

Multiboson production with the ATLAS detector



Raphaël Hulsken, McGill University On behalf of the ATLAS collaboration 2024 CAP in London, Ontario

ATLAS detector





Physics motivations

- Measure Triboson final states: small cross section, need full run 2 at LHC
- Test beyond Standard Model theories
 - Sensitivity to anomalous
 Quartic Gauge Coupling (aQGC)
 - Limit to Effective Field Theories can be set
- Backgrounds composition run 3 analysis ($ZH(\gamma\gamma)$ WH($\gamma\gamma$))



WWW

STDM-2019-09



- WWW Observation at 8.0 (5.4) standard deviation (expected)
 Within 2.6 σ of theory prediction
- Using at least two lepton:
 - 2 lepton + 2 jets SR : using same-charge lepton
 - 3 leptons SR : no same flavour opposite sign lepton

• Background treatment :





estimated in same flavour opposite sign lepton CR

- WZ+jets in 2lep and ZZ+jets in 3l SR
 reduced with veto on additional loose lepton
- Z(ll)

—

Exlcude 80<m $_{\rm ll}$ <100 GeV

WWW

STDM-2019-09



- WWW Observation at 8.0 (5.4) standard deviation (expected)
 Within 2.6 σ of theory prediction
- Using at least two lepton:
 - 2 lepton + 2 jets SR : using same-charge lepton
 - 3 leptons SR : no same flavour opposite sign lepton
- Fit method :
 - Separate BDT for 2l and 3l, simultaneous fit for both **BDT distribution** and m_{ll} distribution for the WZ CR

• Background treatment :





estimated in same flavour opposite sign lepton CR

- WZ+jets in 2lep and ZZ+jets in 3l SR
 reduced with veto on additional loose lepton
- Z(ll)

—

Exlcude 80< m_{ll} <100 GeV







- Background treatment:
 - j→γ background

Estimation in looser selection CR using Z+jets sample

- j→l background

Estimated in looser selection CR using dijet sample

- ZZy and ZZ(e→γ)

Reduced by $|m_{I(w)\gamma}-Z_{mass}| > 10$ GeV selection normalized with dedicated CR

- First measurement of WZγ cross section at 6.3 (5.0) standard deviation observed (expected) within 1.5 σ of theory prediction
- Using I'llγ channel one same flavor opposite charge pair

 $m_{l(Z)l(Z)}$ > 81 GeV for FSR reduction





- First measurement of WZγ cross section at 6.3 (5.0) standard deviation observed (expected) within 1.5 σ of theory prediction
- Using I'llγ channel one same flavor opposite charge pair

 $m_{l(Z)l(Z)}$ > 81 GeV for FSR reduction

Profile likelihood fit of the 4 e/µ final states (3 regions, 1SR and 2CR)

- Background treatment:
 - j**→**γ background

Estimation in looser selection CR using Z+jets sample

- j→l background

Estimated in looser selection CR using dijet sample

- ZZy and ZZ(e→γ)

Reduced by $|m_{I(w)\gamma}-Z_{mass}| > 10$ GeV selection normalized with dedicated CR







- First measurement of Wγγ at 5.6 (5.6) standard deviation observed (expected) in agreement with the SM prediction
- Using e/µ channel
 - E_{T}^{miss} presence

• Background treatment:

- j→γ main background

2D (leading/sub-leading) template fit of photon isolation energy in data

- e→γ

Data driven fake rate estimate in $Z \rightarrow ee/ey CR$

- Top background
 - Reduced via b veto
 - Dedicated CR (with >= 1 b-jet) for fit constrain
 - Low E_Tmiss region (with >= 1 b-jet) for validation







- - First measurement of Wyy at 5.6 (5.6) standard deviation observed (expected) in agreement with the SM prediction
 - Using e/μ channel
 - E_{T}^{miss} presence

4 bin likelihood fit (using topCR, topVR and SR)





• Background treatment:

- j→γ main background

2D (leading/sub-leading) template fit of photon isolation energy in data

- e→v

Data driven fake rate estimate in $Z \rightarrow ee/ey CR$

- Top background
 - Reduced via b veto
 - Dedicated CR (with >= 1 b-jet) for fit constrain
 - Low E_Tmiss region (with >= 1 b-jet) for validation



- Effective Field Theory (EFT) used to add higher dimension operator to the SM Lagrangian
- Dimension 6 and 8 contribute to anomalous Quartic Gauge Coupling (aQGC)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{f_n}{\Lambda^{n-4}} \mathcal{O}_n,$$

$$\left| A_{\rm SM} + \sum_{i} c_{i} A_{i} \right|^{2} = |A_{\rm SM}|^{2} + \sum_{i} c_{i} 2 \operatorname{Re}(A_{\rm SM}^{*}A_{i}) + \sum_{i} c_{i}^{2} |A_{i}|^{2} + \sum_{ij,i\neq j} c_{i} c_{j} 2 \operatorname{Re}(A_{i}A_{j}^{*}),$$

- Effective Field Theory (EFT) used to add higher dimension operator to the SM Lagrangian
- Dimension 6 and 8 contribute to anomalous Quartic Gauge Coupling (aQGC)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{f_n}{\Lambda^{n-4}} \mathcal{O}_n,$$

$$\left| A_{\rm SM} + \sum_{i} c_{i} A_{i} \right|^{2} = |A_{\rm SM}|^{2} + \sum_{i} c_{i} 2 \operatorname{Re}(A_{\rm SM}^{*}A_{i}) + \sum_{i} c_{i}^{2} |A_{i}|^{2} + \sum_{ij,i\neq j} c_{i} c_{j} 2 \operatorname{Re}(A_{i}A_{j}^{*}),$$

$$\mathsf{SM}$$

- Effective Field Theory (EFT) used to add higher dimension operator to the SM Lagrangian
- Dimension 6 and 8 contribute to anomalous Quartic Gauge Coupling (aQGC)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{f_n}{\Lambda^{n-4}} \mathcal{O}_n,$$

$$\left| A_{\rm SM} + \sum_{i} c_{i} A_{i} \right|^{2} = |A_{\rm SM}|^{2} + \sum_{i} c_{i} 2 \operatorname{Re}(A_{\rm SM}^{*}A_{i}) + \sum_{i} c_{i}^{2} |A_{i}|^{2} + \sum_{ij,i\neq j} c_{i} c_{j} 2 \operatorname{Re}(A_{i}A_{j}^{*}),$$

SM INT with SM

- Effective Field Theory (EFT) used to add higher dimension operator to the SM Lagrangian
- Dimension 6 and 8 contribute to anomalous Quartic Gauge Coupling (aQGC)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{f_n}{\Lambda^{n-4}} \mathcal{O}_n,$$

$$\left|A_{\rm SM} + \sum_{i} c_i A_i\right|^2 = |A_{\rm SM}|^2 + \sum_{i} c_i 2\operatorname{Re}(A_{\rm SM}^*A_i) + \sum_{i} c_i^2 |A_i|^2 + \sum_{ij,i\neq j} c_i c_j 2\operatorname{Re}(A_i A_j^*),$$

SM INT with SM aQGC

- Effective Field Theory (EFT) used to add higher dimension operator to the SM Lagrangian
- Dimension 6 and 8 contribute to anomalous Quartic Gauge Coupling (aQGC)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{f_n}{\Lambda^{n-4}} \mathcal{O}_n,$$

$$\left|A_{\rm SM} + \sum_{i} c_{i}A_{i}\right|^{2} = |A_{\rm SM}|^{2} + \sum_{i} c_{i} 2\operatorname{Re}(A_{\rm SM}^{*}A_{i}) + \sum_{i} c_{i}^{2}|A_{i}|^{2} + \sum_{ij,i\neq j} c_{i}c_{j} 2\operatorname{Re}(A_{i}A_{j}^{*}),$$

SM INT with SM aQGC Cross term

 Three types of operator (using <u>Eboli-Gonzalez–Garcia Model</u>) can be defined respecting charge-conjugate and parity

- Three types of operator (using <u>Eboli-Gonzalez–Garcia Model</u>) can be defined respecting charge-conjugate and parity
 - S operator: Constructed from covariant derivative of the Higgs doublet

$\mathcal{O}_{S,0} = \left[\left(D_{\mu} \Phi \right)^{\dagger} D_{\nu} \Phi \right] \times \left[\left(D^{\mu} \Phi \right)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$
$\mathcal{O}_{S,1} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right] \times \left[(D_{\nu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$
$\mathcal{O}_{S,2} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D_{\nu} \Phi \right] \times \left[(D^{\nu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$

- Three types of operator (using <u>Eboli-Gonzalez–Garcia Model</u>) can be defined respecting charge-conjugate and parity
 - S operator: Constructed from covariant derivative of the Higgs doublet
 - T operator: Constructed from field strength tensors

$\mathcal{O}_{S,0} = \left[\left(D_{\mu} \Phi \right)^{\dagger} D_{\nu} \Phi \right] \times \left[\left(D^{\mu} \Phi \right)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$
$\mathcal{O}_{S,1} = \left[\left(D_{\mu} \Phi \right)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right] \times \left[\left(D_{\nu} \Phi \right)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$
$\mathcal{O}_{S,2} = \left[\left(D_{\mu} \Phi \right)^{\dagger} D_{\nu} \Phi \right] \times \left[\left(D^{\nu} \Phi \right)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$

$\mathcal{O}_{M,0} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\widehat{W}_{\mu\nu}\widehat{W}^{\mu\nu}\right] \times \left[\left(D_{\beta}\Phi\right)^{\dagger}D^{\beta}\Phi\right]$], $\mathcal{O}_{M,1} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\widehat{W}_{\mu\nu}\widehat{W}^{\nu\beta}\right] \times \left[\left(D_{\beta}\Phi\right)^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Phi\right]$,
$\mathcal{O}_{M,2} = \left[B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}\right] \times \left[\left(D_{\beta}\Phi\right)^{\dagger}D^{\beta}\Phi\right]$, $\mathcal{O}_{M,3} = \left[B_{\mu\nu}B^{\nu\beta}\right] \times \left[\left(D_{\beta}\Phi\right)^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Phi\right]$,
$\mathcal{O}_{M,4} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} \widehat{W}_{\beta\nu} D^{\mu} \Phi \right] \times B^{\beta\nu}$, $\mathcal{O}_{M,5} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} \widehat{W}_{\beta\nu} D^{\nu} \Phi \right] \times B^{\beta\mu} + \text{h.c.}$,
$\mathcal{O}_{M,7} = \left[\left(D_{\mu} \Phi \right)^{\dagger} \widehat{W}_{\beta\nu} \widehat{W}^{\beta\mu} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$	

- Three types of operator (using <u>Eboli-Gonzalez–Garcia Model</u>) can be defined respecting charge-conjugate and parity
 - S operator: Constructed from covariant derivative of the Higgs doublet
 - T operator: Constructed from field strength tensors
 - M operator: Constructed from derivative of the Higgs doublet and field strength tensors

$\mathcal{O}_{S,0} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D_{\nu} \Phi \right] \times \left[(D^{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$
$\mathcal{O}_{S,1} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right] \times \left[(D_{\nu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$
$\mathcal{O}_{S,2} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D_{\nu} \Phi \right] \times \left[(D^{\nu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$

$\mathcal{O}_{M,0} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\widehat{W}_{\mu\nu}\widehat{W}^{\mu\nu}\right] \times \left[\left(D_{\beta}\Phi\right)^{\dagger}D^{\beta}\Phi\right]$	$, \mathcal{O}_{M,1} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\widehat{W}_{\mu\nu}\widehat{W}^{\nu\beta}\right] \times \left[\left(D_{\beta}\Phi\right)^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Phi\right] ,$
$\mathcal{O}_{M,2} = \left[B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}\right] \times \left[\left(D_{\beta}\Phi\right)^{\dagger}D^{\beta}\Phi\right]$, $\mathcal{O}_{M,3} = \left[B_{\mu\nu} B^{\nu\beta} \right] \times \left[\left(D_{\beta} \Phi \right)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$,
$\mathcal{O}_{M,4} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} \widehat{W}_{\beta \nu} D^{\mu} \Phi \right] \times B^{\beta \nu}$, $\mathcal{O}_{M,5} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} \widehat{W}_{\beta\nu} D^{\nu} \Phi \right] \times B^{\beta\mu} + \text{h.c.}$,
$\mathcal{O}_{M,7} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} \widehat{W}_{\beta\nu} \widehat{W}^{\beta\mu} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$	

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{T,0} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\mu\nu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\alpha\beta} \widehat{W}^{\alpha\beta} \right] \ , \ \mathcal{O}_{T,1} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\alpha\nu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\mu\beta} \widehat{W}^{\alpha\nu} \right] \\ \mathcal{O}_{T,2} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\beta\nu} \widehat{W}^{\nu\alpha} \right] \ , \ \mathcal{O}_{T,5} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\mu\nu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} \\ \mathcal{O}_{T,6} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\alpha\nu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times B_{\mu\beta} B^{\alpha\nu} \qquad , \ \mathcal{O}_{T,7} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha} \\ \mathcal{O}_{T,8} &= B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} \qquad , \ \mathcal{O}_{T,9} &= B_{\alpha\mu} B^{\mu\beta} B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha} \ . \end{split}$$

- Three types of operator (using <u>Eboli-Gonzalez–Garcia Model</u>) can be defined respecting charge-conjugate and parity
 - S operator: Constructed from covariant derivative of the Higgs doublet
 - T operator: Constructed from field strength tensors
 - M operator: Constructed from derivative of the Higgs doublet and field strength tensors

$\mathcal{O}_{S,0} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D_{\nu} \Phi \right] \times \left[(D^{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$
$\mathcal{O}_{S,1} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right] \times \left[(D_{\nu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$
$\mathcal{O}_{S,2} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D_{\nu} \Phi \right] \times \left[(D^{\nu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{M,0} &= \operatorname{Tr}\left[\widehat{W}_{\mu\nu}\widehat{W}^{\mu\nu}\right] \times \left[(D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta}\Phi \right] \ , \ \mathcal{O}_{M,1} &= \operatorname{Tr}\left[\widehat{W}_{\mu\nu}\widehat{W}^{\nu\beta}\right] \times \left[(D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \ , \\ \mathcal{O}_{M,2} &= \left[B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} \right] \times \left[(D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta}\Phi \right] \ , \ \mathcal{O}_{M,3} &= \left[B_{\mu\nu}B^{\nu\beta} \right] \times \left[(D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \ , \\ \mathcal{O}_{M,4} &= \left[(D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\widehat{W}_{\beta\nu}D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \times B^{\beta\nu} \ , \ \mathcal{O}_{M,5} &= \left[(D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\widehat{W}_{\beta\nu}D^{\nu}\Phi \right] \times B^{\beta\mu} + \text{h.c.} \ , \\ \mathcal{O}_{M,7} &= \left[(D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\widehat{W}_{\beta\nu}\widehat{W}^{\beta\mu}D^{\nu}\Phi \right] \ . \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{T,0} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\mu\nu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\alpha\beta} \widehat{W}^{\alpha\beta} \right] \ , \ \mathcal{O}_{T,1} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\alpha\nu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\mu\beta} \widehat{W}^{\alpha\nu} \right] \\ \mathcal{O}_{T,2} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\beta\nu} \widehat{W}^{\nu\alpha} \right] \ , \ \mathcal{O}_{T,5} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\mu\nu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} \\ \mathcal{O}_{T,6} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\alpha\nu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times B_{\mu\beta} B^{\alpha\nu} \qquad , \ \mathcal{O}_{T,7} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widehat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \widehat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha} \\ \mathcal{O}_{T,8} &= B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} \qquad , \ \mathcal{O}_{T,9} &= B_{\alpha\mu} B^{\mu\beta} B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha} \ . \end{split}$$

	WWWW	WWZZ	ZZZZ	WWAZ	WWAA	ZZZA	ZZAA	ZAAA	AAAA
$\mathcal{O}_{S,0},\mathcal{O}_{S,1}$	Х	Х	Х						
$\mathcal{O}_{M,0}, \mathcal{O}_{M,1}, \mathcal{O}_{M,6}, \mathcal{O}_{M,7}$	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х		
$\mathcal{O}_{M,2}$, $\mathcal{O}_{M,3}$, $\mathcal{O}_{M,4}$, $\mathcal{O}_{M,5}$		Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х		
$\mathcal{O}_{T,0} \;, \mathcal{O}_{T,1} \;, \mathcal{O}_{T,2}$	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
$\mathcal{O}_{T,5}$, $\mathcal{O}_{T,6}$, $\mathcal{O}_{T,7}$		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
$\mathcal{O}_{T,8}\;, \mathcal{O}_{T,9}$			Х			Х	X	Х	Х







Fiducial cross section

measurement with 12 % precision

• Using e/µ channel

 $(m_{II}+min(m_{II\gamma,1},m_{II\gamma,2}))>2M_{Z}$ for FSR contribution removal

Ζγγ Phys. J. C 83, 539





Fiducial cross section

measurement with 12 % precision

• Using e/µ channel

 $(m_{II}+min(m_{II\gamma,1},m_{II\gamma,2}))>2M_{Z}$ for FSR contribution removal

 Differential cross-sections (6 kinematic observables: E^{y1}_T, E^{y2}_T, p_T^{II}, p_T^{II}, m_{YY}, m_{IIYY})



Zγγ (aQGC)



<u>Phys. J. C 83, 539</u>

Limit set on aQGC operators using EFT approach

- T_1 , T_2 , T_6 , T_7 operator reduced up to two orders of magnitude compare to 8TeV (Phys. Rev. D 93, 112002)





Zγγ (aQGC)



<u>Phys. J. C 83, 539</u>

Limit set on aQGC operators using EFT approach

- *T*₁, *T*₂, *T*₆, *T*₇ operator reduced up to two orders of magnitude compare to 8TeV (Phys. Rev. D 93, 112002)
- Clipping method used to restore unitary at large energy scale







Wүјј <u>STDM-2018-31</u>



$$\xi_{l\gamma} = |(y_{l\gamma} - \frac{(y_{j_1} + y_{j_2})}{2})/(y_{j_1} - y_{j_2})|$$

- Fiducial cross section Observed (expected) >6 (6.3)
- Using 1 lepton and 1 photon
 - $|m_{l\gamma} Z_{mass}|$ > 10 GeV to remove lepton consistent with Z
 - SR : no jet between leading jet; >0 jets for CR
 - centrality of the lepton-photon system relative to the VBS tagged jets to define SR and CR



WYjj <u>STDM-2018-31</u>



- Fiducial cross section Observed (expected) >6 (6.3)
- Using 1 lepton and 1 photon
 - $|m_{l\gamma} Z_{mass}| > 10$ GeV to remove lepton consistent with Z
 - SR : no jet between leading jet; >0 jets for CR
 - centrality of the lepton-photon system relative to the VBS tagged jets to define SR and CR
- Fit on the NN score in SR and CR regions



NN score



WYjj <u>STDM-2018-31</u>



- Fiducial cross section Observed (expected) >6 (6.3)
- Using 1 lepton and 1 photon
 - $|m_{l\gamma} Z_{mass}|$ > 10 GeV to remove lepton consistent with Z
 - SR : no jet between leading jet; >0 jets for CR
 - centrality of the lepton-photon system relative to the VBS tagged jets to define SR and CR
- Fit on the NN score in SR and CR regions
- Differential cross section on 6 variables: m_{JJ} , $p_{T^{JJ}}$, $\Delta \varphi_{JJ}$, $p_{T^{l}}$,

 $\Delta \varphi_{l\gamma}$, $m_{l\gamma}$













• AQGC limit computed with fit in $P_{T^{jj}}$ for the T operator and $P_{T^{j}}$ for M operator Unitarity restored using the clipping technique

Cofficients [TeV ⁻⁴]	Observable	Max out off [TaV]	Expected [TeV ⁻⁴]	Observed [TeV ⁻⁴]
Concients [Tev]	Observable	$M_{W\gamma}$ cut-on [rev]	Expected [Tev]	Observeu [Iev]
f_{T0}/Λ^4	$p_{\rm T}^{JJ}$	1.4	[-2.5, 2.6]	[-1.9, 1.9]
f_{T1}/Λ^4	p_{T}^{jj}	1.9	[-1.6, 1.6]	[-1.1, 1.2]
f_{T2}/Λ^4	p_{T}^{jj}	1.6	[-4.9, 5.3]	[-3.6, 4.0]
f_{T3}/Λ^4	p_{T}^{jj}	1.9	[-3.4, 3.6]	[-2.5, 2.7]
f_{T4}/Λ^4	p_{T}^{jj}	2.2	[-3.1, 3.1]	[-2.2, 2.3]
f_{T5}/Λ^4	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\hat{j}j}$	1.8	[-1.8, 1.8]	[-1.3, 1.3]
f_{T6}/Λ^4	p_{T}^{jj}	2.1	[-1.5, 1.5]	[-1.1, 1.1]
f_{T7}/Λ^4	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\hat{j}j}$	2.1	[-4.0, 4.1]	[-2.9, 3.0]
f_{M0}/Λ^4	$p_{\rm T}^l$	1.1	[-45, 44]	[-32, 31]
f_{M1}/Λ^4	p_{T}^{l}	1.4	[-60, 62]	[-43, 44]
f_{M2}/Λ^4	p_{T}^{I}	1.4	[-15, 15]	[-11, 11]
f_{M3}/Λ^4	p_{T}^{I}	1.8	[-22, 22]	[-16, 16]
f_{M4}/Λ^4	p_{T}^{l}	1.5	[-28, 27]	[-20, 20]
f_{M5}/Λ^4	p_{T}^{l}	1.9	[-21, 23]	[-14, 17]
f_{M7}/Λ^4	p_{T}^{I}	1.5	[-100, 99]	[-73, 71]







- WWjj cross section measurement
- Using same sign charged lepton pair
 - $|m_{ll}-Z_{mass}|$ >15 to remove charged mis-identification
 - 0 bjet
 - Jet with large invariant mass >500 GeV
- EW and inclusive cross section measured with separate fits
 - on $\mathbf{m}_{ll} \mathbf{SR}$ and low $\mathbf{m}_{jj} \mathbf{CR}$

Differential cross section on \mathbf{m}_{II} , \mathbf{m}_{T} , \mathbf{m}_{II} , \mathbf{N}_{ex}

5	ELIT				
Ge	40 -	Data	W [±] W [±] jj EW (bin	1) W [±] W [±] jj EW (bir	12)
0	35	W [±] W [±] jj EW (bin 3)	W [±] W [±] jj EW (bin	4) W [±] W [±] jj EW (bir	15) =
7		W [±] W [±] jj EW (bin 6)	W [±] W [±] jj Int	W [±] W [±] jj QCD	Ξ
ť	30-	WZ QCD	WZ EW	Non-prompt	-
Eve	25	Conversions	Other prompt	///Tot. Uncert.	- T
	20		ATLAS		
	15		√s = 13 Te	V, 139 fb⁻¹	1
L	10		SR		Ţ
L				i -	=
L	5			•••••	
L	0				
≥	1.2		· [· · · ·] · · · · [·		
S/S	-				
ate			8		
	0.8				E
L	50	0 100 150 2	200 250 300	350 400 450	0 50
					a\/1
				m _{ee} [G	evj





- WWjj cross section measurement
- Using same sign charged lepton pair
 - $|m_{ll}-Z_{mass}|$ >15 to remove charged mis-identification
 - 0 bjet
 - Jet with large invariant mass >500 GeV
- EW and inclusive cross section measured with separate fits
 - on $\mathbf{m}_{ll} \mathbf{SR}$ and low $\mathbf{m}_{jj} \mathbf{CR}$
- Differential cross section on \mathbf{m}_{ll} , \mathbf{m}_{T} , \mathbf{m}_{jj} , $N_{gap \, jet}$, ξ_{j3}







 AQGC limit computed with fit on mll reoptimized, clipping method on on limits

Limits set on 2D operators pairs

	f_{MO}/Λ^4	Exp.	[-3.9, 3.8]	-64 at 0.9 TeV, 40 at 1.0 TeV
	JM0/1	Obs.	[-4.1, 4.1]	-140 at 0.7 TeV, 117 at 0.8 TeV
	$f_{\rm res}/\Lambda^4$	Exp.	[-6.3, 6.6]	-25.5 at 1.6 TeV, 31 at 1.5 TeV
	J_{M1}/T	Obs.	[-6.8, 7.0]	-45 at 1.4 TeV, 54 at 1.3 TeV
q_3	£ / A 4	Exp.	[-9.3, 8.8]	-33 at 1.8 TeV, 29.1 at 1.8 TeV
10	JM7/T	Obs.	[-9.8, 9.5]	-39 at 1.7 TeV, 42 at 1.7 TeV
f_1	£ 114	Exp.	[-5.5, 5.7]	-94 at 0.8 TeV, 122 at 0.7 TeV
Ē	J_{S02}/Λ	Obs.	[-5.9, 5.9]	-
J2	£ 114	Exp.	[-22.0, 22.5]	-
fa	J_{S1}/Λ	Obs.	[-23.5, 23.6]	-
70	£ 114	Exp.	[-0.34, 0.34]	-3.2 at 1.2 TeV, 4.9 at 1.1 TeV
J_4	$J_{\rm T0}/T$	Obs.	[-0.36, 0.36]	-7.4 at 1.0 TeV, 12.4 at 0.9 TeV
<i>a</i> .	£ 144	Exp.	[-0.158, 0.174]	-0.32 at 2.6 TeV, 0.44 at 2.4 TeV
94	J_{T1}/T	Obs.	[-0.174, 0.186]	-0.38 at 2.5 TeV, 0.49 at 2.4 TeV
	£ 114	Exp.	[-0.56, 0.70]	-2.60 at 1.7 TeV, 10.3 at 1.2 TeV
	JT_2/T_1	Obs.	[-0.63, 0.74]	-

 $[T_{e}W^{-4}]$

Coefficient Type No unitarisation cut-off Lower, upper limit at the respective unitarity bound

 T_{AV}



Same sign WW

STDM-2018-32

 AQGC limit computed with fit on mll reoptimized, clipping method on on limits

Limits set on 2D operators pairs

 M0-M1, M0-M7, M1-M7, S1-S02, T0-T1, T0-T2 and T1-T2



Coefficient	Туре	No unitarisation cut-off [TeV ⁻⁴]	Lower, upper limit at the respective unitarity bound $[\text{TeV}^{-4}]$
£ 114	Exp.	[-3.9, 3.8]	-64 at 0.9 TeV, 40 at 1.0 TeV
J_{M0}/Λ	Obs.	[-4.1, 4.1]	-140 at 0.7 TeV, 117 at 0.8 TeV
£ 114	Exp.	[-6.3, 6.6]	-25.5 at 1.6 TeV, 31 at 1.5 TeV
J_{M1}/Λ^{-1}	Obs.	[-6.8, 7.0]	-45 at 1.4 TeV, 54 at 1.3 TeV
£ 114	Exp.	[-9.3, 8.8]	-33 at 1.8 TeV, 29.1 at 1.8 TeV
J_{M7}/Λ^{-1}	Obs.	[-9.8, 9.5]	-39 at 1.7 TeV, 42 at 1.7 TeV
£ 114	Exp.	[-5.5, 5.7]	-94 at 0.8 TeV, 122 at 0.7 TeV
J_{S02}/Λ^{-1}	Obs.	[-5.9, 5.9]	-
£ 114	Exp.	[-22.0, 22.5]	-
J_{S1}/T	Obs.	[-23.5, 23.6]	-
£ 114	Exp.	[-0.34, 0.34]	-3.2 at 1.2 TeV, 4.9 at 1.1 TeV
$J_{\rm T0}/\Lambda$	Obs.	[-0.36, 0.36]	-7.4 at 1.0 TeV, 12.4 at 0.9 TeV
£ 114	Exp.	[-0.158, 0.174]	-0.32 at 2.6 TeV, 0.44 at 2.4 TeV
J_{T1}/Λ	Obs.	[-0.174, 0.186]	-0.38 at 2.5 TeV, 0.49 at 2.4 TeV
£ 114	Exp.	[-0.56, 0.70]	-2.60 at 1.7 TeV, 10.3 at 1.2 TeV
J_{T2}/Λ	Obs.	[-0.63, 0.74]	-





Same sign WW

- Doubly charged Higgs boson (H⁺⁺) can decay to W+W+ final states
- **Georgi-Machacek** model theorize such Higgs

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^0 & \xi^+ & \chi^{++} \\ \chi^- & \xi^0 & \chi^+ \\ \chi^{--} & \xi^- & \chi^{0*} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi^+ \\ \Phi^0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

CAP 2024

Same sign WW

- Doubly charged Higgs boson (H⁺⁺) can decay to W+W+ final states
- **Georgi-Machacek** model theorize such Higgs
- Limit on **Branching ratio** and $sin(\theta)$
- Excess largest at 450 GeV with local significance of 3.3 standard deviation

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^0 & \xi^+ & \chi^{++} \\ \chi^- & \xi^0 & \chi^+ \\ \chi^{--} & \xi^- & \chi^{0*} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi^+ \\ \Phi^0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

33

3 New first observations: Wγγ_[1], WZγ_[2] and WWW_[3] by ATLAS

- Competitive limits set on EFT aQGC operators with **ZYY**^[4], **Wy**^[5] and **SSWW**^[6] analysis by ATLAS
- Result in agreement with SM

Key points

- Limit on doubly charged Higgs production set and up to 3.3 local standard deviation with SSWW^[6] analysis by ATLAS
- New result to come with the ongoing Run 3
- Not covered in this talk
 - $Z(vv)\gamma_{[7]} WZ_{[8]}, Z(ll)y_{[9]}, WW_{[10][11][12]}, ZZ (4lepton)_{[13][14]}$ [15] production















Thanks





References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration (2023). Observation of $W\gamma\gamma$ triboson production in proton-proton collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV with the ATLAS detector. CERN.
- [2] ATLAS Collaboration (2023). Observation of WZ γ production in pp collisions \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV with the ATLAS detector. CERN.
- [3] ATLAS Collaboration (2022). Observation of WWW Production in pp Collisions at √s = 13 TeV with the ATLAS Detector. Phys. Rev. Lett., 129, 061803.
- [4] ATLAS Collaboration (2022). Measurement of $Z\gamma\gamma$ production in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV with the ATLAS detector. CERN.
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration (2024). Fiducial and differential cross-section measurements of electroweak Wγjj production in pp collisions at s√=13 TeV with the ATLAS detector. CERN
- [6] ATLAS Collaboration(2023). Measurement and interpretation of same-sign W boson pair production in association with two jets in pp collisions at s√=13 TeV with the ATLAS detector. CERN
- [7] ATLAS Collaboration (2022). Measurement of electroweak $Z(\nu\nu^{-})\gamma_{jj}$ production and limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector. CERN
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration (2024). Measurements of electroweak W±Z boson pair production in association with two jets in pp collisions at s√=13 TeV with the ATLAS detector. CERN
- [9] ATLAS Collaboration (2019). Measurement of the $Z(\rightarrow \ell + \ell -)\gamma$ production cross-section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector. CERN
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration (2020). Observation of photon-induced W+W- production in pp collisions at √s=13 TeV using the ATLAS detector. CERN
- [11] ATLAS Collaboration (2021). Measurements of W+W-+≥1 jet production cross-sections in pp collisions at √s=13 TeV with the ATLAS detector. CERN
- [12] ATLAS Collaboration (2024). Observation of electroweak production of W+W- in association with jets in proton-proton collisions at √s=13 TeV with the ATLAS Detector . CERN
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration (2021). Measurements of differential cross-sections in four-lepton events in 13 TeV proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector. CERN
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration (2023). Differential cross-section measurements of the production of four charged leptons in association with two jets using the ATLAS detector . CERN
- [15] ATLAS Collaboration (2023). Evidence of pair production of longitudinally polarised vector bosons and study of CP properties in $ZZ \rightarrow 4l$ events with the ATLAS detector at $s\sqrt{=13}$ TeV. CERN

WWW

STDM-2019-09



- WWW Observation at 8.0 (5.4) standard deviation (expected) $\sigma_{\text{measured}} = 820 \pm 100 \text{ (stat)} \pm 80 \text{ (syst)} \text{ fb}$ (Within 2.6 σ of theory prediction)
- Using at least two lepton with 139 fb⁻¹ at 13TeV:
 - 2 lepton + 2 jets SR : using same-charge lepton
 - 40 GeV< m_{ll} <400 GeV
 - 80< m_{ll} < 100 to remove Z(ll)
 - 3 leptons SR : no same flavour opposite sign lepton
- Fit method :
 - Separate BDT for 2l and 3l, simultaneous fit for both BDT distribution and mll distribution for the three WZ CR
 - Normalization for WWW, WZ+0jet, WZ+1jet and WZ+2jets

• Background treatment :





- estimated with simulated events normalized with data using the same flavour opposite sign CR
- WZ+jets in 2lep and ZZ+jets in 3l SR
 reduced with veto on additional loose lepton
- Non-prompt lepton from hadron

data driven method

Y→e background (Wy/Zy)
 evaluated via data driven method







• **First measurement of WZ**γ cross section at 6.3 (5.0) standard deviation observed (expected)

 $\sigma_{measured}$ = 2.01 \pm 0.3 (stat) \pm 0.16 fb (within 1.5 σ of theory prediction) $\sigma_{_{Theory}}$ = 1.5 \pm 0.06 fb

• Using l'lly channel one same flavor opposite charge pair with 140fb⁻¹ at 13TeV

 $|\mathbf{m}_{e(w)\gamma} - \mathbf{m}_{Z}| > 10 \text{GeV}$

 $m_{l(z)l(z)}$ > 81 GeV for FSR reduction

Profile likelihood fit of the 4 e/ μ final states (3 bins, **1SR** and 2CR)

• Background treatment:

- j→γ background

Reduced by $m_{e(w)\gamma}$ selection

Data driven fake rate estimate in looser identification/isolation selection CR using Z+jets sample

- j→l background

CAP 2024

Data driven fake rate estimate in looser identification/isolation selection CR using dijet sample

- ZZy and ZZ(e→γ)

normalized with dedicated CR







• First measurement of Wγγ at 5.6 (5.6) standard

deviation observed (expected) $\sigma_{\text{measured}} = 12.2 \pm 1.0^{(stat)^{+1.9}_{-1.8}}$ (syst) ± 0.1 (lumi) fb in agreement with the SM prediction

• Using e/μ channel with 140fb⁻¹ at 13 TeV

B-jet veto and $E_T^{miss} > 40$ GeV selection

4 bin likelihood fit (using topCR, topVR and SR)



• Background treatment:

- j→γ main background

2D (leading/sub-leading) template fit of photon isolation energy in data

- e→v

Data driven fake rate estimate in $Z \rightarrow ee/ey CR$

- Top background
 - Reduced via b veto
 - Dedicated CR (with >= 1 b-jet) for fit constrain
 - Low E_Tmiss region (with >= 1 b-jet) for validation





Fiducial cross section

 σ_{measured} = 2.45 ± 0.20 (stat) ± 0.22(syst) ± 0.04(lumi) fb measurement with 12 % precision

• Using e/μ channel with 139fb⁻¹ at 13TeV

 $(m_{II}+min(m_{II\gamma,1},m_{II\gamma,2}))>2M_z$ for FSR contribution removal Differential cross-sections (6 kinematic observables:

 E^{y1}_{T} , E^{y2}_{T} , p_{T}^{II} , $p_{T}^{II\gamma\gamma}$, $m_{\gamma\gamma}$, $m_{II\gamma\gamma}$)





• Background treatment:

− $j \rightarrow \gamma$ background (main background)

data driven fake rate estimate using $Z\gamma\text{+jet}$ and Z+jet

- $tt\gamma\gamma$ with leptonic decay from top quark (second contribution)

Normalized using CR with opposite sign e/μ pair

- $Z\gamma + \gamma$ and $Z + \gamma\gamma$ from pile-up

Uncertainties computed via signal simulation reweighed to pile-up background $p_{\scriptscriptstyle T}$ spectra

 $- e \rightarrow y$

Modelled by ZZ and WZy simulation

- $Z(II)H(\gamma\gamma)$

Estimated from simulation



<u>STDM-2018-35</u>



• EW and inclusive cross section measurement

- XS EW = 0.368 ± 0.037 (stat) ± 0.059 (syst) ± 0.003 (lumi)
- XS incl = 1.462 ± 0.063 (stat) ± 0.118 (syst) ± 0.012 (lumi)
- Differential measurement on $\boldsymbol{m}_{\!\!T}{}^{\!\!WZ}\!, \Delta\varphi_{\!\!WZ}$ and Sum $P_{\!\!T}{}^{\!\!1}$
- Using 3 lepton with 1 same flavor opposite charge pair with 140 fb⁻¹ at 13 TeV
 - veto on 4 lepton with loose criteria
 - SR m_{jj} >500 GeV and b-jet veto
- ANN to separate EW and QCD without biais in m_{jj} and other BDT variable
- Fit on **BDT score** 2 category 2 jet more than 2, on the BDT b-CR and the m_{jj} in ZZ-CR

Background treatment:

– ZZ and tt+V :

Constrained with 2CR ZZ-CR (at least 4 lep) and b-CR (at least 1 b-jet), modeled with MC

- Reducible Z+j, Zy, tt, Wt and WW

data driven method inversion of efficiency and misidentification of promt and fake lepton



Z(vv)γjj STDM-2018-59

- First measurement Z(vv)y observed (expected) 3.2 (3.7) σ
 - Observed (expected) 6.3 (6.6) σ when combined with previous analysis
 - Agreement with the standard model
- Using Higt Met and High photon pT Lepton veto to remove Z(ll)yjj and W(lv)yjj
- BDT to separate signal to bkg
- Max likelihood on BDT distribution in SR and mjj fit in 3 CR QCD CR1/2 and Wy CR





- Background treatment:
 - Main bkg QCD Z(vv)γjj process (~36 % of SR)
 Estimated via fit in MC to data in CR
 - W(lv) QCD (25%) and EW (7%), ttγjj (6%)
 Fixed with simultaneous fit
 - Mis-identification estimated from data
 - $e \rightarrow \gamma$, MET mis-measurement, $j \rightarrow \gamma$

Z(vv)γjj (aQGC) STDM-2018-59



• **aQGC computed** in bin of SR with additional E_T^{γ} selection, **clipping technique** used to restore unitarity



CAP 2024

Coefficient	E _c [TeV]	Observed limit [TeV ⁻⁴]	Expected limit [TeV ⁻⁴]
f_{T0}/Λ^4	1.7	$[-8.7, 7.1] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-8.9, 7.3] \times 10^{-1}$
f_{T5}/Λ^4	2.4	$[-3.4, 4.2] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-3.5, 4.3] \times 10^{-1}$
f_{T8}/Λ^4	1.7	$[-5.2, 5.2] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-5.3, 5.3] \times 10^{-1}$
f_{T9}/Λ^4	1.9	$[-7.9, 7.9] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-8.1, 8.1] \times 10^{-1}$
f_{M0}/Λ^4	0.7	$[-1.6, 1.6] \times 10^2$	$[-1.5, 1.5] \times 10^2$
f_{M1}/Λ^4	1.0	$[-1.6, 1.5] \times 10^2$	$[-1.4, 1.4] \times 10^2$
f_{M2}/Λ^4	1.0	$[-3.3, 3.2] \times 10^{1}$	$[-3.0, 3.0] \times 10^{1}$







• EW and inclusive cross section measurement

• Differential measurement on \mathbf{m}_{T}^{WZ} , $\Delta \varphi_{WZ}$ and Sum P_{T}^{l}

- Using 3 lepton with 1 same flavor opposite charge pair
 - veto on 4 lepton with loose criteria
 - SR m_{ii} >500 GeV and b-jet veto
- Fit on **BDT score** 2 category 2 jet and more than 2 jet, on the BDT b-CR and the m_{ii} in ZZ-CR









- STDM-2018-35
- Limit set on AQGC with 4 bin inBDT and 5 mTWZ \rightarrow 20 bins, limit at unitary cut-off
- 2D limits on interval coefficient for T0 and T1

	Expected	Observed
	$[\text{TeV}^{-4}]$	$[\text{TeV}^{-4}]$
$f_{ m T0}/\Lambda^4$	[-7.0, 7.0]	[-1.5, 1.6]
$f_{ m T1}/\Lambda^4$.	$[-1.1, \ 1.0]$	[-0.7, 0.6]
$f_{ m T2}/\Lambda^4$	[-12, 6]	[-2.4, 1.8]
$f_{ m M0}/\Lambda^4$	[-60, 60]	[-12, 12]
$f_{ m M1}/\Lambda^4$.	[-32, 32]	[-15, 15]
$f_{ m M7}/\Lambda^4$.	[-30, 30]	[-15, 15]
$f_{ m S02}/\Lambda^4$	[-41, 41]	[-18, 18]
$f_{ m S1}/\Lambda^4$		





