Factorization (and Fragmentation)

Muon Collider Benchmarks Workshop U. Pittsburgh, Nov. 16, 2023 George Sterman, YITP Stony Brook

- **0.** Factorization?
- 1. Outline of factorization in an unbroken gauge theory
- 2. A "broken gauge theory": what happens when your beam is all one color?

3. Just a comment on fragmentation

Questions, corrections, discussion

One view of how concepts underlying factorization perturbative QCD can be thought of at as applying at a very high-energy lepton collider. Quite frankly based on an incomplete consultation of the literature, & non-expert interpretation of what I've seen so far. Discussion (& correction) is very much welcome. What's correct below has surely appeared in the literature.

0. Factorization?

• The template: At the LHC (and before):

$$\sigma_{pp \to Q}(Q) = \sum_{i,j=u,d,s...G} \int dx_1 dx_2 \ f_{i/P}(x_1,\mu) \ \hat{\sigma}_{ij \to Q}(x_1p_1,x_2p_s,\mu) \ f_{j/P}(x_2,\mu)$$

where $f_{i/P}(x_1, \mu)$ is not perturbatively calculable, but evolves in a calculable way:

$$\frac{d}{d\ln(\mu)}f_{i/P}(x_1,\mu) = P_{ik} \otimes f_{k/P}(x_1,\mu)$$

which enables us to extrapolate in energy.

- This factorization also holds with incoming, color-averaged partonic reactions (p → u, d, s...G), which is how we compute ô, using dimensional or other regularization. (Not QCD, but has the same short-distance structure. Actually, close to the topic at hand ...)
- What about at a lepton collider? We ought to have:

$$\sigma_{l\bar{l}\to Q}(Q) = \sum_{i,j=u,d,s\dots G} \int dx_1 dx_2 \ f_{i/l}(x_1,\mu) \ \hat{\sigma}_{ij\to Q}(x_1p_1,x_2p_s,\mu) \ f_{j/\bar{l}}(x_2,\mu)$$

where now we can compute everything in principle! We know our initial state really well, and EW theory is perturbative in the Standard Model.

• But it's natural to ask, when we calculate in EW theory, will it really have this form? Let's go down this road, starting with a QCD-like example.

1. Outline of factorization in an unbroken gauge theory

- Suppose we had an unbroken SU(2) Dirac-gauge theory, with massless "quarks" of two colors. The quarks have EM charge, not part of the gauge group.
- How would factorization go? Say for $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma^*(Q^2) + X$ ("Drell Yan-like")
- Strategy (to be illustrated next slide):
 - Locality and analyticity: separate loop momentum and phase space into separate regions that give long-distance behavior in an arbitrary diagram. Call each such region a "part", defined using a mass scale. A single part includes a number of final states. Work on each part and then combine them.
- Unitarity: Sum over diagrams that have the same "parts" : cancels "final state" singularities.
- Causality and gauge invariance: use gauge invariance to isolate soft-collinear-hard subprocesses.
- Causality and gauge invariance: Cancel soft so only collinear-hard is left.
- Combine different "parts" to get the whole: factorized cross section
- Demand independence of the mass scale used to define the "parts": evolution.



k may be collinear to p_1 or p_2 or soft. All three parts appear in both diagrams

- Take $p_1^{\mu} = Q\delta_{\mu+}, \ p_2^{\mu} = Q\delta_{\mu-}, \ \text{so} \ p_1^2 = p_2^2 = 0, \ \text{but suppose} \ m_{\text{vector}}^2 = m^2.$
- Let's concentrate on k parallel to p_1 : $k^+ > k_{\perp}$, $Q \gg k^-$. Also require $k_{\perp} < \mu$ to "define the part".

• The two integrals are almost identical:



$$\begin{aligned} \text{Real + Virtual} &= Q^2 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} (2\pi) \delta \left(2k^+ k^- - k_\perp^2 - m^2 \right) \theta(Q - k^-) \theta(k^+ - k_\perp) \theta(\mu - k_\perp) \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{2k^- (k^+ - Q) - k_\perp^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{-2Qk^+} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2Q(Q - k^+)} - \frac{1}{Q^2} \right) \\ &\approx \frac{Q^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{m^2}^{\mu^2} \frac{dk_\perp^2}{k_\perp^2 + m^2} \int_{k_\perp}^{Q} \frac{dk^+}{2k^+} \left(\frac{1}{2Q(Q - k^+)} - \frac{1}{Q^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

• Or, defining $x = k^+/Q$, this is just like a parton distribution convoluted with a smooth function (1/x), in this simplified case).

Real + Virtual
$$\approx \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} \int \frac{dx}{[1-x]_+} \frac{1}{x}$$

• Other "parts" follow suit, and can be reassembled into the whole factorized cross section. $d/d\mu \rightarrow 0$ on the cross section implies evolution.

• Call our SU(2) gauge field B, and our 2-color "quark field", L:

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ e \end{pmatrix}$$

• In the cross section, we always average over our "colors" ν and e, and get four terms



- Cancellations occur between top left, bottom left and top right, bottom right to get "parton distributions" as above in the k collinear to p_1 region. Nice!
- This is how factorization works. Then evolution follows from independence of μ .

- 2. Broken gauge theories: what happens when your beam is all one color?
- But suppose, we could only build a beam of "e-quarks". We'd only have one of the processes.



• From this one diagram, we get just the "virtual" integral from the k collinear to p_1 region, which doesn't have the cancellation we found before:

Virtual alone
$$\approx -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{m^2}^{\mu^2} \frac{dk_{\perp}^2}{k_{\perp}^2 + m^2} \int_{k_{\perp}}^{Q} \frac{dk^+}{2k^+}$$

 $\approx -\frac{1}{2(2\pi)^2} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} \ln \frac{Q^2}{m^2}$

• A typical "Sudakov" double \log – we haven't allowed our "e-quark" to radiate, because in the opposing " \bar{e} -quark" beam, this radiation can't be absorbed – you need a " $\bar{\nu}$ -quark" beam!

- What to do? Very schematically ...
- Add the $\bar{\nu}$ -quark beam, and then subtract it. And while we're at it, add a ν -quark beam, and then subtract that too.
- In other words, rewrite the $e\bar{e}$ beam is a fully symmetric cross section plus cross sections cross sections in which there are one or two antisymmetric components.
- Like this ...

$$\sigma_{e\bar{e}} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\sigma_{(e+\nu)(\bar{e}+\bar{\nu})} + \sigma_{(e-\nu)(\bar{e}+\bar{\nu})} + \sigma_{(e+\nu)(\bar{e}-\bar{\nu})} + \sigma_{(e-\nu)(\bar{e}-\bar{\nu})} \right)$$

- The first term is "the unbroken theory" and factorizes into parton distributions that evolve according to familiar DGLAP equations.
- The other three always involve a difference rather than a sum of real and virtual:

Virtual – Real
$$\approx -2 \times \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^2} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} \ln \frac{Q^2}{m^2}$$

• Terms like $\sigma_{(e-\nu)(\bar{e}-\bar{\nu})}$ should all be suppressed by exponentials of double logs at large Q, but at finite $Q \not\gg v$ provide the approach to asymptotic behavior.

- The factorization procedure outlined at the start can be applied to the "antisymmetric" terms.
- I expect an analogy to Q_T -resummation factorization in impact parameter space, but with $1/m_B$ replacing the impact parameter.
- I believe these effects are built into more practical treatments: Ciafaloni, Ciafaloni and Comelli (hep-ph/0505047; Bauer, Ferland & Webber (1703.08562), Han, Ma & Xi (2007.14300) ...

3. Just a few comments on fragmentation

- Once organized as above, inclusive sums over final states should result in "standard" jet and fragmentation functions.
- In particular, fragmentation functions will have the same "universality" properties if defined as single-particle inclusive
- With observed "colors" in the final state, however, ν vs. e, etc., some of the features familiar from parton distributions should recur, as noted in Chien & Li (1801.00395) Baumgarten, Erdogan, Rothstein & Vaidya (1811.04120).

Questions, corrections or discussion? ...