[J. Isaacson, S. Höche, D. Lopez Gutierrez, and N. Rocco, Phys. Rev. D 105, 096006 \(2022\)](https://inspirehep.net/files/1d9ff111e01cb7d8ed0007fc8b235eea)

Novel event generator for the automated simulation of neutrino scattering

Diego Lopez Gutierrez

Particle Physics in the Plains 2023 October 14, 2023

Washington University in St. Louis **BE Fermilab**

Outline

- Simulation Pipeline
- Current Setup
- Theory Overview
- Nuclear Physics
- Leptonic Current
- Phase Space Integral
- Results
- Summary and Outlook

Collider physics \mathscr{L}_{BSM} + Feynman rules Neutrino physics

• BSM calculations implemented manually into different event generators

-
- BSM calculations implemented manually into different event generators
	- Prone to errors
	- Time-consuming
	- Infeasible

-
- BSM calculations implemented manually into different event generators
	- Prone to errors
	- Time-consuming
	- Infeasible
- Need for a flexible tool in neutrino physics community.

-
- BSM calculations implemented manually into different event generators
	- Prone to errors
	- Time-consuming
	- Infeasible
- Need for a flexible tool in neutrino physics community.
	- Particle-level events generated fully differentially in phase space

-
- BSM calculations implemented manually into different event generators
	- Prone to errors
	- Time-consuming
	- Infeasible
- Need for a flexible tool in neutrino physics community.
	- Particle-level events generated fully differentially in phase space
	- Easy to implement as part of experimental analysis frameworks

-
- BSM calculations implemented manually into different event generators
	- Prone to errors
	- Time-consuming
	- Infeasible
- Need for a flexible tool in neutrino physics community.
	- Particle-level events generated fully differentially in phase space
	- Easy to implement as part of experimental analysis frameworks
	- Focused on arbitrary BSM models while also including nuclear effects

Theory Overview

• Single gauge boson:

Theory Overview

• Single gauge boson:

• Interference 1+ dominant boson. Easier to express:

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left| \sum_{i} L_{\mu}^{(i)} W^{(i)\mu} \right|^2
$$
 allowed bosons

*Will calculate leptonic currents, but leptonic tensor is available

• BSM effects independent of exact nuclear model.

- BSM effects independent of exact nuclear model.
- Focus on quasielastic region for concreteness (impulse-approximation)

- BSM effects independent of exact nuclear model.
- Focus on quasielastic region for concreteness (impulse-approximation)

$$
\mathcal{J} \propto \sum_{i} \mathcal{J}_{i}^{\mu} \qquad ; \qquad |\psi_{f}^{A}\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_{f}^{A-1}\rangle \otimes |p_{a}\rangle
$$

- BSM effects independent of exact nuclear model.
- Focus on quasielastic region for concreteness (impulse-approximation)

$$
\mathcal{F} \propto \sum_{i} \mathcal{F}_{i}^{\mu} \qquad ; \qquad |\psi_{f}^{A}\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_{f}^{A-1}\rangle \otimes |p_{a}\rangle
$$

- \mathcal{J}^{μ}_{i} expressed in terms of process-dependent nuclear form factors: *i*
	- UFO extended to interface with form factors used in neutrino event generators.
	- Express form factors of arbitrary models in terms of EM form factors.

- BSM effects independent of exact nuclear model.
- Focus on quasielastic region for concreteness (impulse-approximation)

$$
\mathcal{F} \propto \sum_{i} \mathcal{F}_{i}^{\mu} \qquad ; \qquad |\psi_{f}^{A}\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_{f}^{A-1}\rangle \otimes |p_{a}\rangle
$$

- \mathcal{J}^{μ}_{i} expressed in terms of process-dependent nuclear form factors: *i*
	- UFO extended to interface with form factors used in neutrino event generators.
	- Express form factors of arbitrary models in terms of EM form factors.
- W^{μ} /*W^{* $\mu\nu$ *}* calculated using impulse-approximation in the spectral function formalism; $S(\vec{p}_a, E_r)$ probability of removing nucleon with \vec{p}_a , E_r . ⃗ ⃗

- Berends-Giele recursive relations:
	- Full tree-level amplitude determined from off-shell currents:

- Berends-Giele recursive relations:
	- Full tree-level amplitude determined from off-shell currents:

 J_4^σ

 J_5^γ

 J_3^{α}

Etc.

- Berends-Giele recursive relations:
	- Full tree-level amplitude determined from off-shell currents:

– Initial (on-shell, external) currents:

$$
J_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = 1 \qquad J_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = u(p_i) \ , \ v(p_i) \qquad J_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = \varepsilon_\alpha(p_i, k)
$$

J_2^{α}	J_1^{α}
J_3^{α}	J_4^{σ}
J_5^{α}	J_5^{σ}

\nEtc.

- Berends-Giele recursive relations:
	- Full tree-level amplitude determined from off-shell currents:

– Initial (on-shell, external) currents:

 $J_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = 1$ $J_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = u(p_i)$, $v(p_i)$ $J_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = \varepsilon_{\alpha}(p_i, k)$

 $-$ For *n* particles, $O(3^n)$ instead of $O(n!)$

- Interface to Comix matrix element generator
	- Handles *N*−point vertices, interfaces to UFO files.

- Interface to Comix matrix element generator
	- Handles point vertices, interfaces to UFO files. *N*−
	- Comix must calculate full matrix element. Point-like nucleons for bookkeeping purposes.

$$
L_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)
$$

$$
L_{\mu\nu}^{(ij)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)J_{\nu}^{(j)\dagger}(1,\ldots,m)
$$

- Interface to Comix matrix element generator
	- Handles point vertices, interfaces to UFO files. *N*−
	- Comix must calculate full matrix element. Point-like nucleons for bookkeeping purposes.

$$
L_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)
$$

$$
L_{\mu\nu}^{(ij)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)J_{\nu}^{(j)\dagger}(1,\ldots,m)
$$

- Limitations:
	- Handle spin ≤ 1 particles

Implement necessary external states and propagators

- Interface to Comix matrix element generator
	- Handles point vertices, interfaces to UFO files. *N*−
	- Comix must calculate full matrix element. Point-like nucleons for bookkeeping purposes.

$$
L_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)
$$

$$
L_{\mu\nu}^{(ij)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)J_{\nu}^{(j)\dagger}(1,\ldots,m)
$$

- Limitations:
	- $-$ Handle spin ≤ 1 particles
	- Vector particles probe nucleus

Update nuclear physics code to include appropriate form factors for different spin

Implement necessary external states and propagators

34

Leptonic Current

- Interface to Comix matrix element generator
	- Handles point vertices, interfaces to UFO files. *N*−
	- Comix must calculate full matrix element. Point-like nucleons for bookkeeping purposes.

$$
L_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)
$$

$$
L_{\mu\nu}^{(ij)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)J_{\nu}^{(j)\dagger}(1,\ldots,m)
$$

- Limitations:
	- $-$ Handle spin ≤ 1 particles
	- Vector particles probe nucleus
	- Tree-level diagrams
- Implement necessary external states and propagators
	- Update nuclear physics code to include appropriate form factors for different spin
- Automation of one-loop diagrams discussed elsewhere

- Interface to Comix matrix element generator
	- Handles point vertices, interfaces to UFO files. *N*−
	- Comix must calculate full matrix element. Point-like nucleons for bookkeeping purposes.

$$
L_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)
$$

$$
L_{\mu\nu}^{(ij)}(1,\ldots,m) = J_{\mu}^{(i)}(1,\ldots,m)J_{\nu}^{(j)\dagger}(1,\ldots,m)
$$

- Limitations:
	- $-$ Handle spin ≤ 1 particles
	- Vector particles probe nucleus
	- Tree-level diagrams
	- Only colorless particles
- Implement necessary external states and propagators
	- Update nuclear physics code to include appropriate form factors for different spin
	- Automation of one-loop diagrams discussed elsewhere
- Unsolvable. Assumption of QCD d.o.f. as protons, neutrons

Phase Space Integral

- Recursive phase space:
	- $-$ In 2 \rightarrow *n*, full phase space can be written:

$$
d\Phi_n(a, b; 1, \dots, n) = d\Phi_{n-m+1}(a, b; \pi, m+1, \dots, n) \frac{ds_\pi}{2\pi} d\Phi_m(\pi, 1, \dots, m)
$$

Phase Space Integral

- Recursive phase space:
	- $-$ In 2 \rightarrow *n*, full phase space can be written:

$$
d\Phi_n(a, b; 1, \dots, n) = d\Phi_{n-m+1}(a, b; \pi, m+1, \dots, n) \frac{ds_{\pi}}{2\pi} d\Phi_m(\pi, 1, \dots, m)
$$

 $-$ Each dΦ can be written in a way that optimally samples *s*− or *t*− channel processes

Phase Space Integral

- Recursive phase space:
	- $-$ In 2 \rightarrow *n*, full phase space can be written:

$$
d\Phi_n(a, b; 1, \dots, n) = d\Phi_{n-m+1}(a, b; \pi, m+1, \dots, n) \frac{ds_{\pi}}{2\pi} d\Phi_m(\pi, 1, \dots, m)
$$

- $-$ Each dΦ can be written in a way that optimally samples *s*− or *t*− channel processes.
- Optimal integrator:
	- Recursive phase space
	- − Multichannel technique (s– and t–channels)
	- Adaptive multidimensional Vegas algorithm

Some choices:

- Choose monochromatic lepton beam, but straightforward to include fluxes.
- No final-state interactions.
- Leptons considered massless.
- Full propagator for W^{\pm} , *Z* bosons.

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\alpha \\
G_F \\
M_Z\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\n1/137 \\
1.16637 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV} \\
91.1876 \text{ GeV}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

• 961 MeV e[−] scattering of carbon-12 at an angle of 37.5 deg.

Data: [R. M. Sealock et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1350 \(1989\)](https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.1350) **SF IA:** [N. Rocco, S. X. Nakamura, T. S. H. Lee, and A. Lovato, Phys. Rev. C 100, 045503 \(2019\)](https://journals.aps.org/prc/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.045503)

- 961 MeV e[−] scattering of carbon-12 at an angle of 37.5 deg.
- Difference in QE region peak due to final-state interaction effects.

- 961 MeV e[−] scattering of carbon-12 at an angle of 37.5 deg.
- Difference in QE region peak due to final-state interaction effects.
- High-energy tail due to two-body currents, resonance production, DIS.

Data: [R. M. Sealock et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1350 \(1989\)](https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.1350) **SF IA:** [N. Rocco, S. X. Nakamura, T. S. H. Lee, and A. Lovato, Phys. Rev. C 100, 045503 \(2019\)](https://journals.aps.org/prc/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.045503)

42

- 1300 MeV e[−] scattering of carbon-12 at an angle of 37.5 deg.
- Difference in QE region peak due to final-state interaction effects.
- High-energy tail due to two-body currents, resonance production, DIS.

Data: [R. M. Sealock et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1350 \(1989\)](https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.1350) SF IA: [N. Rocco, S. X. Nakamura, T. S. H. Lee, and A. Lovato, Phys. Rev. C 100, 045503 \(2019\)](https://journals.aps.org/prc/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.045503)

νC scattering

- Only compare to theory calculations due to lack of high-energy monochromatic neutrino beam.
- Only CC interactions.
- Outgoing nucleon momentum greater than 225 MeV for fair comparison with theory calculation.

SF IA: N. Rocco, C. Barbieri, O. Benhar, A. De Pace, and A. Lovato, [Phys. Rev. C 99, 025502 \(2019\)](https://journals.aps.org/prc/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.025502)

νC scattering

- Only compare to theory calculations due to lack of high-energy monochromatic neutrino beam.
- Only CC interactions.
- Outgoing nucleon momentum greater than 225 MeV for fair comparison with theory calculation.
- Incoming E_{ν} set to 1 GeV.
	- Fixed outgoing lepton angle: 30 deg (top), 70 deg (bottom)

SF IA: N. Rocco, C. Barbieri, O. Benhar, A. De Pace, and A. Lovato, [Phys. Rev. C 99, 025502 \(2019\)](https://journals.aps.org/prc/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.025502)

45

- $\nu_{\mu}C \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e^{+}e^{-}X$
- Interference terms (γ, Z) and $2 \rightarrow 4$

- $\nu_{\mu}C \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e^{+}e^{-}X$
- Interference terms (γ, Z) and $2 \rightarrow 4$
- Assumptions:
	- $-$ Fixed E_{ν} to 1 GeV
	- $-\Delta\theta_{ee}$ > 5 deg
	- $-E_e > 30 \,\text{MeV}$
	- θ with beam axis > 10 deg.

- $\nu_{\mu}C \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e^{+}e^{-}X$
- Interference terms (γ, Z) and $2 \rightarrow 4$
- Assumptions:
	- $-$ Fixed E_{ν} to 1 GeV
	- $-\Delta\theta_{ee}$ > 5 deg
	- $-E_e > 30 \,\text{MeV}$
	- θ with beam axis > 10 deg.
- Important background for multiple lepton final state BSM explanations of MiniBooNE excess.

- *νμ e* + *e* − *X*
- $\nu_{\mu} C \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} e^{+} e^{-} X$
• Interference terms (*γ*, *Z*) and 2 \rightarrow 4
- Assumptions:
	- $-$ Fixed E_{ν} to 1 GeV
	- $\Delta\theta_{ee}$ > 5 deg
	- $E_e > 30 \text{ MeV}$
	- $\Delta \theta_{ee} > 5 \text{ deg}$
 $E_e > 30 \text{ MeV}$
 θ with beam axis $> 10 \text{ deg}.$
- Important background for multiple lepton final state BSM explanations of MiniBooNE excess. • $\nu_{\mu}C \rightarrow$

• Interfe

• Assum
 $-$ Fix
 $- \Delta \theta_{c}$
 $- E_{e}$
 $- \theta \le \theta$

• Impor

state Fotal c
- $\sigma = 3.973 \times 10^{-11} \pm 2.764 \times 10^{-14}$ pb

(Consistent with [P. Ballett, M. Hostert, S. Pascoli, Y. F. Perez-Gonzalez, Z.](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)119) [Tabrizi, and R. Zukanovich Funchal, J. High Energy Phys. 01 \(2019\) 119.\)](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)119)

• Angular separation $\Delta \theta_{ee}$ of both electrons. Ability of next-generation experiments to observe this process.

• Leading (left) and subleading (right) electron energies.

-
- Invariant mass of electron pair. Potentially distinguish trident processes from BSM scenarios with an electron pair in the final state.

Summary and Outlook

• We have developed an event generator framework for the automated simulation of neutrino scattering at next-generation experiments.

Summary and Outlook

- We have developed an event generator framework for the automated simulation of neutrino scattering at next-generation experiments.
- Main output is in the form of leptonic currents/tensors of arbitrary BSM Lagrangians.

- We have developed an event generator framework for the automated simulation of neutrino scattering at next-generation experiments.
- Main output is in the form of leptonic currents/tensors of arbitrary BSM Lagrangians.
- BSM effects independent of nuclear model; chose to focus on QE region (impulse-approximation) using the spectral function formalism for concreteness.

- We have developed an event generator framework for the automated simulation of neutrino scattering at next-generation experiments.
- Main output is in the form of leptonic currents/tensors of arbitrary BSM Lagrangians.
- BSM effects independent of nuclear model; chose to focus on QE region (impulse-approximation) using the spectral function formalism for concreteness.
- Performed phase space integral to get cross sections to validate against carbon-12 electron and neutrino scattering data. Obtained first fully differential neutrino trident production in the QE region using SF formalism.

- We have developed an event generator framework for the automated simulation of neutrino scattering at next-generation experiments.
- Main output is in the form of leptonic currents/tensors of arbitrary BSM Lagrangians.
- BSM effects independent of nuclear model; chose to focus on QE region (impulse-approximation) using the spectral function formalism for concreteness.
- Performed phase space integral to get cross sections to validate against carbon-12 electron and neutrino scattering data. Obtained first fully differential neutrino trident production in the QE region using SF formalism.
- By design, generator is easily interfaced with other neutrino event generators and allows the user choice over the nuclear model to use.
- Context: Our generator, though fully independent, is a module of the ACHILLES (A CHIcago Land Lepton Event Simulator) theory-driven lepton event generator.
- ACHILLES encompasses the full simulation pipeline from beams, hard interactions, intranuclear cascades, and decays of unstable particles.
- Our generator extends ACHILLES ability by enabling BSM calculations on $2 \rightarrow n$ processes.

ACHILLES: [J. Isaacson, W. Jay, A. Lovato, P. A. N. Machado, and N. Rocco, Phys. Rev. D 107, 033007 \(2023\)](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.033007)

Version: $\{:\}56\}$

Authors: Joshua Isaacson, William Jay, Alessandro Lovato, Pedro A. Machado, Noemi Rocco

Undergraduate Student Contributions: Diego Lopez Gutierrez, Sherry Wang, Russell Farnsworth

59

Summary and Outlook

Thank you!