

Evolution of ATLAS Databases

Dario Barberis

Genoa University/INFN

Dario Barberis: ATLAS Databases

1

Database applications

- Online (ATONR):
 - Detector Control System (DCS/ PVSS)
 - Trigger and detector configuration
 - Conditions for data-taking (COOL)
 - SFO-Tier0 handshake
- ADC (ADCR):
 - DDM/DQ2
 - ProdSys/Panda

- Offline (ATLR):
 - Detector Control System (DCS/ PVSS)
 - Trigger and detector configuration
 - Conditions for offline processing (COOL)
 - Detector geometry
 - Tier-0 and CAF job control
 - Metadata:
 - AMI
 - COMA
 - TAG catalogue
- "Archive" (but not quite) (ATLARC):
 - TAG database
 - Real archive of some old data

- Grid services (LCGR):
 - LFC, FTS

Dario Barberis: ATLAS Databases



Online databases

- Current detector data-taking needs are well satisfied by the current setup (ATONR + CORAL server)
- Requirements are in terms of data volume rather than functionality
 - Mainly DCS/PVSS data
 - Sliding window algorithm already implemented keeping 12-18 months
 - All data are in ATLR anyway
 - Talk later this morning (Stefan Schlenker)
- Further optimisation may be needed for Oracle 11g
 - Talk later this morning (Gancho Dimitrov)



Offline databases

- Geometry and Trigger DB now also distributed with Frontier
 - Remove the need for the hybrid SQLite/Frontier DB access for analysis
 - Keep SQLite only for production tasks
- Continue evolving COOL in functionality and performance
- Optimisation of existing database applications using Oracle 11g
 - Transition planned for next Winter
 - Talk later this morning (Gancho Dimitrov)



ADC databases

- Had to split them off the ATLR cluster to isolate sources of high load and avoid interferences with COOL and other applications (Tier-O)
- ADC launched a number of R&D projects earlier this year, including: Study of the usability and performance of NoSQL databases for ADC applications
- Two talks later today:
 - ProdSys/Panda (Maxim Potekhin)
 - DDM/DQ2 (Vincent Garonne)

TAG database

- TAGs event-level metadata records are by volume one of the most demanding applications
- ~2 kB/event (including indices) × 400 Hz × multiple reprocessing passes
 - And matching amounts of simulation
 - Scale is in the 10s of terabytes
 - Scalability is an increasing challenge
- Databases hosted at multiple sites (Switzerland, UK, Germany, Spain, Canada)
 - No site hosts everything
- ATLAS TAGs are hosted both in POOL/ROOT files and in Oracle
- Underlying technology LCG POOL Collections is designed to be DB technology independent
 - Original implementations included MySQL
 - Dropped because this was not a CERN-IT supported technology
- Obvious candidate for technology alternatives
 - Especially those that support efficient parallel read-only shared-nothing (or shared-little
 - nothing is ever quite so simple) access patterns
 - Column-wise databases also of potential interest
 - > Demo project (Petaminer) used TAGs with ROOT as column-wise storage backend to MySQL
 - No real effort has been available for such explorations, but the situation may be changing
- ATLAS is interested in collaboratively exploring both:
 - NoSQL implementations to support event selection services (Luc Goossens)
 - Optimizations that may be possible within Oracle 11g (Gancho Dimitrov)

From David Malon

Dario Barberis: ATLAS Databases

Outlook

- We have a working system
 - Sure, it can be improved
 - Also the amount of data is steadily increasing
- We work towards two parallel aims:
 - Improvements and optimisations of the current applications
 - R&D projects following the DB technology evolution
- We won't disrupt data taking and data analysis
 - All major component replacements can only take place during the LHC downtimes and after adequate load testing