J. Isaacson, S. Höche, D. Lopez Gutierrez, and N. Rocco, Phys. Rev. D 105, 096006 (2022)

Novel event generator for the automated simulation of neutrino scattering

Diego Lopez Gutierrez

Particle Physics in the Plains 2023 October 14, 2023

Washington University in St. Louis Fermilab





# Outline

- Simulation Pipeline
- Current Setup
- Theory Overview
- Nuclear Physics
- Leptonic Current
- Phase Space Integral
- Results
- Summary and Outlook





**Collider physics** 

 $\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{BSM}}$  + Feynman rules

**Collider physics** 



**Collider physics** 































- BSM calculations implemented manually into different event generators

- BSM calculations implemented manually into different event generators
  - Prone to errors
  - Time-consuming
  - Infeasible

- BSM calculations implemented manually into different event generators
  - Prone to errors
  - Time-consuming
  - Infeasible
- Need for a flexible tool in neutrino physics community.

- BSM calculations implemented manually into different event generators
  - Prone to errors
  - Time-consuming
  - Infeasible
- Need for a flexible tool in neutrino physics community.
  - Particle-level events generated fully differentially in phase space

- BSM calculations implemented manually into different event generators
  - Prone to errors
  - Time-consuming
  - Infeasible
- Need for a flexible tool in neutrino physics community.
  - Particle-level events generated fully differentially in phase space
  - Easy to implement as part of experimental analysis frameworks

- BSM calculations implemented manually into different event generators
  - Prone to errors
  - Time-consuming
  - Infeasible
- Need for a flexible tool in neutrino physics community.
  - Particle-level events generated fully differentially in phase space
  - Easy to implement as part of experimental analysis frameworks
  - Focused on arbitrary BSM models while also including nuclear effects

### **Theory Overview**



• Single gauge boson:



### **Theory Overview**



• Single gauge boson:



• Interference 1+ dominant boson. Easier to express:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \left| \sum_{i} L_{\mu}^{(i)} W^{(i)\mu} \right|^{2}$$

$$\uparrow$$
allowed bosons

\*Will calculate leptonic currents, but leptonic tensor is available

• BSM effects independent of exact nuclear model.



- BSM effects independent of exact nuclear model.
- Focus on quasielastic region for concreteness (impulse-approximation)



- BSM effects independent of exact nuclear model.
- Focus on quasielastic region for concreteness (impulse-approximation)

$$\mathcal{J} \propto \sum_{i} \mathcal{J}_{i}^{\mu} \qquad ; \qquad |\psi_{f}^{A}\rangle \to |\psi_{f}^{A-1}\rangle \otimes |p_{a}\rangle$$



- BSM effects independent of exact nuclear model.
- Focus on quasielastic region for concreteness (impulse-approximation)

$$\mathcal{J} \propto \sum_{i} \mathcal{J}_{i}^{\mu}$$
;  $|\psi_{f}^{A}\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_{f}^{A-1}\rangle \otimes |p_{a}\rangle$ 

- $\mathcal{J}_{i}^{\mu}$  expressed in terms of process-dependent nuclear form factors:
  - UFO extended to interface with form factors used in neutrino event generators.
  - Express form factors of arbitrary models in terms of EM form factors.



- BSM effects independent of exact nuclear model.
- Focus on quasielastic region for concreteness (impulse-approximation)

$$\mathcal{J} \propto \sum_{i} \mathcal{J}_{i}^{\mu} \qquad ; \qquad |\psi_{f}^{A}\rangle \to |\psi_{f}^{A-1}\rangle \otimes |p_{a}\rangle$$

- $\mathcal{J}_{i}^{\mu}$  expressed in terms of process-dependent nuclear form factors:
  - UFO extended to interface with form factors used in neutrino event generators.
  - Express form factors of arbitrary models in terms of EM form factors.
- $W^{\mu}/W^{\mu\nu}$  calculated using impulse-approximation in the spectral function formalism;  $S(\vec{p}_a, E_r)$  probability of removing nucleon with  $\vec{p}_a$ ,  $E_r$ .

- Berends-Giele recursive relations:
  - Full tree-level amplitude determined from off-shell currents:

- Berends-Giele recursive relations:
  - Full tree-level amplitude determined from off-shell currents:



 $J_4^{\sigma}$ 

 $J_5^\gamma$ 

 $J_3^{lpha}$ 

Etc.

- Berends-Giele recursive relations:
  - Full tree-level amplitude determined from off-shell currents:



- Initial (on-shell, external) currents:

$$J_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = 1 \qquad \qquad J_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = u(p_i) , v(p_i) \qquad \qquad J_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = \varepsilon_{\alpha}(p_i, k)$$

$$J_{2}^{\nu}$$

$$J_{3}^{\alpha}$$

$$J_{4}^{\sigma}$$

$$J_{5}^{\gamma}$$

- Berends-Giele recursive relations:
  - Full tree-level amplitude determined from off-shell currents:



- Initial (on-shell, external) currents:

$$J_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = 1 \qquad \qquad J_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = u(p_i) , \ v(p_i) \qquad \qquad J_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = \varepsilon_{\alpha}(p_i,k)$$

– For *n* particles,  $\mathcal{O}(3^n)$  instead of  $\mathcal{O}(n!)$ 



- Interface to Comix matrix element generator
  - Handles N-point vertices, interfaces to UFO files.



- Interface to Comix matrix element generator
  - Handles *N*-point vertices, interfaces to UFO files.
  - Comix must calculate full matrix element. Point-like nucleons for bookkeeping purposes.

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)$$
$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(ij)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) J_{\nu\nu}^{(j)\dagger}(1,\ldots,m)$$

- Interface to Comix matrix element generator
  - Handles *N*-point vertices, interfaces to UFO files.
  - Comix must calculate full matrix element. Point-like nucleons for bookkeeping purposes.

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)$$
$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(ij)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) J_{\nu\nu}^{(j)\dagger}(1,\ldots,m)$$

- Limitations:
  - Handle spin  $\leq 1$  particles

Implement necessary external states and propagators

- Interface to Comix matrix element generator
  - Handles *N*-point vertices, interfaces to UFO files.
  - Comix must calculate full matrix element. Point-like nucleons for bookkeeping purposes.

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)$$
$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(ij)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) J_{\nu\nu}^{(j)\dagger}(1,\ldots,m)$$

- Limitations:
  - Handle spin  $\leq 1$  particles
  - Vector particles probe nucleus

- Implement necessary external states and propagators
  - Update nuclear physics code to include appropriate form factors for different spin



- Interface to Comix matrix element generator
  - Handles *N*–point vertices, interfaces to UFO files.
  - Comix must calculate full matrix element. Point-like nucleons for bookkeeping purposes.

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)$$
$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(ij)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) J_{\nu\nu}^{(j)\dagger}(1,\ldots,m)$$

- Limitations:
  - Handle spin  $\leq 1$  particles
  - Vector particles probe nucleus
  - Tree-level diagrams

- → Implement necessary external states and propagators
  - Update nuclear physics code to include appropriate form factors for different spin
- → Automation of one-loop diagrams discussed elsewhere



- Interface to Comix matrix element generator
  - Handles *N*-point vertices, interfaces to UFO files.
  - Comix must calculate full matrix element. Point-like nucleons for bookkeeping purposes.

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)$$
$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(ij)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) J_{\nu\nu}^{(j)\dagger}(1,\ldots,m)$$

- Limitations:
  - Handle spin  $\leq 1$  particles
  - Vector particles probe nucleus
  - Tree-level diagrams
  - Only colorless particles

- ---> Implement necessary external states and propagators
  - Update nuclear physics code to include appropriate form factors for different spin
  - ➔ Automation of one-loop diagrams discussed elsewhere
- Unsolvable. Assumption of QCD d.o.f. as protons, neutrons

#### Phase Space Integral

- Recursive phase space:
  - In  $2 \rightarrow n$ , full phase space can be written:

$$d\Phi_{n}(a, b; 1, ..., n) = d\Phi_{n-m+1}(a, b; \pi, m+1, ..., n) \frac{ds_{\pi}}{2\pi} d\Phi_{m}(\pi, 1, ..., m)$$

#### Phase Space Integral

- Recursive phase space:
  - In  $2 \rightarrow n$ , full phase space can be written:

$$d\Phi_{n}(a, b; 1, ..., n) = d\Phi_{n-m+1}(a, b; \pi, m+1, ..., n) \frac{ds_{\pi}}{2\pi} d\Phi_{m}(\pi, 1, ..., m)$$

– Each d $\Phi$  can be written in a way that optimally samples *s*– or *t*– channel processes



### Phase Space Integral

- Recursive phase space:
  - In  $2 \rightarrow n$ , full phase space can be written:

$$d\Phi_{n}(a, b; 1, ..., n) = d\Phi_{n-m+1}(a, b; \pi, m+1, ..., n) \frac{ds_{\pi}}{2\pi} d\Phi_{m}(\pi, 1, ..., m)$$

- Each  $d\Phi$  can be written in a way that optimally samples s- or t- channel processes.
- Optimal integrator:
  - Recursive phase space
  - Multichannel technique (s- and t-channels)
  - Adaptive multidimensional Vegas algorithm





Some choices:

- Choose monochromatic lepton beam, but straightforward to include fluxes.
- No final-state interactions.
- Leptons considered massless.
- Full propagator for  $W^{\pm}$ , Z bosons.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ G_F \\ M_Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/137 \\ 1.16637 \times 10^5 \,\text{GeV} \\ 91.1876 \,\text{GeV} \end{pmatrix}$$



• 961 MeV e<sup>-</sup> scattering of carbon-12 at an angle of 37.5 deg.



**Data:** R. M. Sealock et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1350 (1989) **SF IA:** N. Rocco, S. X. Nakamura, T. S. H. Lee, and A. Lovato, Phys. Rev. C 100, 045503 (2019)

- 961 MeV e<sup>-</sup> scattering of carbon-12 at an angle of 37.5 deg.
- Difference in QE region peak due to final-state interaction effects.



- 961 MeV e<sup>-</sup> scattering of carbon-12 at an angle of 37.5 deg.
- Difference in QE region peak due to final-state interaction effects.
- High-energy tail due to two-body currents, resonance production, DIS.



**Data:** R. M. Sealock et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1350 (1989) **SF IA:** N. Rocco, S. X. Nakamura, T. S. H. Lee, and A. Lovato, Phys. Rev. C 100, 045503 (2019)

- 1300 MeV e<sup>-</sup> scattering of carbon-12 at an angle of 37.5 deg.
- Difference in QE region peak due to final-state interaction effects.
- High-energy tail due to two-body currents, resonance production, DIS.



**Data:** R. M. Sealock et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1350 (1989) **SF IA:** N. Rocco, S. X. Nakamura, T. S. H. Lee, and A. Lovato, Phys. Rev. C 100, 045503 (2019)

# $\nu C$ scattering

- Only compare to theory calculations due to lack of high-energy monochromatic neutrino beam.
- Only CC interactions.
- Outgoing nucleon momentum greater than 225 MeV for fair comparison with theory calculation.



**SF IA:** N. Rocco, C. Barbieri, O. Benhar, A. De Pace, and A. Lovato, Phys. Rev. C 99, 025502 (2019)



# $\nu C$ scattering

- Only compare to theory calculations due to lack of high-energy monochromatic neutrino beam.
- Only CC interactions.
- Outgoing nucleon momentum greater than 225 MeV for fair comparison with theory calculation.
- Incoming  $E_{\nu}$  set to 1 GeV.
  - Fixed outgoing lepton angle: 30 deg (top), 70 deg (bottom)

**SF IA:** N. Rocco, C. Barbieri, O. Benhar, A. De Pace, and A. Lovato, Phys. Rev. C 99, 025502 (2019)





- $\nu_{\mu}C \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e^+e^-X$
- Interference terms  $(\gamma, Z)$  and  $2 \rightarrow 4$



- $\nu_{\mu}C \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e^+e^-X$
- Interference terms  $(\gamma, Z)$  and  $2 \rightarrow 4$
- Assumptions:
  - Fixed  $E_{\nu}$  to 1 GeV
  - $-\Delta \theta_{ee} > 5 \deg$
  - $-E_e > 30 \,\mathrm{MeV}$
  - $-\theta$  with beam axis > 10 deg.



- $\nu_{\mu}C \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e^+e^-X$
- Interference terms  $(\gamma, Z)$  and  $2 \rightarrow 4$
- Assumptions:
  - Fixed  $E_{\nu}$  to 1 GeV
  - $-\Delta \theta_{ee} > 5 \deg$
  - $-E_e > 30 \,\mathrm{MeV}$
  - $-\theta$  with beam axis > 10 deg.
- Important background for multiple lepton final state BSM explanations of MiniBooNE excess.



- $\nu_{\mu}C \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e^+e^-X$
- Interference terms  $(\gamma, Z)$  and  $2 \rightarrow 4$
- Assumptions:
  - Fixed  $E_{\nu}$  to 1 GeV
  - $-\Delta \theta_{ee} > 5 \deg$
  - $-E_e > 30 \,\mathrm{MeV}$
  - $-\theta$  with beam axis > 10 deg.
- Important background for multiple lepton final state BSM explanations of MiniBooNE excess.
- Total  $\sigma = 3.973 \times 10^{-11} \pm 2.764 \times 10^{-14} \,\mathrm{pb}$

(Consistent with P. Ballett, M. Hostert, S. Pascoli, Y. F. Perez-Gonzalez, Z. Tabrizi, and R. Zukanovich Funchal, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2019) 119.)





• Angular separation  $\Delta \theta_{ee}$  of both electrons. Ability of next-generation experiments to observe this process.



• Leading (left) and subleading (right) electron energies.



- Invariant mass of electron pair. Potentially distinguish trident processes from BSM scenarios with an electron pair in the final state.



# Summary and Outlook

• We have developed an event generator framework for the automated simulation of neutrino scattering at next-generation experiments.



# Summary and Outlook

- We have developed an event generator framework for the automated simulation of neutrino scattering at next-generation experiments.
- Main output is in the form of **leptonic currents/tensors of arbitrary BSM Lagrangians**.



- We have developed an event generator framework for the automated simulation of neutrino scattering at next-generation experiments.
- Main output is in the form of **leptonic currents/tensors of arbitrary BSM Lagrangians**.
- BSM effects independent of nuclear model; chose to focus on QE region (impulse-approximation) using the spectral function formalism for concreteness.

- We have developed an event generator framework for the automated simulation of neutrino scattering at next-generation experiments.
- Main output is in the form of **leptonic currents/tensors of arbitrary BSM Lagrangians**.
- BSM effects independent of nuclear model; chose to focus on QE region (impulse-approximation) using the spectral function formalism for concreteness.
- Performed phase space integral to get cross sections to validate against carbon-12 electron and neutrino scattering data. Obtained first fully differential neutrino trident production in the QE region using SF formalism.

- We have developed an event generator framework for the automated simulation of neutrino scattering at next-generation experiments.
- Main output is in the form of **leptonic currents/tensors of arbitrary BSM Lagrangians**.
- BSM effects independent of nuclear model; chose to focus on QE region (impulse-approximation) using the spectral function formalism for concreteness.
- Performed phase space integral to get cross sections to validate against carbon-12 electron and neutrino scattering data. Obtained first fully differential neutrino trident production in the QE region using SF formalism.
- By design, generator is easily interfaced with other neutrino event generators and allows the user choice over the nuclear model to use.

- Context: Our generator, though fully independent, is a module of the ACHILLES (A CHIcago Land Lepton Event Simulator) theory-driven lepton event generator.
- ACHILLES encompasses the full simulation pipeline from beams, hard interactions, intranuclear cascades, and decays of unstable particles.
- Our generator extends ACHILLES ability by enabling BSM calculations on  $2 \rightarrow n$  processes.

ACHILLES: J. Isaacson, W. Jay, A. Lovato, P. A. N. Machado, and N. Rocco, Phys. Rev. D 107, 033007 (2023)

.d8b.	.088b.	db db	d888888b	db	db	d88888b	.d8888.
d8' `8b	d8P Y8	88 88	`88'	88	88	88'	88' YP
8800088	8P	8800088	88	88	88	8800000	`8bo.
88~~~88	8b	88~~~88	88	88	88	88~~~~	`Y8b.
88 88	Y8b d8	88 88	.88.	88booo.	88booo.	88.	db 8D
YP YP	`Y88P'	YP YP	Y888888P	Y88888P	Y88888P	Y88888P	`8888Y'
.d888888888888888888888888888888888888							
.d888888888888888888888888888888888888							
.d888888888888888888888888888888888888							
88888888888888888888888888888888888888							
`Y888888888888888888888888888888888888							
`Y888888888888888888888888888888888888							
	`Y88	88888888	888888888	88888888	88888888	88888888	3888
		Y8888888	888888888	88888888	888P Y8	88888888	38888
		`Y88	8888 8888	8 88Y'	Y	88888888	38888
		.d88888	888888888	888888b	• `	Y88888888	38888.
	. d	88888888	888888888	88888888	Bb.	8888888	388888
	.d88	88888888	888888888	88888888	8888b 8	88888888	888888
	.d8888	88888888	888888888	88888888	88888	88888888	38888D
	d88888	88888888	888888888	88888888	88888	88888888	38888
	d888888	88888888	888888888	88888888	88888	Y8888888	38888
(	1888888P	d888	888888888	88888888	8888P	88888	3888
8	8888P	.d8888	888888888	88888888	888P	8888	388
8	888P	.d888888	888888888	88888888	88P	8888	3Y
8	888b .d	88888888	888888888	88888888	88	8888	3P
١	Y88 d88	88888888	888888888	88888888	888	888	3
	`8' d888	88888888	888888888	88888888	888	88	3
	8888	88888888	888888888	88888888	88P	8	3
	8888	88888888	8888P	Y888888	BP		
	d8888	88888888	8888	Y88888I	Р		
888888888888888888 Y888P							
	d88888	88888888	Р	Y8P			
88888888P 8							
	d888P						

ersion: {:56}|

Authors: Joshua Isaacson, William Jay, Alessandro Lovato, Pedro A. Machado, Noemi Rocco

Undergraduate Student Contributions: Diego Lopez Gutierrez, Sherry Wang, Russell Farnsworth



# Summary and Outlook



# Thank you!