

CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE





# Generative Modelling of Calorimeter Showers and Particle Jets

Erik Buhmann, <u>Thorsten Buss</u>, Sascha Diefenbacher, Engin Eren, Cedric Ewen, Frank Gaede, Gregor Kasieczka, William Korcari, Anatolii Korol, Katja Krüge, Peter McKeown, Martina Mozzanica, Lennard Rustige, Lorenzo Valente

Dec 14, 2023 Workshop on Machine Learning and High-Energy Physics

thorsten.buss@uni-hamburg.de

## **Detector Simulation**

- monte carlo (MC) necessary to compare theory and measurements
- computational requirements expected to exceed available resources soon
- detector simulation most expensive part of simulation chain



1 CMS Offline Software and Computing. CMS Phase-2 Computing Model: Update Document. 2022. URL: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2815292

### Generative models

- generative neuronal networks learn distributions and can sample from them
- work flow:
  - simulate small amounts of data using slow monte carlo
  - train generative model on these data
  - draw large amounts of data from fast ML model



- > a variety of generative models exists:
  - Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN)
  - Autoencoders (AE)

- Normalizing Flows (NF)
- Diffusion Models (DM)

# International Large Detector (ILD)

- proposed detector for the ILC
- has two sampling calorimeters
- electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL)
  - 30 layers, 5mm × 5mm cells
- hadronic calorimeter (HCAL)
  - 48 layers, 30mm × 30mm cells
- dataset<sup>2</sup>: photon showers in ECAL



<sup>2</sup> Erik Buhmann et al. Getting High: High Fidelity Simulation of High Granularity Calorimeters with High Speed. 2021. arXiv: 2005.05334
 <sup>3</sup> ILD Concept Group. International Large Detector: Interim Design Report. 2020. arXiv: 2003.01116

Thorsten Buss

## Data representation of showers

#### **Fxed Grid**

- 3D array filled with energy values
- entries correspond to calorimeter cells
- allows for convolutional networks

#### **Point Clouds**

- variable-length, permutation-invariant sets
- calorimeter showers are very sparse
- more economically represented
- only generation of non-zero points





Thorsten Buss

# Normalizing Flows

- diffeomorphism between physics space and latent space
- transform physics space distribution into a simple prior distribution
- change of variables formula allows for physics space density estimation
- training: minimize negative log-likelihood
- generation: sample from latent distribution and apply inverse of function



Thorsten Buss

# Convolutional L2LFlows

- ▶ based on CaloFlow<sup>4</sup> and L2LFlows<sup>5</sup>
- one energy distribution flow
  - learns distribution of layer energies
  - conditioned on incident energy
- 30 causal flows
  - learn shower shape in layer
  - conditioned on
    - incident energy
    - layer energy
    - previous layers
- generation
  - sample layer energies using energy distribution flow
  - sample shower shape using causal flows
  - rescale voxel energies



<sup>4</sup> Claudius Krause and David Shih. CaloFlow: Fast and Accurate Generation of Calorimeter Showers with Normalizing Flows. 2021. arXiv: 2106.05285 <sup>5</sup> Sascha Diefenbacher et al. L2LFlows: Generating High-Fidelity 3D Calorimeter Images. 2023. arXiv: 2302.11594

#### Thorsten Buss

## Flow Architecture



- energy distribution flow
  - masked autoregressive flow<sup>6</sup>
- causal flows
  - spline coupling flow<sup>7</sup>
  - convolutional U-Nets<sup>8</sup> as sub networks
  - architecture similar to Glow<sup>9</sup>
- features in energy spectrum are smeared out
  - $\rightarrow\,$  apply element-with function to get them back

<sup>6</sup>Mathieu Germain et al. MADE: Masked Autoencoder for Distribution Estimation. 2015. arXiv: 1502.03509

<sup>7</sup>Conor Durkan et al. Neural Spline Flows. 2019. arXiv: 1906.04032

<sup>8</sup>Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation. 2015. arXiv: 1505.04597

<sup>9</sup>Diederik P. Kingma and Prafulla Dhariwal. Glow: Generative Flow with Invertible 1x1 Convolutions. 2018. arXiv: 1807.03039

#### Thorsten Buss

### L2LFlows Results



Thorsten Buss

# Point Cloud Representation Pre-Processing



points per shower

- point clouds of clustered Geant4 steps
- 36x higher resolution than detector cells
- 7x fewer points than full Geant4 steps

all Geant4 steps	40 000
clustered Geant4 steps	6 000
hits in calorimeter grid	1 500

# Diffusion Models

- denoissing diffusion model<sup>10</sup>
  - discrete time diffusion process
  - train to predict noise vector
  - number of time steps is fixed
- score based model<sup>11</sup>
  - continuous time diffusion process
  - stochastic differential equation (SDE)
  - sample by solving reverse SDE
- probability flow ODE
  - remove stochasticity
  - ▶ SDF  $\rightarrow$  ODE
- consistency model distillation<sup>12</sup>
  - allows for single step sampling



$$\mathcal{L} = \|s_{ heta}(x_t, t) - 
abla_x \log p_t(x_t)\|_2^2$$
  
 $dx = [f(x, t) - rac{1}{2}g(x, t)^2 
abla_x \log p_t(x)]dt$ 



<sup>10</sup>Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models. 2020. arXiv: 2006.11239

<sup>11</sup>Yang Song et al. Score-Based Generative Modeling through Stochastic Differential Equations. 2021. arXiv: 2011.13456

<sup>12</sup>Yang Song et al. Consistency Models. 2023. arXiv: 2303.01469

Thorsten Buss

# Calo Clouds I



- point cloud denoising model
  - discrete time diffusion process
  - 100 time steps

- post-denoising calibration
  - visible deposited energy
  - center of gravity in X and Y-direction

<sup>13</sup> Erik Buhmann et al. CaloClouds: fast geometry-independent highly-granular calorimeter simulation. 2023. arXiv: 2305.04847

### Reverse Diffusion Process



Thorsten Buss

# Calo Clouds II



- score based model
  - continuous time diffusion process
  - probability flow ODE
  - 25 network evaluations

- distillation into a consistency model
  - allows for single step sampling

<sup>14</sup> Erik Buhmann et al. CaloClouds II: Ultra-Fast Geometry-Independent Highly-Granular Calorimeter Simulation. 2023. arXiv: 2309.05704

### CaloClouds Results



# Timing

				Simulator	Hardware	Batch size	time [ms]
Simulator	Hardware	Batch size	time [ms]	GEANT4	CPU	1	3914.80
CEANT4	CPII	1	4081.53	CaloClouds	I	1	3146.71
GLANT4	CFU	1	4001.55	CaloClouds II		1	651.68
L2LFlows		1	1202.66	СM		1	84 35
BIB-AE		1	426.32			I	04.55
121 Flows	GPU	100	12 34	CaloClouds	I GPU	64	24.91
		100	12.54	CaloClouds	11	64	6.12
BIB-AE		100	1.42	СM		64	2 09
				0		01	2.05

timing on Getting High dataset

timing on CaloClouds dataset

## Particle Jets Dataset

- benchmark dataset: JetNet30<sup>15</sup>
- simulated jets from proton-proton collisions
- anti- $k_T$  clustered with R = 0.8
- maximum particle multiplicity N = 30
- constituents coordinates normalized and centered

$$p_T^{\text{rel}} = \frac{p_T}{p_T^{\text{jet}}} \qquad \eta_T^{\text{rel}} = \eta_T - \eta_T^{\text{jet}} \qquad \phi_T^{\text{rel}} = \phi_T - \phi_T^{\text{jet}}$$

jet types: Gluons, light quarks, <u>Top quarks</u>



<sup>15</sup> Raghav Kansal et al. Particle Cloud Generation with Message Passing Generative Adversarial Networks. 2022. arXiv: 2106.11535

## Continuous Normalizing Flow

#### **Normalizing Flow**

$$z := f_{ heta}(x) \qquad z \sim q$$

Training

 $\log p(x) = \log q(f(x)) - \log |J_f(x)|$ 

- sampling
  - sample noise from prior distillation
  - map it to data distillation using  $f^{-1}$
- f restrained to easy invertible functions

**Continuous Normalizing Flow**<sup>16</sup>

 $x_t := f(x_0, t) \quad \partial_t x_t = v_\theta(x_t, t) \quad x_1 \sim p_1$ 

Training

$$\log p_0(x_0) = \log p_1(x_1) - \int_0^1 \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial v_{ heta}}{\partial x_t}\right) dt$$

- sampling
  - sample noise from prior distillation
  - solve ODE given by the network
  - v has no strong restrictions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Ricky T. Q. Chen et al. Neural Ordinary Differential Equations. 2019. arXiv: 1806.07366

# EPiC-FM & EPiC-JeDi

EPiC-FM: EPiC Architecture with Flow Matching

$$\mathcal{L}_{FM} = \| \mathsf{v}_{ heta}(\mathsf{x}_t, t) - ((1 - \sigma_{\min})\epsilon - \mathsf{x}_0) \|_2^2$$

EPiC-JeDi: EPiC Architecture with JeDi<sup>18</sup>

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathit{JeDi}} = \left(1 - lpha rac{eta(t)}{\sigma(t)^2}
ight) \| \mathsf{v}_{ heta}(\mathsf{x}_t, t) - \epsilon \|_2^2$ 





Thorsten Buss

# EPiC-FM & EPiC-JeDi Results

- conditioned version  $m^{\text{jet}}$  and  $p_T^{\text{jet}}$
- unconditioned version
- generate conditioning with normalizing flow
- comparison to PC-JeDi<sup>18</sup>
- midpoint ODE solver with 200 model passes
- substructure most challenging to learn





18 Matthew Leigh et al. PC-JeDi: Diffusion for Particle Cloud Generation in High Energy Physics. 2023. arXiv: 2303.05376

# Summary

#### L2LFlows

Thorsten Buss

- fixed grid representation
- improved performance
- good scaling behavior

#### CaloClouds I + II

- point cloud representation
- geometry independent
- very fast generation

Calibration

Shower

Flow

Generated Shower

PointWise

Net

 $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, T^2 \mathbf{I})$ 

N, diffusion

steps

#### **EPiC-ly Flow Matching**

- high fidelity jet generation
- good scaling with multiplicity





shower