Identifying the many faces of EW jets with energy correlators

> Marc Riembau CERN

3rd October 2023



 \mathcal{L} ?



E

 $\mathcal{L}\,=\,\mathcal{L}_{\rm SM}$



SM

E

 \mathcal{L} ?









What does it mean to produce a Vector of helicity h?



$$\mathcal{A}_{V_h}^{\mathrm{prod}} \ \mathcal{A}_{V_h \to X}^{\mathrm{dec}}$$

It decays, so not a single but a combination of helicities is produced



$$d\sigma \propto \sum_{h,h'} \int d\Pi \ \mathcal{A}_{V_h}^{\text{prod}} \ \mathcal{A}_{V_h \to X}^{\text{dec}} \qquad (\mathcal{A}_{V_{h'}}^{\text{prod}})^* \ (\mathcal{A}_{V_{h'} \to X}^{\text{dec}})^*$$

 $\equiv d\rho_{h,h'}^{\mathrm{prod},V} \ d\rho_{h,h'}^{\mathrm{dec},V}$

Full process is determined by the production and decay density matrices



- Option A) Define some appropriate observable using jet substructure Potentially use several observables and ML
- Problems: Theoretically opaque Not clear control of systematics



- Option A) Define some appropriate observable using jet substructure Potentially use several observables and ML
- Problems: Theoretically opaque Not clear control of systematics
- Option B) Energy Correlators

An experimental measure is presented for a precise test of quantum chromodynamics. This measure involves the asymmetry in the energy-weighted opening angles of the jets of hadrons produced in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons at energy W. It is special for several reasons: It is reliably calculable in asymptotically free perturbation theory; it has rapidly vanishing (order $1/W^2$) corrections due to nonperturbative confinement effects; and it is straightforward to determine experimentally.

Basham, Brown, Ellis, Love '78

$$\frac{d\langle E\rangle}{d\chi} = \sum_{i} \int d\Omega |\mathcal{A}|^2 E_i \delta(\cos\theta_i - \chi)$$

Sveshnikov, Tkachov '95

$$\mathcal{O}_{\hat{n}_i} |\alpha\rangle = \sum_i E_i \delta(\hat{p}_i - \hat{n}_i) |\alpha\rangle$$

Energy weights have an operatorial deifnition

$$\frac{d\langle E\rangle}{d\chi} = L_{\mu\nu} \int d^4x \langle 0|j^{\mu}(x)\mathcal{O}_{\hat{n}}j^{\nu}(0)|0\rangle$$

Basham, Brown, Ellis, Love '78



Basham, Brown, Ellis, Love '78



Hofman, Maldacena '08

$$\langle 0|j^{\mu}(x)\mathcal{O}_{\hat{n}}\mathcal{O}_{\hat{n}'}j^{\nu}(0)|0\rangle \sim \frac{1}{\theta^{\gamma}}\langle 0|j^{\mu}(x)\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\hat{n}}j^{\nu}(0)|0\rangle + \dots, \quad \cos\theta = \hat{n}\cdot\hat{n}'$$

Nearby detectors obey an OPE. This prediction can be tested in QCD:





It can in fact be used to measure alpha_s inside jets



Chen, Gao, Li, Xu, Zhang, X. Zhu '23 CMS-PAS-SMP-22-015 '23



~4% precision Most important sources are QCD scale in th calc and energy scale of jet Most precise determination of alpha_s using jet substructure, previous is ~10% in CMS-TOP-17-013

It can in fact be used to measure alpha_s inside jets

Chen, Gao, Li, Xu, Zhang, X. Zhu '23 CMS-PAS-SMP-22-015 '23



~4% precision Most important sources are QCD scale in th calc and energy scale of jet Most precise determination of alpha_s using jet substructure, previous is ~10% in CMS-TOP-17-013

Ricci, Riembau, '22

Decay density matrix

$$\sum_{h,h'} \prod_{h,h'} \frac{\mathcal{E}_i}{\mathcal{E}_k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_j} \frac{\mathcal{E}_j}{\mathcal{E}_k} \xrightarrow{h'} \frac{\mathcal{E}_j}{\mathcal{E}_j} \xrightarrow{h'} \frac{\mathcal{E}$$

$$d\sigma \propto \sum_{h,h'} \int d\Pi \ \mathcal{A}_{V_h}^{\text{prod}} \ \mathcal{A}_{V_h \to X}^{\text{dec}} \ \mathcal{E}_1 \cdots \mathcal{E}_N \ (\mathcal{A}_{V_{h'}}^{\text{prod}})^* \ (\mathcal{A}_{V_{h'} \to X}^{\text{dec}})^*$$

$$\equiv d\rho_{h,h'}^{\text{prod},V} \ d\rho_{h,h'}^{\text{dec},V}[\{\mathcal{E}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{E}_N\}]$$

For hadronic decays, we study the density matrix of energy correlators





This LO calculation gives a good prediction for the one-point correlator



One-point Energy Correlator



- Basic kinematics explains Transverse and Longitudinal distributions' shape
- Transverse jets tend to deposit more energy in the central region (small z)
- Recall: this is from ensamble of events. Individual events very different.

One-point Energy Correlator



Two-point Energy Correlator



The z dependence of the two-point correlator cannot be used to separate L and T

Two-point Energy Correlator

Ricci, Riembau, '22



Off-diagonal entries: Interference

Ricci, Riembau, '22

$$d\rho_{hh'}^V \sim e^{i\Delta h\phi}, \quad \Delta h \equiv h - h'$$

- Inclusive quantities not sensitive to interference

- Ignorance on "which quark" the calorimeters are placed: $\begin{array}{c} \phi \to \pi + \phi \\ x \to 1 - x \end{array}$ redundancy

$$|\Delta h| = 2$$

Transverse – Transverse interference Redundancy acts trivially, easy

- Two types of interference:

$$|\Delta h| = 1$$

Transverse – Longitudinal interference

Redundancy acts nontrivially, each process needs dedicated study



- Interference pattern shows up in the azimuthal dependence of the Ecs

- Measuring the interference leads to linear sensitivity to BSM effects

Impact on BSM scenarios



The one-point correlator identifies the excess coming from an anomalous production of longitudinal modes

Impact on BSM scenarios



The one-point correlator identifies the excess coming from an anomalous production of longitudinal modes

The azimuthal dependence of the correlators identifies the interference term

Towards the LHC

Problem: LHC is not a monoenergetic beam of W bosons.

Part I of the solution:

$$\frac{E_i}{E_J} = \frac{p_{T,i}}{p_{T,J}} + \mathcal{O}(z_\star^{1/2}) \qquad \qquad \frac{z}{z_\star} = \frac{\Delta R^2}{R_\star^2} + \mathcal{O}(z_\star^{1/2}) \\ R_\star^2 = 4m_V^2/p_{T,J}^2$$

Up to $\mathcal{O}(z_{\star}^{1/2})$, energy and angular ratios are equivalent to boost invariant objects.

Part II of the solution:

Up to
$$\mathcal{O}(z_{\star})$$
, amplitudes only depend on the ratio $\frac{z}{z_{\star}}$, not on z alone

Towards the LHC



By rescaling the angular separations and using boost invariant variables, pT correlators of W jets at the LHC are identical to Energy Correlators of a monoenergetic W boson beam.

Towards the LHC





- Jet mass and n_track have irrelevant impact.
- D_2, however, has a strong bias towards cutting off more Transv. than Long.
- The reason is kinematical: low z is in one-to-one with having all energy deposited in a single q, which leads to larger D_2 values.
- Polarization studies require revisiting QCD vs EW discrimination.

Conclusions

Angular separation z of one-pont EC discriminates L and T vector bosons

Azimuthal dependence of one- and two-point EC shows $|\Delta \Phi|=2$ interference

EC are useful to characterize BSM physics

Impact of QCD jets and selection criteria needs to be explored further

Thank you!