theory, using relativistic hydrodynamic simulation to mimic the fluid behaviour of the QGP.
  - •uses a unique approach to treat ALL systems  $(e^+ + e^-, e^- + p, p + p, p + A, A + A)$
  - scattering approach, including parton saturation effects
    - secondary interactions based on a core-corona separation
  - •Hadrons and fluid, expands using viscous hydrodynamical, with final-state hadronic cascades
  - •Reproduces naturally many flow-like features (even in small systems)
- We generated approximately ~1.5 Millions events using full options of EPOS4



K. Werner et al., Phys. Rep. 350, 93 (2001) K. Werner, Phys. Rev. C 108, 064903 (2023) https://journals.aps.org/prc/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevC.109.034918 https://indico.in2p3.fr/event/26074/contributions/112639/attachments/71952/102635/Tuto\_EPOS\_RIVET-(HIC\_School-2022).pdf

ICNFP-2024, Jagbir Singh



#### **Models: AMPT**



- **AMPT (A Multi-Phase Transport Model)** is a Monte Carlo transport model for pp and heavy ion collisions.
- Includes both initial partonic and final hadronic interactions, and the transition between these two phases of matter.
- We generated approximately 2.5 Millions events for both default and String Melting version of AMPT.



Z. W. Lin et al., Phys. Rev. C 72, 064901, 2005 https://indico.bnl.gov/event/4773/contributions/26332/attachments/21597/29571/ AMPT\_final.pdf

S. Choudhury et al., , Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 383 (2020)

Centrality (%)





# Results



#### Charged particle multiplicity distributions for EPOS4 and AMPT





- Pseudorapidity distributions
- Centrality classes: Reference multiplicity (  $| \eta | < 0.5$  )

| Centrality (%) | EPOS                         |   | AMPT SM                                  |   |
|----------------|------------------------------|---|--|---|
|                | ${ m d}N_{ m ch}/{ m d}\eta$ | $\langle N_{\rm part} \rangle \pm { m rms}$ | $\mathrm{d}N_\mathrm{ch}/\mathrm{d}\eta$ | $\langle N_{\rm part} \rangle \pm { m rms}$ |
| 0-5            | $236.44\pm0.14$              | $27.86 \pm 2.18$                            | $188.293 \pm 0.043$                      | $29.00 \pm 2.03$                            |
| 5-10           | $189.801\pm0.13$             | $25.05 \pm 2.33$                            | $145.678 \pm 0.038$                      | $26.78 \pm 2.60$                            |
| 10-20          | $148.437\pm0.08$             | $21.44 \pm 3.15$                            | $110.442 \pm 0.023$                      | $23.24 \pm 3.24$                            |
| 20 - 30        | $105.863\pm0.07$             | $16.87 \pm 3.12$                            | $76.0851 \pm 0.019$                      | $18.54 \pm 3.26$                            |
| 30-40          | $75.027\pm0.06$              | $12.44 \pm 2.56$                            | $51.4713 \pm 0.016$                      | $14.33 \pm 3.05$                            |
| 40-50          | $53.037\pm0.05$              | $9.60 \pm 2.52$                             | $34.1878 \pm 0.013$                      | $10.80 \pm 2.75$                            |
| 50-60          | $37.146\pm0.06$              | $6.98 \pm 2.3$                              | $22.0823 \pm 0.010$                      | $7.99 \pm 2.40$                             |
| 60 - 80        | $19.889\pm0.02$              | $4.49 \pm 1.96$                             | $11.1169 \pm 0.005$                      | $4.94\pm2.00$                               |
| 80 - 100       | $5.127 \pm 0.01$             | $1.89 \pm 1.53$                             | $3.76107 \pm 0.003$                      | $2.63 \pm 1.03$                             |

# **Transverse momentum** $(p_T)$ **spectra at mid rapidity**



9

- Pions: lightest hadrons most abundance
- Low-*p<sub>T</sub>* : Mass-dependent behavior (identified);
- Suppressed production of  $\phi$  compared to  $\Lambda$ , clear violation of mass ordering
- Intermediate- $p_T$ : Spectra converges; due to radial flow effects
- Steeper slope: heavy particles



03/09/2024

ICNFP-2024, Jagbir Singh



#### **Integrated Yield (***dN*/*dy***)**





- Integrated Yield (dN/dy): Increasing with increasing  $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$
- Abundance of Pions: aligns with the predictions of thermalized Boltzmann production of secondary particles
- Strangeness: Yield decreases with increasing number of strange quark
- EPOS4 > AMPT-SM (AMPT-Def)

M. U. Ashraf et al., <u>arXiv:2402.13843</u>, 2024 M. U. Ashraf, J. Singh et al., <u>arXiv:2406.04096</u>, 2024



#### Mean transverse momentum ( $\langle p_T \rangle$ )



•  $\langle p_T \rangle$  : Increases with mass

- Increasing trend in  $\langle p_T \rangle$
- peripheral to central
- increase in radial flow

- Increasing  $\langle p_T \rangle$  with increasing center-of-mass energy
- O + O collisions follow the trend



#### **Measurements of ratios to pions**





ALICE physics projections for a short oxygen-beam run at the LHC, ALICE, 2021

• Projection of ALICE O+O collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 6.37$  TeV compared with pp, p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions



- $p_T$  -integrated ratios relative to pions
- None of the model quantitatively describe this yield ratio
- EPOS4: describe strangeness enhancement

# **Predictions of** $p_T$ -differential particle ratios



- Particle ratios:
  - direct probe
  - relative abundances
  - dynamics of the underlying quark constituents
- $K/\pi$ ,  $\Lambda/\pi$ ,  $\phi/\pi$  and  $\Xi/\pi$ : Strangeness enhancement
- $p/\pi$  ( $\Lambda/K_s^0$ ): (higher) relative production of baryons (strange) compared to mesons (strange)
  - Baryon enhancement at intermediate  $p_T$  in central collisions— recombination



# INSTITUTO DE ALTA INVESTIGACIÓN

# Anisotropic flow ( $v_2$ , $v_3$ and $v_4$ ) versus $N_{ch}$





ALICE physics projections for a short oxygen-beam run at the LHC, ALICE, 2021

- Projection of ALICE for O+O collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 6.37$  TeV compared with pp, p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions.
- Anisotropic Flow  $(v_2, v_3 \text{ and } v_4)$  vs.  $N_{ch}$ 
  - Q-Cumulant method
  - multiplicity overlap (bridges between small and large system)



S. Acharya et al., ALICE, Phys. Rev. C, 123, 142301 (2019) A. Bilandzic et al., Phys. Rev. C **83**, 044913, 2011



#### Summary



- We present predictions of various observables for identified  $(\pi, K, p)$  and (mutli-)strange hadrons  $(K_s^0, \Lambda, \Xi^-(\Xi^+), \phi, \text{ and } \Omega^-(\Omega^+))$  in O + O collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7$  TeV using the recently updated hydrodynamics-based EPOS4, AMPT-SM and AMPT-Default.
- Yield of identified and (multi-)strange hadrons increase with collision centrality

• decreases systematically with increasing number of strange quarks

- $\langle p_T \rangle$  increases from peripheral to central collisions:
  - More radial flow in central collisions.
- $p_T$ -integrated ratios:
  - EPOS4 predict relative larger enhancement for (multi-)strange baryons while preforms well for strange hadrons

• none of the models quantitatively describe the strangeness enhancement

• Anisotropic flow  $(v_2, v_3 \text{ and } v_4) \text{ vs } N_{ch}$ 

• AMPT-Def prediction is better and close to pp, p-Pb and Pb-Pb

- Interestingly, final state multiplicity overlap is observed
- It would be interesting to investigate strangeness enhancement with the experimental data and extended AMPT model when available.





# Thank you for your attention!!