

The University of Manchester



Science and Technology Facilities Council





Funded by the European Union

European Research Council Established by the European Commission

A Year as a SMARTHEP - adjacent Student

Danielle Wilson-Edwards



Supervisor: Prof. Caterina Doglioni

Co-Supervisor: Prof. Mike Seymour

Introduction





Research Motivation

- As LHC pushes the energy frontier
 - no signs of new physics => searching for new rare processes
 - for example, dark matter
- To observe these rare processes:

Number of Events = Cross-section x Luminosity

- Higher luminosity => more simultaneous proton-proton collisions = **PILEUP**
 - Additional pileup particles complicate searches:
 - can bury signals in high-rate backgrounds
 - can make it difficult to correctly detect and reconstruct unusual jet signatures





Pile-Up Jet Tagging



Towards a Real-time Optimised JVT

- Major improvements to track and vertex reconstruction efficiencies for Run-3 => New and improved offline JVT implemented
 - BUT High-Level Trigger (HLT, or online) jets saw approximately four times less pile up rejection

Goal:

Improve online pileup suppression by augmenting a **neural network** with new track-based input information



Results so far:

Pending sign-off and public approval :)



HLT events are ~0.5% the size of full event => more data can be saved to probe rare processes!



Dark Jet Resonance Search

Target Model -

q

a

Unusual dijet signatures that could arise from a QCD-like dark sector. [arXiv:1712.09279]

 Assume a heavy mediator Z' links dark sector and visible sector Large

le

2.3. SHIPOL

q_d

Consider <u>4 benchmark models</u>..

Compared to the SM, the dark jets have:

- stronger running couplings
- more soft particles (=> more tracks)
- larger jets, due to double hadronization



Graphics by: C. Doglioni, K. Pedro



Dark Jet Resonance Search Analysis Strategy:

ATLAS-CONF-2023-047 arXiv:2311.03944

- Reconstructed Objects: Two Large radius jets (Trimmed LCTopo jets)
- Trigger on Large-R jets
- Preselection cuts: $|\eta(j_{1,2})| < 2.0$ $p_{\mathrm{T}}(j_1) > 500 \text{ GeV}$, $p_{\mathrm{T}}(j_2) > 400 \text{ GeV}$ $m(j_{1,2}) > 50 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\mathrm{JJ}} > 1.3 \text{ TeV}$



Bump Hunting

• To test the **statistical significance** of any localised excesses observed in the data, in a model-independent way:

a Bump Hunter test is performed

(in addition to a full frequentist analysis)

- Scan the mjj distribution using a sliding window of variable width, and calculate the local p-value for each excess
 the probability that the excess arises
 - from the background only hypothesis
 - From the p-value, a significance and Bump-Hunter test statistic are calculated

Conclusion is the same as full statistical analysis:

No significant excesses observed!

