# Astrometry, gravitational waves and synergies with Pulsar Timing Arrays

The International Joint Workshop on the Standard Model and Beyond 2024



#### **Ameek Malhotra**

Based on work in progress with Marisol Cruz, Gianmassimo Tasinato and Ivonne Zavala



### PTA SGWB detection SGWB and Astrometry Forecasts with PTA + Astrometry Summary



### **Stochastic Gravitational Wave Background**

Independent sources which are not individually resolvable lead to an incoherent superposition, large number of such sources -> SGWB



### **SGWB** detection

#### **The cross-correlation method**

Suppose we have the data from two different detectors



Now, if we build the cross-correlation

$$C_{IJ} \equiv d_I d_J = h_I h_J$$
$$\langle C_{IJ} \rangle = \langle h_I h_J \rangle + \langle \eta \rangle$$

 $d_I = h_I + n_I$  $d_J = h_J + n_J$ 

 $+ n_I h_J + n_J h_I + n_I n_J$  $n_I h_J + n_J h_I \rangle + \langle n_I n_J \rangle$ 



### **SGWB and PTAs**



#### **GW** induce correlated deviations from expected time of arrival across pulsars

 $\langle d_I(f) d_J(f) \rangle \propto \gamma_{IJ}(f) S_h(f)$ 

Geometric factor that depends on the relative positions of the 2 pulsars



### SGWB detection

### **Overlap function: Pulsar Timing**

### The Hellings-Downs curve: PTA response to isotropic SGWB

$$\gamma(\theta_{ij}) = 1 + \frac{\cos \theta_{ij}}{3} + 2(1 - \cos \theta_{ij}) \ln \left(\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{ij}}{2}\right)$$



### **News from PTAs**

Strong evidence for SGWB detected by NANOGrav, EPTA, PPTA, InPTA, CPTA

HD correlations detected with ~  $2-4\sigma$  significance



IPTA joint analysis, arxiv: 2309.00693

6



$$S_h(f) = \frac{A^2}{2f} \left(\frac{f}{f_{\text{ref}}}\right)^{2\alpha}, \quad \gamma = 3 - 2\alpha$$

8

### What comes next?



Image: <u>GWplotter.com</u>





### What comes next?



Image: <u>GWplotter.com</u>





### What comes next?



Image: <u>GWplotter.com</u>



#### **Precision astrometry with a large** number of stars as a SGWB detector

[see Book, Flanagan (2010) for a review]

Gaia has  $N \sim 10^9$  observed over 10 years with  $\mathcal{O}(mas)$  precision. Already used to put constraints on low-frequency SGWB [Darling et al. 2018; Aoyama et al. 2021; Jaraba et al. (2023)]









More Gaia data is coming in the next few years + future experiments (Roman, Theia...)

Bellido et al. 2021]



Forecasts for Theia  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-10})$ , much better angular resolution and lot more stars [J. García-

### **GW** induced deflection

#### GWs affect the observed position of the star

For distant sc

 $\delta n^{i}(t, \vec{n}) = \mathcal{R}_{ikl}(\vec{n}, \vec{p}) h_{ij}(t)|_{\text{earth}}$ 





purces, 
$$D \gg \lambda_{\text{GW}}$$
  
,  $\mathcal{R}_{ikl}(\vec{n}, \vec{p}) = \frac{n_k}{2} \left[ \frac{(n_i + p_i)n_l}{1 + \vec{n} \cdot \vec{p}} - \delta_{il} \right]$ 

[Book, Flanagan (2010)]

#### **Correlated deflections**

SGWB PSD

 $\langle \delta n^i \delta q^j \rangle \propto \int df \frac{S_h(f)}{S_h(f)} \frac{H_{ij}^{(0)}(\vec{n},\vec{q})}{H_{ij}^{(0)}(\vec{n},\vec{q})}$ 

Geometry dependent correlation

$$H_{ij}^{(0)}(\vec{n},\vec{q}) = \frac{\pi}{3(1-y)^2} \left(1 - 8y + 7y^2 - 6y^2 \ln q\right)$$
$$\times \left[(2-2y)\delta_{ij} - n_i n_j - q_i q_j - q_i - q_i$$

$$y \equiv \frac{1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{q}}{2}$$





#### **Cross-correlations**



#### The angular deflections and timing residuals induced by the SGWB are correlated

$$\frac{y)n_i - x_i}{(1 - y)} \left(2y - 2y^2 + 3y^2 \ln(y)\right)$$

#### **Cross-correlations**

The angular deflections and timing residuals induced by the SGWB are correlated



$$\frac{y)n_i - x_i}{(1 - y)} \left( 2y - 2y^2 + 3y^2 \ln(y) \right)$$

#### **Can cross-correlating Astrometry with PTA help?**

#### The setup

Forecasts with PTA at current sensitivity precision and  $10^6$  stars.

Joint Gaussian likelihood in the timing residuals and angular deflections.

 $-\ln \mathcal{L} \sim (\delta t, \delta \vec{n}) \mathcal{C}^{-1} (\delta t, \delta \vec{n})^T$ 

#### Forecasts with PTA at current sensitivity and an astrometric survey with 0.01 mas



#### **Power-law**

#### ~10 % improvement over current PTA constraints



### **Dipole anisotropy**

Minimum detectable dipole anisotropy relative to monopole ~ 0.05.

Current PTA level ~ 0.1



# Summary



#### PTAs will gather further evidence for the SGWB over the next few years



PTAs will gather further evidence for the SGWB over the next few years Astrometry offers a complementary probe of SGWB in the low-frequency range



PTAs will gather further evidence for the SGWB over the next few years

Astrometry offers a complementary probe of SGWB in the low-frequency range

SGWB

- Cross-correlating PTA and Astrometry data can provide tighter constraints on the



PTAs will gather further evidence for the SGWB over the next few years

Astrometry offers a complementary probe of SGWB in the low-frequency range

SGWB

Future work: how to implement this in practice?

- Cross-correlating PTA and Astrometry data can provide tighter constraints on the

