

Incorporating Advances in Machine Learning for Reconstruction in T2K and Super-Kamiokande Experiments

Félix Cormier 28/05/2024 CAP Congress - PPD Session

Solar **Neutrinos** Atmospheric **Neutrinos**

- SuperK is a Water Cherenkov detector
	- Large 50 kton water tank lined with PMTs optimal for detecting neutrino interactions
	- Acts as far detector for T2K long-baseline experiment
	- Can also detect solar, atmospheric or supernova neutrinos
	- Cherenkov light from neutrino interaction products can lead to particle identification, reconstruction

The WatChMaL Collaboration

- The **Wat**er **Ch**erenkov **Ma**chine **L**earning Collaboration is a crossexperiment group
- Common data generation, pre-processing and training frameworks are shared by members
- Currently have members in experiments
	- T2K/SuperK
	- Water Cherenkov Test Experiment (WCTE)
	- Intermediate Water Cherenkov Detector (IWCD)

Friday by B. Jamieson

• Hyper-Kamiokande

Water Cherenkov data

- As products of neutrino interactions travel in water, they produce Cherenkov rings
	- These are imaged by the PMTs
- Products are often electrons (muons) from *νe* () interactions *νμ*
	- Electrons will produce **larger rings** due to multiple interactions and showering
	- Muons (and π^+) will often have **thinner rings**
- Data is in the form of integrated charge, time of individual PMTs

 $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$

- Data generation is important for training deep learning networks in order to avoid biases
- We generate data using official SuperK simulation software, **SKDETSIM**
	- Generate samples of electrons, muons and π^+
		- **Energy is uniformly sampled** from 0 to 1 GeV above Cherenkov threshold
		- Uniform in vertex position & direction

Machine Learning for event reconstruction

- Currently high energy event reconstruction in SuperK is done using the **fiTQun** algorithm
	- This algorithm depends on likelihood minimization, using PMT charges and time to construct the likelihood function
	- Makes assumptions about what the data will look like
	- Calculation complexities make this algorithm difficult to extend to e.g. different particle hypotheses
	- Is very slow to compute hard to scale
- By contrast, machine learning algorithms can learn this complexity by training over simulated data
	- Can e.g. speed up calculations by over order of magnitude

ResNet Architecture

• ResNet

- **•** Convolutional layers over 2D image-like input PMT data & subsequent layers build features
- **•** Residual connections between layers help with vanishing gradients

Figure 2. Residual learning: a building block.

Processing Data for ResNet

- Tests over many Water Cherenkov detectors have shown **ResNet to have better performance** at both classification and regression
- One of the challenges of ResNet is to project 3D cylindrical data from SuperK into a 2D image
- Each PMT is linked to its 3D position in space using a dictionary
	- Each 3D position is then unrolled into a 2D image, with each PMT becoming a pixel

- Electrons and muons can be easily distinguished in most cases
	- Classical algorithms **already have very high accuracy** in distinguishing these events
- Muons and π^+ are more difficult to distinguish
	- Cherenkov rings from the initial particles virtually indistinguishable
	- Algorithm must use subtle pion hadronic interactions to distinguish between the events
	- Increased classification performance could improve T2K muon disappearance results
		- Potential to impact mass hierarchy measurements

Classification Results

- Run a 3-class classification network using ResNet to classify between e , μ , π^+
- Show results for μ (signal) vs. π^+ (background)
- Can scan across all the class outputs to see how background rejection and signal efficiency vary
	- 100x better background rejection at same signal efficiency for μ vs. π^+
	- Currently studies ongoing to understand what the network is learning for μ vs. π^+ that fiTQun did not learn - hadronic interactions of the charged pion?

- An important part of event reconstruction is finding the particle's initial **position**, **direction** and **momentum**
	- Position and direction reconstruction performance can increase efficiency of cuts based on detector location
	- Momentum reconstruction can help reconstruction of initial neutrino energy
- Better resolution in these kinematic variables can have large effects on efficiency during analysis
- Furthermore, better resolution and smaller bias of the reconstruction algorithms can reduce systematic uncertainties

Regression Analysis

- We construct & train 6 individual networks to reconstruct
	- Electron: position, direction, momentum
	- Muon: position, direction, momentum
- To gauge performance of each network, calculate the residuals of the reconstructed against true value
	- For position: look at 3D distance between true and reconstructed
	- For direction: look at angle between true and reconstructed unit vectors
	- For momentum: look at residual percentage: ($p_{true} p_{reco}$)/ p_{true}
- Calculate
	- **• Resolution: 68.3% quantile of the residual**
	- Bias: median of the residual

Regression Results

- Can look at position along longitudinal, tranverse direction with respect to true particle direction
	- See that getting better transverse resolution very hard
- Can also analyze regression results as function of underlying variables
	- Visible energy energy above Cherenkov threshold
	- Towall distance from initial vertex to detector wall, along true particle direction
- Overall: **10-100% improvement** in resolution depending on particle/ variable

Other WatChMaL Results

- As part of the WatChMaL Collaboration, applied Deep Learning techniques for Super-Kamiokande event reconstruction
- Use the patterns of PMT charge and time to learn underlying phase space for both classification and regression
	- Classification between electrons, muons and pions shows great improvement over classical reconstruction methods
	- Regression for vertex position, direction and momentum show **10-100% improvement** in resolution, and large reductions in bias for most cases
- WatChMaL analyses on other Water Cherenkov detectors ongoing, also showing good performance
- Next steps will include studying the role of adversarial training on systematic uncertainty reduction

Thank you!

We acknowledge the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). Nous remercions le Conseil de recherches en sciences naturelles et en génie du Canada (CRSNG) de son soutien.

Backup

19

Classification training

- Classification returns a score between 0 and 1 for each class (Softmax)
	- Electron/muon/pi+
	- 3 scores per event which add up to 1
- Here can see muon/pi+ separation

May 28th 2024 Félix Cormier - CAP Congress (PPD) 22

Training - ResNet

- Based on prior HyperK experience & r solution WCSIM, the **ResNet** ML architecture p¹⁰⁰ performance
- ResNet is a CNN which requires input data to the projection is a to be projected to a to be projected to a to 2D map
	- See examples of this projection on the plosion $e^{i\theta}$
	- Decision on how to unroll cylinder arbitrar $\frac{40}{100}$ with this

Double cover & Transforms

• One way which was found to work well to remove effects due to arbitrary choice of cylinder unrolling is double cover padding

- Another augmentation to the data is transforms, where we flip horizontally, vertically and do a front/back reflection
	- Allows us to artificially inflate data, leading to higher stats

Position & Direction Regression

- Loss per iteration plots for position and direction
	- Each iteration is a batch which is \sim 100 events
	- Plots corresponds to ~10 epochs
- Shown is training loss and validation loss
	- Best is when validation loss is best yet during training

- Muon/Pi+ Classification performance as function of truth visible energy
	- Truth visible energy defined as initial particle energy over Cherenkov threshold

Electron - Momentum

Electron - Position

ML_Electrons $10⁴$ μ = -0.14 ±0.03 [cm] Quant. = 6.84 ± 0.04 [cm] $10³$ count $10²$ $10¹$ $10⁰$ 10^{-1} -50 50 \circ 100 150 200 -200 -150 -100 true - predicted [cm]

Electron - Position - Towall

600 700 800

Visible Energy [MeV]

 M_L

▲ fiTQun

Electron - Direction

Event Vertex for Angle Axis $10⁵$ fiTQun_Electrons
 $\mu = 1.93 \pm 0.03$ $10⁴$ Quant. = 2.96 ± 0.03 $10³$ $\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 10 \\ 2 \end{matrix}$ 10² 10^{1} $10⁰$

Event Vertex for Angle Axis

6

10

12

8

true - predicted

14

 10^{-1}

 \circ

 $\overline{2}$

 $\overline{4}$

Muon - momentum

Muon - position

Event Vertex for Global Axis

Event Vertex for Longitudinal Axis ML Muons μ = -0.29 ±0.07 [cm] Quant. = 9.01 ± 0.07 [cm]

 $10⁵$

count

Event Vertex for Transverse Axis

Muon - position - towall

Muon - position - visible energy

 ML

fiTQun

ML

fiTQun

May 28th 2024 Félix Cormier - CAP Congress (PPD)

700

800

Muon - direction

