# Status of the analysis of <sup>94,95,96</sup>Mo(n,γ)

RICCARDO MUCCIOLA

# Importance of molybdenum





- Fission product in nuclear power plants;
- Transport casks, irradiated fuel storage;
- Research reactors and Accident Tolerant Fuels;
- Stellar nucleosynthesis;

# Stellar nucleosynthesis

- Four main nucleosynthesis processes for elements heavier than iron: s-process, r-process, i-process, and p-process;
- Some isotopes can be synthetized only by one process (e.g., <sup>96</sup>Mo by s-process);
- Possible to set constraints on intensity of the processes.

s-process path around molybdenum



Number of neutrons

<sup>94</sup> Ru	50 95 44	<b>Ru</b> 51	96 44 <b>Ru</b> 52	97 <b>Ru</b> 53	98 <b>Ru</b> 54	99 44 <b>Ru</b> 55	<sup>100</sup> 44 <b>Ru</b> 56	<sup>101</sup> <sub>44</sub> <b>Ru</b> 57	<sup>102</sup> 44 <b>RU</b> 58
67.5 µs 0+ Bx=2644.1 IT≈00%	5L8n0+ 1.607 Δ=8284[3 Δ=-83 β≠100; β+	7 h 5/2+ 3458(10) =100%	Stable >80Ey 0+ Δ==86080.39(17) nat=5.54(14)% 2β+ ?	2.8370 d 5/2+ Δ=-86120.6(28) β+=100%	Stable 0+ ∆=-88225(6) nat=1.87(3)%	Stable 5/2+* ∆=-87625.4(3) nat=12.76(14)%	Stable 0+ ∆=-89227.4(3) nat=12.60(7)%	17.5 µs 11/2- Ван527.55 д=4756.114 П=00% паt=17.0612%	Stable 0+ ∆=-89106.4(4) nat=31.55(14)%
<sup>93</sup> Tc	50 94 43	<b>C</b> 51	<sup>95</sup> <b>TC</b> 52	96 <b>TC</b> 53	<sup>97</sup> <b>TC</b> 54	<sup>98</sup> <b>TC</b> 55	<sup>99</sup> 43 <b>TC</b> 56	<sup>100</sup> 43 <b>TC</b> 57	<sup>101</sup> <sub>43</sub> <b>TC</b> 58
43.5 m 1/2- Eso(50.84 dr IT=77.4(61%	2.75 h 9/2+ =88606.1103 Ee##76 β+=100; β+=100; IT<0.18;	293 m 7+* Δ=-84156(4) β+=(100;	61.96.4 1/2: Вжк68.91 (л. 49.228 h.9/2+* (р+96.113/к) П=3.913/к	51.5 m 44         4.28 d 74*           Exx(64.23         2∞-85622(5)           IT498.0157x         β≈100%           β≈2.0(57x         β≈100%	91.1 d 1/2 Exr/95.57 d=822414 TT96.06108/s c=3.9418/s	14.7 μs 12,31- 8xx60,77 δ=8562(3) T1=100 β=100 β=05	6.0065 h 1/2.* = **1.1 ky 9/2* Exo(42.6866 = 4727/3/9) IT+100 = 2** β=0.0037/91	8.32 μs 14+ 5xx20.67 Π=00% β=100% c=0.0018/9%	66 μs 1/2- 69/07/.56 δ+863/6/24 17-107: β-107/
92 42 MO	50 93 42	0 51	94 42 <b>MO</b> 52	95 42 <b>MO</b> 53	96 42 <b>MO</b> 54	<sup>97</sup> 42MO 55	98 MO 56	42 MO 57	<sup>100</sup> 42MO 58
130 ns 8+ 513 Ben=2760.52 //= IT=100% nst	6)z >1505) 0+ -88808.55(16) =14.649(106)% 28+? (158.68(1))% (158.68	4.0 ky 5/2+ &=88807.09(18) ¢=100k	Stable O+ ∆=-88414 (14) nat=9.18/(19)%	Stable 5/2+* Δ=-87711.8 nat=15.873(30)	Stable 0+ ∆=-88794.89(12) nat=16.673(8)%	Stable 5/2+* ∆=.87544.70(16) nat=9.582(15)%	Stable >100Ty O+ Δ=-88115,98(17) nat=24.292(80)% 2β-?	15.5 (β 5(2+ Εν:477,76 Π=006 β=1006	7.07 Ey 0+ Δ=-86193.0(3) nat=9.744(65)% 2β-=100%
<sup>91</sup> Nb	50 92 41	<b>b</b> 51	93 ND 52	<sup>94</sup> ∧b₅₃	95 <b>Nb</b> 54	96 41 <b>Nb</b> 55	97 <b>Nb</b> 56	98 41 <b>Nb</b> 57	99 41 <b>Nb</b> 58
60,66 d 1/2-* d Ebs=104,60 d= IT=56,615% ex6,4(5)% ex e=40,002812%	680 γ 9/2+* 10.115 d (2)+ =66638.0(29) Em=125.5 ε<0.00% β+=10% =0.0138025[%	34,7 Wy 7+* d=6663,3(18) β+±001	16, 12 y 1/2- Bur30, 760 (12, 2, 9)(5) (7+100) (2, 10) (7+100) (2, 10)	6.223 m 3 <sup>3</sup> Ebr=0.692 (1~69.50(8) β=0.20(8)	36, 61/2- Bro25, 8 Π49,4(8) β-6,6(5) β-6,6(5)	23.35 h 6+ Δ=-85602.83(15) β-=100%	98,7 s 1/2- Βκιτ743,35 μ=45603(4) Π1400 β=400k	SLI n (5)+ 2.86 s I+ Ebx=84 Δ=8825(5) β+400k β=400k IT ?	2.5 m 1/2- Exr=365,27
<sup>30</sup> 40 <b>Zr</b>	50 <sup>91</sup> 40	Zr 51	<sup>92</sup> 40 <b>Zr</b> 52	40 Zr 53	<sup>94</sup> 40 <b>Zr</b> 54	<sup>93</sup> 40 <b>Zr</b> 55	<sup>96</sup> 40 <b>Zr</b> 56	<sup>97</sup> 40 <b>Zr</b> 57	<sup>98</sup> 40 <b>Zr</b> 58
809.2 ns 5- Eer+2519.000 //- IT=100% n	Stable 0+ 4.36 µs (22 <mark>72+)</mark> -88772.55(12) Exercision.3 at-51.45(4); IT=100;	503018 5/24* (#=67555.56(5) nat=11.22(5)%	Δ=-88459.02(9) nat=17.15(3)%	1.6 My 5/2+ Δ=-87122.0(5) β-=100%	Stable → 10Py 0+ Δ=-87269.33(16) nat=17.38(4)% 2β- ?	64.032 σ 2/2+ Δ=-85659.9(9) β-=100%	23.4 Ey O+ Δ=-85438.86(11) nat=2.80(2)% 2β-=100%	1648 ns 7/2+ Ee+1264.35 IT=100; β=100;	1.9 μs (17) Ex+600.9 d=80.00(8) IT=100; β=100;

# Presolar grain composition





• Comparison of SiC grains composition versus stellar model (FRANEC) using delta notation:

$$\delta\left(\frac{{}^{95}Mo}{{}^{96}Mo}\right) = 10^3 \times \left[\binom{{}^{95}Mo}{{}^{96}Mo}\right] / \binom{{}^{95}Mo}{{}^{96}Mo}_{\odot} - 1\right]$$

- MACS from KADoNiS v1.0 database,
- Slight discrepancies between model and isotopic composition,
- Possible overestimation of MACS in KADoNiS.

S. Palmerini et al., ApJ 921 7 (2021)

## Cross section uncertainties in ENDF/B-VIII



ENDF/B-VIII: D. Brown et al., Nucl. Data. Sheets 148 (2012)

#### Improvement of RP file





- RP file improved by an adjustment to transmission data using REFIT
- Fit of resonances up to 5 keV
- Details showed in <u>last Collaboration</u> <u>meeting</u>

R. Mucciola et al., NIMB 531 (2022) 100



## n\_TOF measurements

Last meeting	This talk	
<b>↓</b>		
EAR2_2021	EAR1_2022	EAR2_2022
1.7 10 <sup>18</sup> protons	6.0 10 <sup>18</sup> protons	1.7 10 <sup>18</sup> protons
3 B6D6, 1 L6D6, 1 STED	4 C6D6	8 STED, 2 L6D6, 1 DSTI
Powder sample in aluminum canning	Pressed pellets in plastic bags	Pressed pellets in plastic bags

+ additional transmission measurement with enriched pellets at 10m station of GELINA
+transmission measurements with natural samples at 50m station of GELINA

## Experimental conditions @ EAR1

#### DETECTION SETUP



<u>Setup:</u> • 4 C6D6,

• 8 cm from sample.



#### SAMPLES

#### <u>Samples:</u>

- Pressed pellets in thin plastic bags,
- Samples mounted in

sample exchanger.

# Background estimation

## Background of capture measurements

The background of a capture measurements can be expressed as:

 $B = b_0 + b_s(t) + b_n(t) + b_{\gamma}(t)$ 

Where  $b_0$  is the time independent background,  $b_s(t)$  is the time-dependent sampleindependent background,  $b_n(t)$  is the sample dependent neutron scattering and  $b_{\gamma}(t)$  is the sample dependent in-beam gamma scattering.

 $b_0$  can be estimated with a beam-off measurement;

 $b_s(t)$  can be estimated with a measurement with an empty sample;

 $b_n(t)$  and  $b_{\gamma}(t)$  can be estimated via additional measurements with "perfect scattering" samples (materials with negligible capture cross-section), like lead and carbon.

### Background measurements



- Set of measurements performed during the campaign
- Plotted in counts/ns to better understand the shape

#### Neutron and gamma component estimation



- Both lead and carbon sample are perfect neutron scatterers;
- Due to high Z, lead sample has a high gamma scattering crosssection;
- Empty counts removed from lead and carbon;
- Carbon spectra can be used to estimate neutron scattering component at higher energies;
- Carbon normalized to lead spectra below ~20 eV

### Fit of background components

- Neutron scattering and inbeam gamma component fitted with analytical functions;
- Neutron component fitted with three exponentials;
- In-beam gamma fitted using exponential function;
- Fit functions can reproduce the experimental spectra.



#### Total background



• Neutron scattering and inbeam gamma component scaled to reproduce effect of molybdenum samples:

$$B_n(Mo) = \frac{\sigma_{Mo}^{el}}{\sigma_{Pb}^{el}} \frac{n_{Mo}}{n_{Pb}} B_n(Pb)$$

$$B_{\gamma}(Mo) = \frac{Z_{Mo}}{Z_{Pb}} \frac{n_{Mo}}{n_{Pb}} B_{\gamma}(Pb)$$

• Different components added together to obtain total background of each sample.

#### Background subtraction



- Background subtracted from each sample count spectra.
- Quality of background estimated with gold sample measurements in both resolved and unresolved regions (see later).

Reaction yield

#### Reaction yield of capture measurements

For a capture experiment the observable of the measurement is the capture yield defined as:

 $Y_{exp} = N \frac{C_{\gamma}(t) - B_{\gamma}(t)}{\varphi(t)}$ 

Where N is a normalization factor and  $\varphi(t)$  is the neutron flux while at the numerator we have the experimental weighted counts and the background.

The normalization factor can be extracted from the saturated resonance of gold.

The neutron flux per proton pulse was evaluated during the commissioning.

#### Normalization

- Normalization obtained from top flat region of saturated gold resonance at 4,9 eV.
- Normalization factor obtained for each detector individually.
- Normalization in agreement within 3%

0.8 - 0.7 -				+	SAMMY Au
0.6 -		114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	l I		
0.5 -		TTY.			
Pel −		זי			
0.3 -					
0.2 -				la la	
I			THE		
0.1 -					# *********
0.1 -	·		· · ·		t - <del>Metanghi</del> t -
- 1.0 Besiduals					

C6D6 1	C6D6 2	C6D6 3	C6D6 4
0.706202	0.703761	0.781857	0.687574

#### Comparison of Au spectra with literature

The reaction yield obtained with the gold sample was compared with the literature values for the resolved and unresolved resonance region.

The good agreement of our spectra with the literature validate the correctness of the procedure used.



#### Background Mo samples - RRR



- Comparison of measured yield with calculation of SAMMY for <sup>95</sup>Mo;
- Background level higher than expected between 50 eV and ~5 keV;
- Same effect, with smaller magnitude, visible in <sup>96</sup>Mo;
- <sup>94</sup>Mo sample seems almost unaffected;

#### Background Mo samples - Near thermal



- Comparison of measured yield with calculation of SAMMY for <sup>95</sup>Mo at thermal energies;
- Good agreement down to ~200meV
- Effect of small contaminants (~1E-4) of W and Ta visible at low energies
- Same good agreement can be seen in <sup>94,96</sup>Mo

## Background Mo samples – EAR2



- Same deviation of data from SAMMY calculation can be seen in EAR2 data of 2021;
- Deviation in same energy range but lower magnitude (~30% less);
- Sample used in EAR2 was powder in aluminum capsule, ~1g of mass.

## Background Mo samples - Possible causes

The possible causes for the observed deviation in the yield are the following:

- Cross-section effect No effect at energies below ~50 eV make it improbable.
- Negative resonance
   More accurate investigation peeded
- Multiple scattering correction —

More accurate investigation needed.

## Mo samples - Bound state



- Effect of the negative resonance included in 95Mo;
- Parameters of the resonances have been adjusted to reproduce thermal capture cross section reported in JEFF4;
- Negative resonance contribute to ~50% of the thermal cross section value;
- Only a small effect is visible at energies above 50 eV.

## Mo samples - Multiple scattering



- Comparison of measured yield with calculation of SAMMY for <sup>95</sup>Mo multiple scattering corrections;
- Multiple scattering correction account for ~30% of yield in 44eV resonance;
- Correction calculated by SAMMY fails to reproduce observed resonance shape.

## Mo samples - Multiple scattering



- Comparison of measured yield with calculation of SAMMY for <sup>95</sup>Mo multiple scattering corrections;
- Multiple scattering components introduces an asymmetry in the reconstructed yield;
- Effect of multiple scattering correction has a tail at higher energies after a resonance.

#### Most probable cause

# Preliminary resonance fit

- Preliminary fit of small resonances below 500 eV has been performed for EAR1 and EAR2;
- A total of 11 resonances has been adjusted.



- Resonances well reproduced in both experiment areas;
- As an example, fit of the 358 eV resonance.



- Resonances well reproduced in both experiment areas;
- As an example, fit of the 325 eV doublet.



- Resonances well reproduced in both experiment areas;
- As an example, fit of the 468 eV resonance.



## <sup>95</sup>Mo comparison EAR1/EAR2 – Kernel ratio

- Ratio of kernel obtained in EAR1 and EAR2;
- Fair agreement between the two;
- No systematic deviation can be observed in this energy region.



#### Simultaneous fit with SAMMY - <sup>94</sup>Mo

Resonance parameters for <sup>94</sup>Mo can be obtained using **SAMMY** by fitting capture data in parallel with transmission data with enriched and natural samples obtained at GELINA.

The resolution function of GELINA has been converted from the REFIT format and added to SAMMY.

The resonance parameters used as starting point are the ones obtained from literature and corrected with transmission at 50m with <sup>nat</sup>Mo samples.

The parameters above 5keV were taken from **JENDL5**. A bound level was added to all the isotopes (except <sup>96</sup>Mo) to reproduce the thermal cross-section of **JEFF4**.

#### Preliminary resonance parameters <sup>94</sup>Mo

- Resonance parameters have been adjusted in all the resolved resonance region (<21 keV);</li>
- Example of fit showed here compared to the calculation performed with JENDL5 parameters
- Good agreement between transmission and capture data with enriched samples



## Preliminary resonance parameters <sup>94</sup>Mo



#### Extending resolved resonance region



- Resolved resonance region in JENDL5 stops at ~20keV;
- Using n\_TOF data is possible to extend the RRR at higher energies;
- Few resonances visible also in transmission measurement;
- The RRR could be extended up to ~50keV.

#### Kernel ratio with literature



- The preliminary kernels obtained with SAMMY were compared to the ones in literature (Weigmann and Musgrove capture measurements);
- Main measurements used in libraries;
- Systematic deviation of around 20% observed

Summary and outlook

#### What has been done:

- Full background estimation and subtraction for all the detectors;
- Reaction yield calculation;
- Preliminary resonance analysis of <sup>94</sup>Mo using capture and transmission data simultaneously.

What is left to do:

- Correction of additional background in Mo samples;
- Final resonance analysis of <sup>94,95,96</sup>Mo;
- Analysis of EAR2 data of 2022;
- R-Matrix analysis together with EAR2 data.

# Thank you for your attention

# Backup

### Background fit uncertainty



- Uncertainty on the fit estimated using 95% confidence interval extracted from Root.
- Almost constant uncertainty around 5% for Empty fit.
- Uncertainties around 15% for in-beam gamma at high energy and neutron scattering at low energies
- Fit uncertainty used as bin uncertainty in estimated background.

#### **Resolution Function**

- The resolution function to be used in SAMMY was estimated using the Transport code;
- The flight-path to use was estimated by comparing the simulation with the gold spectra;
- The 2D histogram was converted in a SAMMY input using the RF2SAMMY code.



## Transport code Flight-path



- Comparison of time-of-flight spectra measured with gold and the one obtained with the Transport code;
- Best flight-path was found by minimizing the residuals at different  $L_{TC}.$





#### Time-to-Energy conversion



- To obtain the reaction yield as a function of energy an accurate knowledge of the flight-path length is needed.
- The flight-path length can be fitted using SAMMY and the first resonances of gold (<100 eV) to avoid effect of T0.
- Starting value of flight-path estimated using Transport Code

#### L=183,9254 m

#### Preliminary adjustments - T0 correction



- A disagreement between the resonance energies obtained with capture and transmission data was observed.
- The disagreement is present also between transmission data at 10 and 50m.
- To better match the energies between the different datasets a T0 was applied to the n\_TOF and 10m transmission data using the transmission at 50m as a reference.

#### Preliminary adjustments - T0 correction



- The T0 was fitted using many different isolated resonances of different Mo isotopes.
- The resonance energy of the 50m transmission was further adjusted above 5keV.
- An average value of T0 was obtained from the different resonances.
- A value of 50ns and -7ns was obtained for n\_TOF and 10m transmission, respectively.
- With this correction a good agreement between the different dataset can be observed in all the energy range

### T0 resonance fit

94**∖** 

0	TO fit		<sup>95</sup> Mo	T0 fit
	1542	0.0578	1203	0.0604
	2176	0.1452	1767	0.0305
	4360	0.0360	3623	0.0368
	5433	0.0575	3723	0.0375
	10757	0.0505	4030	0.0407
	13515	0.0588		

#### Comparison of Au spectra with literature

The reaction yield obtained with the gold sample was compared with the literature values for the thermal energy region.

The good agreement of our spectra with the literature validate the correctness of the procedure used.



## Background Mo samples - 96Mo



## Background Mo samples - 94Mo



## Background Mo samples - 96Mo



## Background Mo samples - 94Mo



# Mo pellet samples

Atomic %	<sup>92</sup> Mo	<sup>94</sup> Mo	<sup>95</sup> Mo	<sup>96</sup> Mo	<sup>97</sup> Mo	<sup>98</sup> Mo	<sup>100</sup> Mo
<sup>94</sup> Mo	0,63%	98,97%	0,36%	0,01%	0,01%	0,01%	0,01%
<sup>95</sup> Mo	0,31%	0,69%	95,40%	2,24%	0,51%	0,65%	0,20%
<sup>96</sup> Mo	0,28%	0,24%	1,01%	95,90%	1,00%	1,32%	0,25%

lsotope	Mass (g)	Areal density (atoms/b)
<sup>94</sup> Mo	1,9526	3,9592E-03
<sup>95</sup> Mo	1,9745	3,9558E-03
<sup>96</sup> Mo	1,9175	3,8064E-03
<sup>nat</sup> Mo-5 µm	2,014	4,0059E-03
<sup>nat</sup> Mo-350 μm	1,989	3,9584E-03

## Transmission with enriched Mo

## Transmission at 10 m station of GELINA

- Preliminary results of transmission at 10 m with enriched pellets;
- Resonance parameters from new compilation;
- Deviation on <sup>95</sup>Mo sample thickness from expected one;
- Abundance of biggest contaminants fitted with REFIT.



### <sup>nat</sup>Mo abundances

lsotope	Abundance
<sup>92</sup> Mo	14.84%
<sup>94</sup> Mo	9.25%
<sup>95</sup> Mo	15.92%
<sup>96</sup> Mo	16.68%
<sup>97</sup> Mo	9.55%
<sup>98</sup> Mo	24.13%
<sup>100</sup> Mo	9.63%

### MACS fractions



56

## Capture cross section ENDF/B-VIII

