# **The MATHUSLA Experiment**

### **Steven Robertson**

Institute of Particle Physics, Canada and University of Alberta

steven.robertson@ualberta.ca

*The International Joint Workshop on the Standard Model and Beyond 2024 UNSW, Sydney, Australia Dec 9-13, 2024*







- Why Long-Lived Particles?
- MATHUSLA concept
- MATHSULA-40 detector design
- Ongoing research and development activities
- Expected physics reach
- Prospects

## **Long-lived particles**

Particles have long lifetimes due to inaccessibility of states into which they can readily decay (i.e. due to kinematics and/or couplings)

- Examples exist already within the SM, e.g. muons  $\tau_{\text{H}} \sim 2.2 \text{ }\mu\text{s}$
- Big Bang nucleosynthesis limit on long-lived new particles is  $\sim$ 0.1s (c $\tau \sim$ 10<sup>7</sup> m)

**Various theories of beyond-SM physics (e.g. supersymmetry) "naturally" include particles that can be long lived:** 

**→ Reasonable to expect that beyond-SM particles may also have long lifetimes, particularly if they are light or have "feeble" couplings to the SM**



# **Searching for LLPs**



Neutral long-lived particles (LLPs) cannot be directly detected in experiments

- Instead, the SM decay daughters must be detected and the LLP reconstructed based on the displaced decay vertex
- $\cdot$  If the decay length  $c\tau$  is too long, the decay can occur outside of the detector fiducial volume
- "Missing energy" searches are possible, but these signatures can be challenging due to resolution, background, and trigger issues

LLP searches have been identified by the [HL-]LHC community as a growing priority

- High centre of mass energy gives access to heavy states that may be coupled to LLPs (e.g. Higgs)
- Very high luminosity (HL-LHC)

#### **However, the LHC could be making LLPs that are effectively invisible to its main detectors**



## **Concept**



Proposal for a large LLP detector on the surface adjacent to one of the LHC interaction regions (CMS)

- 40m x 40m x 16m instrumented decay volume to detect decay daughters of LLPs produced by LHC interactions
- Array of 16 10m x 10m modules composed of 6 layers of tracking detectors, plus 2 layers of floor "veto" detectors
- Back wall tracking layers to improve





Back wall tracking layers to improve<br>tracking acceptance<br> $\frac{1}{10}$  Track multiplicity of potential signals depends on the LLP mass

- Reconstruct 4D decay vertices of upwardgoing LLPs
- Use timing and hit position information to reject LHC and cosmic ray backgrounds

Original (100m x 100m x 25m) MATHUSLA proposal recently re-scoped for cost reasons (new design referred to as "MATHUSLA-40")

# **Backgrounds**

### Primary physics target (high multiplicity DV) is essentially background-free

Secondary physics target of lowmass, low multiplicity LLP decays have backgrounds that need to be carefully studied

#### **LHC muons:**

- Muons with  $E > 40$  GeV can penetrate rock shielding, but do not generally form vertices
- Delta rays and rare decays can be rejected based on vertex topology

#### **GeV-scale atmospheric neutrinos:**

- Scattering within the decay volume result in a few events per year
- Can be effectively vetoed using time-offlight track measurements



#### **Cosmic rays:**

- $\sim$ 300 kHz flux to entire detector; rejected by directionality (timing) and topology
- Cosmic ray nucleons can undergo inelastic backscatter in detector floor
- Results in O(100) non-relativistic K $_s^{\circ}$ (over life of experiment) traveling into MATHUSLA volume and decaying into charged particles that could reach the ceiling trackers.
- Can be characterized with beam off, and distinctive low momentum signature

### **Location**

An appropriate site is available adjacent to the CMS surface buildings at CERN Point 5 (Cessy, France)

- CERN owned land, green-field site (currently leased as farmland)
- MATHUSLA decay volume would be located ~100m "as the mole digs" from the CMS IP.
- Substantial shielding from LHC, particularly for LHC muons





Local ordinances restrict roof height to 17m for the surface building, limiting vertical extent of detector

excavation possible, but expensive

## **Detector concept**

40m x 40m array of 16 "towers" of low-cost plastic scintillator bars

- floor and forward "veto" layers to reject punch-through LHC muons and CR back-scatters
- scintillator panels surround support columns to veto interactions in material





- Detector access possible via catwalks between towers
- Removable floor scintillator panels to access floor walkways
- Serviced by (low profile) overhead rail crane and/or floor-lifts

# **Extruded plastic scintillator**

Extruded scintillator based on commercial polystyrene pellets with added dopants

- MUCH cheaper than "cast" scintillator
- Intrinsic light yield comparable to cast scintillator, but poorer optical quality ( i.e. attenuation length O(10cm) )
- wavelength shifting optical fibre used to bring signals to photodetectors

Extruded plastic scintillator is primary detector element

Light brought to the bar ends via blue-green wavelength shifting optical fiber (WLSF)



#### **Fermilab extrusion facility**



- **Primary dopant:** ~1% PPO 2,5-diphenyloxazole
- **Secondary dopant: ~0.02% POPOP** (wavelength shifter) 1,4-bis(5-phenylxazole-2-yl)benzene
- $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  reflective coating co-extruded
- Various profiles can be extruded, with hole(s) for inserting WLSF



## **Bar modules**

Very simple detector technology based on extruded plastic scintillator

3.5cm x 1cm x 2.35m extruded scintillator bars, threaded with 1.5mm WLSF



32 bars per module:

- ~5m WLSF looped through two bars, with SiPMs on both ends
- Absolute and differential timing from the two end of each fibre
- Electronic readout only on one side of bar assembly





## **Tower assemblies**

Bar modules can be mechanically connected edge-wise to create quarter-planes of ~9m x 2.35m of active detector area

• 8 bar modules per quarter-plane







## **Performance**

Large detector volume means material costs are a limiting factor, hence desirable to use:

- smallest number of electronic readout channels (i.e. widest/longest scintillator bars)
- thinnest feasible scintillator bars
- smallest diameter WLSF





Hit efficiency and timing are key performance metrics:

- **Light yield**
- WI SF based on K-27 fluor (e.g. Y-11) are not fast enough, given the typical light yield in the MATHUSLA design

# **Ongoing R&D activities**

- Studies of new WLSF formulations with higher yield, shorter decay times and longer attenuation lengths
	- Light yield impacts timing resolution (not efficiency), and reduces material costs
- Cost/performance optimization for SiPMs
	- SiPM performance not a limiting factor
	- Define QA/QC criteria





Detailed GEANT4 simulation studies with robust pattern recognition/ track finding (Kalman filter) and vertexing

"Global" performance optimization of extrusion, WLSF and SiPMs still to be performed (detailed technical design)

# **Tracking test-stands**

Ongoing testing of prototype scintillator bar modules in two large cosmic ray hodoscopes

- Tracking with four-layer x-y arrays with looped WLSF and 80cm layer spacing
- Scintillator bars, fiber and SiPMs with close to MATHUSLA nominal specifications



**UVic**: Full-length (~5m) WLSF routed to single 64-SiPM array with CAEN readout system



**UofT**: Individual SiPMs mounted on front face of scintillator bars

- Custom preamps mounted on bar module
- More similar to final MATHUSLA design, but different WLSF configuration



## **Tracking test-stands**

### Recent milestones:

- MATHUSLA benchmark 1ns timing performance achieved using FNAL 4cm x 1cm extrusion and 1.5mm St. Gobain BCF-92XL fibre
- O(10cm) position resolution from timing





• Work ongoing to characterize tracking parameters (i.e. residuals) in UVic test stand

# **New physics sensitivity**

### MATHUSLA-40 Benchmark analysis:  $h \rightarrow XX$  LLP, with  $X \rightarrow$  hadrons

- Backgrounds (in order of severity):
	- Cosmic ray inelastic interactions, (most importantly protons and neutrons): simulated using PARMA
	- LHC muons: MadGraph + Pythia for EW & bb production, propagate through rock to detector in GEANT4
	- Atmospheric neutrinos: simulate interaction with detector material, support structure and air in GENIE



- LHC muons and atmospheric neutrinos can be completely eliminated by signal selection cuts, with typical signal efficiency  $\sim$  50%
- MATHUSLA-40 would significantly extend HL-LHC reach for LLPs

# **New physics sensitivity**

Dark glueballs produced in exotic Higgs decays:

• LLP signal arising from the production of all meta-stable dark glueball species



Additional scenarios studied based on original MATHUSLA-100 concept

To be updated using new geometry and simulation framework

## **Prospects**

Updated Conceptual Design Report for MATHUSLA-40 detector is almost finalized

• Hopefully, will be publicly available soon!



- Detailed GEANT4 simulation of realistic MATHUSLA-40 detector
- Full physics simulations with robust and realistic background estimates for benchmark physics models
- Detector R&D ongoing using large test stands in Canada; have demonstrated required performance capabilities



### **Extra material**



### **References**

John Paul Chou, David Curtin, and H.J. Lubatti. New detectors to explore the lifetime frontier. Physics Leters B, 767:29–36, Apr 2017.

Cristano Alpigiani et al. A Leter of Intent for MATHUSLA: a dedicated displaced vertex detector above ATLAS or CMS, 2018, arXiv:1811.00927.

David Curtin and Michael E. Peskin. Analysis of long-lived particle decays with the MATHUSLA detector. Physical Review D, 97(1), Jan 2018.

David Curtin et al. Long-lived particles at the energy frontier: the MATHUSLA physics case. Reports on Progress in Physics, 82(11):116201, Oct 2019.

Imran Alkhatib. Geometric Optimization of the MATHUSLA Detector, 2019, arXiv:1909.05896.

Cristano Alpigiani. Exploring the lifetme and cosmic fronter with the MATHUSLA detector, 2020, arXiv: 2006.00788.

M. Alidra et al. The MATHUSLA Test Stand, 2020, arXiv:2005.02018. Jared Barron and David Curtn, On the Origin of Long-Lived Partcles, 2020, arXiv:2007.05538.

Cristano Alpigiani et al. An Update to the Leter of Intent for MATHUSLA: Search for Long-Lived Particles at the HL-LHC, 2020, arXiv: 2009.01693.

## **Detector specifications**









### **Neutral Naturalness**



Reach of the 40m MATHUSLA design in a simplified parameter space of Neutral Naturalness, generated using the dark glueball Monte Carlo from [18]. Dark glueballs, the lightest of which has mass m0, are produced in exotic Higgs decays which undergo dark Lund-String hadronization. The effective higgs coupling to dark gluons, which also allows glueballs to decay, is generated by neutral top partners in the Folded SUSY [46] and Fraternal Twin Higgs [16] models, with masses indicated on the horizontal axes. The solid blue curve shows the reach for 8 decays in the MATHUSLA decay volume, corresponding to the exclusion limit for 50% reconstruction efficiency expected for near-background-free searches. The dashed curves represent theoretical uncertainties in this reach from unknown aspects of non-perturbative dark Nf = 0 QCD.

# **Physics objectives**

MATHUSLA can search for two general categories of physics signatures:

- Hadronically decaying LLPs ranging from a few GeV to TeV scale
	- High multiplicity final states are relatively easy to vertex and distinguish from backgrounds
	- Factor of 1000 improvement over LHC for LLPs with mass < ~100GeV *(LHC searches background limited and are difficult to trigger)*
- $\cdot$  LLPs with mass less than a few GeV (any decay mode)
	- Typically low multiplicity (i.e. 2 tracks) final states
	- Sensitivity **very dependent on detector geometry and performance** due to both signal efficiency and background rejection requirements

Any production process with σ>1fb can give a signal. Sensitivity to multi-TeV scales:





### Second category provides the main benchmarks for detector design







### **CODEX-b**



Dec 8, 2024 The MATHUSLA Experiment Steven Robertson 25