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## Gamma Ray Astronomy at PeV EnergieS - 3




## Observables



## Shower Front Correction



Traditional (Plane fit) Improved (Cone fit)

Curvature correction with shower age and size



## Muon Telescope

- 16 muon modules
- 3712 PRCs
- Area: $560 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
- Energy threshold: sec( $\theta) \mathrm{GeV}$
- 169 directions covefing 2.3 sr
- $4^{\circ}$ angular resolution



## Observables




$11.4^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 76.7^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 2200 \mathrm{~m}$ amsl

## Physics Analyses



Solar \& Heliospheric

$10^{9} \quad 10^{10} \quad 10^{11} \quad 10^{12} \quad 10^{13} 10^{14} \quad 10^{15} \quad 10^{16}(\mathrm{eV})$


## Atmospheric Acceleration





1 Dec 2014-18 minutes long

## Muon Image of 1 Dec 2014





## Cloud Movement



FIG. 7. Top eight panels show affected directions for successive 2 min exposures starting December 1, 2014 at 10:42 UT. Bottom eight panels show estimated potentials needed to reproduce $\Delta I_{\mu}$ shown in the corresponding panel above for a 20 min duration (10:41-11:00 UT). Maximum potentials of $1.8,1.4,1,1,1.1,1.2,1.3$, and 1.4 GV (mean $=1.3 \mathrm{GV}$ ) observed for panels labeled 1 through 8. Angular velocity of $6.2^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ inferred for directions (i) A to $\mathbf{B}$, and (ii) $\mathbf{C}$ to $\mathbf{D}$ in northern and southern FOVs, respectively, are shown in Fig. 1(d). Vertical bar in each bottom panel corresponds to $\pm 1 \sigma$ error.

C.T.R. Wilson's prediction of 1 GV 90Y ago


Measurement of 0.13 GV

Mean V $=1.3$ GV
B. Hariharan et al., PRL 122, 105101 (2019)
(Focus article \& Editors‘ suggestion)




## Solar \& Heliospheric physics



## Transient Weakening of GMF


P.K. Mohanty et al., PRL 117, 171101 (2016)
P.K. Mohanty et al., PRD 97, 082001 (2018)

## Transient Weakening of GMF



P.K. Mohanty et al., PRL 117, 171101 (2016)
P.K. Mohanty et al., PRD 97, 082001 (2018)

## Detection also by Scintillator Detector


B. Hariharan et al., JASTP 243 (2023) 106005

## Cosmic Rays

$$
\mathrm{E}=1 \mathrm{TeV}-10 \mathrm{PeV}
$$



## The Moon Shadow






## Cosmic Ray Anisotropy


M. Chakraborty et al., Astrophys.J. 961 (2024) 1, 87
$3.7 \times 10^{9}$ EAS events from $1^{\text {st }}$ January 2013 to $31^{\text {st }}$ December 2016 (1273.1 days)

Median Energy 16 TeV


Region A-6.8 $\sigma$
Region B-4.7 $\sigma$
Li \& Ma




## Cosmic Ray Anisotropy

|  | Region A $\left(\times 10^{-4}\right)$ | Region $\mathrm{B}\left(\times 10^{-4}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARGO-YBJ | 10.0 | 5.0 |
| HAWC | $(8.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.8)$ | $(5.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.7)$ |
| GRAPES-3 | $(8.9 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.3)$ | $(5.6 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.1)$ |



Region A


Region B

M. Chakraborty et al., Astrophys.J. 961 (2024) 1, 87


Not a spurious sidereal effect


Analysis using anti sidereal time

## Results with Muon cut

- Showers producing at least 2

- No of events: $1.9 \times 10^{9}$
- Change in strength of Region

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A:(6.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4} \text { to } \\
& (5.7 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Change in strength of Region $B:(4.9 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$ to $(6.5 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-4}$
- Change is within $1 \sigma$
- Hence, primary contribution to these structures is hadronic.



## Proton spectrum measurements


F. Varsi et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 132 (2024) 5, 051002


Starting with $1.75 \times 10^{9}$ EAS triggered events between $1^{\text {st }}$ January 2014 and $26^{\text {th }}$ October 2015

Core within Fiducial area $\theta<17.8^{\circ}$
$N_{e}>10^{4}$ (90\% trigger efficiency) $0.02 \leq s \leq 1.98$ $7.81 \times 10^{6}$ EAS over 460 day livetime

Fiducial Area


Relative composition of proton primaries obtained using Gold's unfolding on the muon multiplicity distributions

P. Lipari and S. Vernetto, APP 120 (2020) 102441


Similar hardening seen by DAMPE at ${ }^{\sim} 150 \mathrm{TeV}$ Alemanno et al Phys.Rev.D 109 (2024)

## Bonus: Tonga Volcano Eruption



15 January 2022


Figure 3: Percentage rate variation of (a) G3SD and (b) G3MT.
B. Hariharan et al., PoS(ICRC2023)530

## Future - New Muon Telescope under construction



## Summary

- Gigavolt potential in thundercloud
- Detected a short muon burst triggered by transient weakening of geomagnetic field
- Dependence of CR parallel mean free path on rigidity \& solar activity
- Shower front curvature correction
- Moon shadow
- Cosmic ray anisotropy at TeV energies
- Hardening in the proton spectrum at $\sim 166 \mathrm{TeV}$
- Moving towards blinded analyses and Machine learning based reconstructions
- More in the pipeline
- Spectrum and composition of heavier elements
- Crab
- Gamma ray transients
- Joint CR anisotropy analyses with IceCube and HAWC
- New muon telescope + extended scintillator array ~ $10 \%$ Crab in 1 year


## Backup

## C.R. Parallel mean free path dependence on rigidity and solar activity



Kojima et. al. Phys.Rev.D 109 (2024) 6, 063011


Kojima et. al. Phys.Rev.D 109 (2024) 6, 063011


## Bonus: Tonga Volcano Eruption



- Biggest after eruption of Krakatoa, Indonesia (1883)
- 5-200 megatons of TNT (~200 megatons of TNT)
- $\sim 150$ billion litres of water into stratosphere ( $\sim 10 \%$ )
- Expelled $\sim 10 \mathrm{~km}^{3}$ rock \& ash ( $\sim 4000$ pyramids)
- Record height of $>55 \mathrm{~km}$
- Raise in global temperature
- May dissipate in decade
- Record-breaking shock wave (pressure wave)







## Muon Intensity Variation



## Timeline



## Properties of the Cloud

- Mean V=1.3 GV
- Lin. Vel. $=60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{hr}^{1}$
- Ang. Vel. $=6.2^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$
- Height $=11.4 \mathrm{~km}$ ams
- Radius $\geq 11 \mathrm{~km}$
- Area $\geq 380 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
- $C \geq 0.85 \mu \mathrm{~F}$
- $Q \geq 1100 \mathrm{C}$
- $\mathrm{E} \geq 720 \mathrm{GJ}$
- $\mathrm{P} \geq 2 \mathrm{GW}$
- Comparable to biggest nuclear reactor / hydroelectric / thermal power plants
- Enough to power a big town
B. Hariharan et al., PRL 122, 105101 (2019) (Focus article \& Editors' suggestion)


## Gamma Ray Detection



## Neutron Monitor Data




## Shower Front Correction




## Advantages

## Cosmic Ray Spectra of Various Experiments



## Monte Carlo Simulation





Electric Potential (GV)

- $\Delta I_{\mu(\text { Peak })}$
$=(-2.0 \pm 0.2) \%$
- $V_{\text {Peak }}$


Kojima et. al. Phys.Rev.D 109 (2024) 6, 063011

