Boosted Dark Matter: Relic Density Aspects

Soumya Sadhukhan

Ramakrishna Mission Residential College (Autonomous) & Vivekananda Centre for Research (Research Centre affiliated to University of Calcutta)

Phoenix-2023, IIT Hyderabad

December 18, 2023

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ● ● ●

Based On

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● 回 ● の Q @

• Viability of Boosted Light Dark Matter in a Two-Component Scenario,

Arindam Basu, Amit Chakraborty, Nilanjana Kumar, Soumya Sadhukhan, 2310.09349 [hep-ph]

• Masters project report on **Cosmic Ray Boosted Dark Matter**, Arindam Basu, Soumya Sadhukhan

Background: non-Standard DM

- Dark Matter: we know it exists, yet to figure out what it is..
- Weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) are the most popular dark matter candidates: with TeV scale mass and coupling strength of 'weak interaction' produces exact relic.
- No WIMP at the TeV scale is observed in the collider, direct and indirect DM detectors up to now.
- We look beyond any dark sector at TeV scale:
 - Light Dark Matter: MeV-GeV scale DM
 - Primordial Black Holes (at a higher scale)
 - Axions, Sterile neutrinos etc (at an ultralight scale)
- \bullet Other non-standard DM scenarios like SIMP, FIMP DM etc among others...

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ● ● ●

Why Boosted Dark Matter?

• Detection of Dark Matter: DM scatters off a nucleon or an electron and DM is detected through the measurement of nuclear/electron recoil.

• All DM direct detection experiments (e.g. Xenon-nT, LUX-Zeplin) have their own threshold energy: nuclear recoil has to be greater than that to have observed any event.

• Inside the Milky way galaxy halo, DM particles are usually non-relativistic ($v \sim 10^{-3}c$). Therefore any sub-GeV scale DM cannot make a nucleus recoil.

- Proposal: We need a Lorentz boost for our light(-er) DM candidate.
- Boosted Dark Matter case is interesting:
 - The light DM can receive sufficient energy for a nuclear recoil when it is boosted.
 - In large range of light DM: detection prospect gets better with the boost.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ● ● ●

PHOENIX-2023

Possible Boost Mechanisms

• Cosmic ray boosted dark matter:

In this scenario cosmic ray and DM exchange their energy through collision: the boosted DM becomes relativistic. R. Laha et al





Blazar Boosted DM

 D. Sachdeva et al

 Supernovae boosted DM

 R. Srivastava et al

 Two component boosted DM

 Agashe et al

Cosmic ray particle velocity $v_{\rm cr} = 0.1c$ (red plot) and $v_{\rm cr} = 0.1c$ (yellow plot) for dark matter χ .

• velocity of the boosted DM:

$$v_{\chi} = \frac{2m_{\rm cr}v_{\rm cr}}{m_{\rm cr}+m_{\chi}}$$

PHOENIX-2023

Motivation: Two Component Boosted Dark matter

Another boosted DM paradigm: Two component dark matter.

- Similar to the visible sector : Dark sector can in general consists of multiple dark particles.
- Two component dark sector is explored and interesting as a minimal version of extended dark sector.
- One interesting case: Hierarchical two component DM scenario, one dark matter component is heavier and the second dark matter candidate is orders of magnitude lighter.
- \bullet One heavy TeV scale WIMP dark matter can annihilate to lighter (\sim MeV scale) DM. The lighter DM component in this case is the boosted DM.

Required: a popular BSM case with similar two-component boosted DM.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ● ● ●

Boosted DM: Exact Model Scenario

 \bullet A modified neutrinophilic 2HDM ($\nu 2\text{HDM})$ scenario can have two component DM set up.

- A fermionic DM, a vectorlike fermion χ_1 with mass \sim 100 GeV.
- A light (\sim 10 MeV) DM which is a scalar, ϕ_3 .

 $\bullet \ \nu 2 \text{HDM}$ has a light scalar mediator, which is a window to light scalar DM physics.

• Presence of a light scalar in ν 2HDM puts stringent constraints on oblique corrections, forcing the S to large negative values. vectorlike fermions ease the constraints.

- Vectorlike fermions add positive contributions to S,T parameters: ease the constraints. Godbole et al
- Two DM components also interact among themselves, e.g. heavier one can annihilate to lighter one: provides boosted DM.

PHOENIX-2023

$\nu 2 \text{HDM}$ Model & Modifications

• Well studied ν 2HDM (Machado et al) + Our modifications

Particle Name	$SU(2)_L$ Charges	$U(1)_Y$ Charges	$Z_2^{\rm D}$ Charges
Scalar Fields			
Φ ₁	2	1	1
Φ ₂	2	1	1
ϕ_3	1	0	-1
Fermionic Fields			
N	2	-1	-1
χ	1	0	-1

• the mass basis of the SM neutrinos are written as,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{R}}} = y_i \bar{L}_i \tilde{\Phi}_2 N_R + \frac{m_{N_R}}{2} N_R N_R.$$

- Low scale seesaw happens Neutrinophilic seesaw.
- E. Ma et al

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ● ● ●

• N and χ mix among themselves:

$$L_{VLL} = m_N \bar{N} N + m_\chi \bar{\chi} \chi + y_N \bar{N} \tilde{\Phi_1} \chi + h.c.$$

• Due to light spectrum known particle widths will be important constraints.

 Light mediator particle (H) and light scalar DM candidate: additional contribution to Higgs width h → HH and h → φ₃φ₃. Total invisible BR ~ 10%: consistent with LHC.

• Invisible Z and W widths are also satisfied.

• Charged scalars decay through $H^{\pm} \rightarrow I^{\pm}\nu$: LEP limit on charged Higgs given as $m_{H^{\pm}} \ge 80$ GeV. We take $m_{H^{\pm}} = m_A = 500$ GeV.

• CP-even H is light, other scalar particles heavy: the oblique parameters (S, T, U) will constrain the parameter space.

• the S parameter is highly sensitive to the new physics effects at scales lower than M_Z .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ● ● ●

• while T controls the breaking of the custodial symmetry.

Boltzmann Equation Formalism

• Single Component Boltzmann Equation:

$$\frac{dn_{\phi_3}}{dt} + 3Hn_{\phi_3} = -\langle \sigma_{\phi_3} v \rangle (n_{\phi_3}^2 - n_{\phi_3, eq}^2)$$

Here $\sigma_{\phi_3}, \sigma_{\chi_1}$ is the annihilation cross section of the scalar dark matter candidate, when ϕ_3 annihilates to the visible sector • Coupled Boltzmann Equation: (For multi-component DM)

$$\frac{dn_{\chi_1}}{dt} + 3Hn_{\chi_1} = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma_{\chi_1\phi_3} v \rangle (n_{\chi_1}^2 - n_{\phi_3}^2 \frac{n_{\chi_1, \mathrm{eq}}^2}{n_{\phi_3, \mathrm{eq}}^2}) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma_{\chi_1} v \rangle (n_{\chi_1}^2 - n_{\chi_1, \mathrm{eq}}^2)$$

$$\frac{dn_{\phi_3}}{dt} + 3Hn_{\phi_3} = -\langle \sigma_{\phi_3} v \rangle (n_{\phi_3}^2 - n_{\phi_3, eq}^2) - \langle \sigma_{\phi_3 \chi_1} v \rangle (n_{\phi_3}^2 - n_{\chi_1}^2 \frac{n_{\phi_3, eq}^2}{n_{\chi_1, eq}^2})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

 $n_{\chi_1,eq}, n_{\phi_3,eq}$ are equilibrium density of χ_1 and ϕ_3 respectively. • solution of these equation: Thermal evolution of DM number density (translated to Yield).

DM Annihilation Channels

• Different DM annihilation Feynman diagrams:



Thermal averaged annihilation cross section computed: $\langle \sigma_{\chi_1} v \rangle$ (left column), $\langle \sigma_{\chi_1 \phi_3} v \rangle$ (middle column) and $\langle \sigma_{\phi_3} v \rangle$ (right column)

・ロン ・聞と ・ 聞と ・ 聞と

э

Single Component DM: Scalar



• Allowed region spans scalar DM mass of 5 MeV to less than 200 MeVs.

• The scalar dark matter for most of the allowed parameter space is severely underabundant: requirement of another DM candidate.

• Freeze-out phenomenon happens around $x \sim 10-30$, with the largest x obtained for the lightest scalar dark matter mass.

Single Component DM: Fermion



• The Z mediated resonant region around $m_{\chi_1} \sim$ 45 GeV provides large DM annihilation, with underabundant relic.

• The Higgs resonant annihilation is not that significant as the $h\chi_1\chi_1$ coupling is constrained to be small.

• Freeze-out phenomenon happens around $x \sim 20 - 30$, with the largest x obtained for the fermion DM mass in the Z-resonance region.

PHOENIX-2023

Multi-component DM: Scenario-I

• This is the case with $m_{\chi_1} = 45$ GeV and $m_{\phi_3} = 20$ MeV.



- The fermionic yield is smaller (but the relic density is somewhat larger) when we treat them individually.
- When they are coupled, gap in their yields widens which translates to both the components contributing almost equally to the total relic.

PHOENIX-2023

Multi-component DM: Scenario-II

• This is the case with $m_{\chi_1} = 200$ GeV and $m_{\phi_3} = 50$ MeV.



- In the individual case here fermionic DM yield is larger than the scalar one, indicating that the fermionic contribution dominates the total relic.
- The nature of the yield flips in this case when the DM components are coupled: even then the fermionic DM relic is larger compared to the scalar one.

Combined DM scenario

• The allowed fermionic DM mass region widens compared to individual vectorlike DM: 40-50 GeV vs 30-70 GeV now.



• Exact relic can be arranged when the scalar DM mass is slightly below 100 MeV, ruling out a scalar DM above that mass.

• Scalar DM gets more constrained as the factor $s = 4m_{\phi_3}^2$ modifies to $s \approx 8m_{\phi_3}^2$, diluting the resonant enhancement in the $(s - 4m_i^2)$ factors inside the DM annihilation cross section.

Amount of Boost

(人間) くほう くほう

- The scalar DM achieves boost via the annihilation process $\chi_1\chi_1\to \phi_3\phi_3.$
- Relativistic kinematic computation gives the boosted velocity of the scalar DM:

$$v_{\phi_3}^2 = 1 - rac{m_{\phi_3}^2}{m_{\chi_1}^2} \left(1 - v_{\chi_1}^2\right)$$
 and the boost $\gamma_{\phi_3} \sim rac{m_{\chi_1}}{m_{\phi_3}}$



Boost Effects: Scalar DM

• Model dependent boost vs a general boost:



• In both the cases the overall nature of modification in boosted scalar DM phenomenology is similar with minor differences.

• Relic density behaviour of the boosted dark matter is independent of the mechanism through which the light DM is given boost.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

3

 \bullet The vectorlike fermion DM is at masses around \sim 100 GeV, which even being non relativistic can scatter off a nucleus to have a significant recoil.

• For the light DM detection $\phi_3 N \rightarrow \phi_3 N$ or $\phi_3 e \rightarrow \phi_3 e$ scatterings can happen: result is a possible nuclear or electron recoil.

• For the boosted scalar DM the kinematics of these scattering will have relativistic boost factors, that will potentially result in high recoil energies.

• Boosted DM particles detection can happen at large volume terrestrial experiments that are designed for detecting neutrinos and/or proton decay, such as Super-K, Hyper-K, IceCube, PINGU, MICA, KM3NeT and ANTARES etc.

• In the lower mass region, the strong limit comes from the electron scattering experiments such as DarkSide, PICO-60, CRESST and a series of other DM detectors.

Conclusion

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ● ● ●

• Two component boosted DM scenario is one interesting case where multi component dark sector can be utilized to achieve something novel: a DM which is boosted.

• A neutrinophilic 2HDM has phenomenological aspects that make hierarchical two component scenario very natural in that model, which leads to a boosted DM.

• For the heavier DM component the relic density decreases due to extra annihilation channel, while for the boosted component the resonant annihilation becomes somewhat inefficient, producing a larger relic.

• In the combined fermion-scalar DM scenario, the boosted scalar component can form significant portion of the total DM relic, which makes the perfect case to look for its detection.

Thank You

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?