Nilpotent Fierz-Pauli





E.A. & J. Anero

2405.03289 2402.10249 Einstein (1915)

$$R_{\mu
u}=0$$

Nonlinear equations .

No superposition

 $\lambda g^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + \xi g^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ Not a solution!

It is very useful, but difficult, to find exact solutions!

They are few and far between!

Very important in order to stablish the presence of Horizons, singularities, etc

Fierz-Pauli (1939)

Free Spin 2 (and higher) in flat space

Those are linear equations!

It happens to be the first order in the perturbative expansion of Einstein equations

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu}$$

Fierz-Pauli and its fiends: Transverse and unimodular

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{I}} + \beta \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{II}} + a \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{III}} + b \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{IV}}, \\ \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{I}} &= \frac{1}{4} \partial_{\mu} h^{\nu \rho} \partial^{\mu} h_{\nu \rho}, \qquad \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{II}} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} h^{\mu \rho} \partial_{\nu} h^{\nu}_{\rho}, \\ \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{III}} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} h \partial^{\rho} h_{\mu \rho}, \qquad \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{IV}} = -\frac{1}{4} \partial_{\mu} h \partial^{\mu} h. \end{split}$$

$$(\mathsf{III},\mathsf{IV}) = \left(\frac{2}{n}, \frac{n+2}{n^2}\right)$$

UG in unrestricted variables $\gamma_{\mu
u}\equiv |g|^{-rac{1}{n}}\,g_{\mu
u}$

E.A., Blas, Garriga and Verdaguer, 2006

Both transverse and unimodular are invariant under transverse (volume preserving) gauge transformations

$$\delta h_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu}\xi_{\mu} \qquad \partial_{\mu}\xi^{\mu} = 0$$

Unimodular is also invariant under local conformal tramsformations

$$\delta h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{n} \phi \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

The action we are going to be interested at is the transverse UG one

$$L_T = \frac{1}{4} \partial_\mu h_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\mu h^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h^{\mu\rho} \partial_\nu h^\nu_\rho$$

(This is UG in unimodular variables) $\gamma_{\mu
u}$

$$\{h_{\mu\lambda}h^{\lambda\nu}=0\} \Longleftrightarrow \{\exists l_{\mu} \quad l^2=0 \qquad h_{\mu\nu}=l_{\mu}l_{\nu}\}$$

Classical implications

Study rank one traceless deformations of an arbitrary background

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \overline{g}_{\mu\nu} + l_{\mu}l_{\nu} \qquad \qquad l^2 = 0.$$

Why we want to do that?

In general the inverse metric is an infinite power series in Newton's constant

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \overline{g}^{\mu\nu} - \kappa h^{\mu\nu} + \kappa^2 h^{\mu\lambda} h^{\nu}_{\lambda} + \dots$$

Nilpotency however implies

$$\left[g^{\alpha\beta} = \overline{g}^{a\beta} - l^{\alpha}l^{\beta}\right]$$

This is an exact result!

The Ricci tensor is the sum of the background one, a linear piece and a nonlinear one

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \overline{R}_{\mu\nu} + X_{\alpha\beta}[l] + A_{\alpha\beta}[l]$$

This exact, no approximations are involved

No more terms in the expansion!!!

The linear piece is essentially the Fierz-Pauli equation as deduced from the transverse lagrangian

$$X_{\alpha\beta}[l] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[-\overline{\Box}(l_{\alpha}l_{\beta}) + \overline{\nabla}_{\mu}\overline{\nabla}_{\alpha}(l^{\mu}l_{\beta}) + \overline{\nabla}_{\mu}\overline{\nabla}_{\beta}(l^{\mu}l_{\alpha}) \right]$$

The nonlinear piece is more complicated

$$\begin{split} A_{\mu\nu} &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ \theta \left(\dot{l}_{\mu} l_{\nu} + l_{\mu} \dot{l}_{\nu} \right) + \ddot{l}_{\mu} l_{\nu} + \dot{l}_{\mu} \dot{l}_{\nu} + l_{\mu} \ddot{l}_{\nu} - \dot{l}^{\rho} \bar{\nabla}_{\rho} \left(l_{\mu} l_{\nu} \right) - \\ &- l_{\mu} l_{\nu} \bar{\nabla}^{\rho} l_{\sigma} \bar{\nabla}^{\sigma} l_{\rho} + l_{\mu} l_{\nu} \bar{\nabla}^{\sigma} l^{\rho} \bar{\nabla}_{\sigma} l_{\rho} - l_{\mu} l_{\nu} \dot{l}_{\rho} \dot{l}^{\rho} \Big\} \end{split}$$

$$A\equiv\eta^{lphaeta}A_{lphaeta}=g^{lphaeta}A_{lphaeta}=-rac{1}{2}\dot{l}_{lpha}\dot{l}^{lpha}$$

There are no more terms.

The whole series has reduced to two terms

G\"urses-G\"ursey, Xanthopulos' work (1978)

When X=0 then both the deformed and the original Ricci tensors are the same

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}$$

What happens with the A tensor?

In fact the vanishing of the first condition implies the vanishing of the second one

$$\left\{ X_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \right\} \Longrightarrow \left\{ A_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \right\}$$

A linear condition implies a nonlinear one !

Examples

Flat space as seed

Null vector

$$l_{\mu} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{r_s}{r}} \left(1, -\frac{x^j}{r}\right)$$
 It obeys X=0

$$ds^{2} = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} - \frac{r_{s}}{r} (dt + dr)^{2}$$

In this way we reach the Kerr-Schild family of spacetimes (Schwarzschild, Kerr, Reissner-Nordstrom, Kerr-Newman, etc)

Ricci flat spacetime as seed

Kasner spacetime

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 t^{2p_i} dx_i^2$$

Null vector
$$l_{\mu} = (1, t^{p_1}, 0, 0)$$
 X=0

$$ds^{2} = 2dt^{2} + 2t^{p_{1}}dtdx - t^{2p_{2}}dy^{2} - t^{2p_{3}}dx^{2}$$

The deformed spacetime is again Ricci flat

Gravitational waves

Plane fronted GW
$$ds^2 \equiv \overline{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = du dv - \sum_{a,b=1}^2 g_{ab} dx^a dx^b$$

Those are exact solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations

Null vector
$$l = f(v)du$$

$$ds^2 \equiv g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = f^2(v)du^2 + \overline{g}_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu}$$

When $l = \sqrt{v} du$ The deformed spacetime is Ricci flat

de Sitter as seed

Constant curvature spacetime

$$\mathrm{d}s_{(\mathrm{CCS})}^2 = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}\mathrm{d}x^{\nu} = \mathrm{d}t^2 - e^{2Ht}\delta_{ij}\mathrm{d}x^i\mathrm{d}y^j$$

Null vector
$$l_{\mu} = (1, -e^{Ht}, 0, 0)$$

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} - e^{2Ht} \delta_{ij} dx^{i} dy^{j} + (dt - e^{Ht} dx)^{2} =$$

= $2dt^{2} - 2e^{Ht} dt dx - e^{2Ht} (dy^{2} + dz^{2})$ Ricci-flat!!

In this case Fierz-Pauli does not vanish, but it generates a cosmological constant

$$X_{\mu\nu}[l] + A_{\mu\nu}[l] = 3H^2 \overline{g}_{\mu\nu}$$

$$l_{\mu} = \left(1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e^{Ht}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e^{Ht}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e^{Ht}\right)$$

$$X_{\mu\nu} = H^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{3}e^{Ht} & -\sqrt{3}e^{Ht} & -\sqrt{3}e^{Ht} \\ -\sqrt{3}e^{Ht} & -4e^{2Ht} & -e^{2Ht} & -e^{2Ht} \\ -\sqrt{3}e^{Ht} & -e^{2Ht} & -4e^{2Ht} & -e^{2Ht} \\ -\sqrt{3}e^{Ht} & -e^{2Ht} & -e^{2Ht} & -e^{2Ht} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{\mu\nu} = H^2 \begin{pmatrix} 3 & \sqrt{3}e^{Ht} & \sqrt{3}e^{Ht} & \sqrt{3}e^{Ht} \\ \sqrt{3}e^{Ht} & e^{2Ht} & e^{2Ht} & e^{2Ht} \\ \sqrt{3}e^{Ht} & e^{2Ht} & e^{2Ht} & e^{2Ht} \\ \sqrt{3}e^{Ht} & e^{2Ht} & e^{2Ht} & e^{2Ht} \end{pmatrix}$$

Conspiracy between X and A in such a way that the deformed spacetime is Ricci flat



We have traded in some sense a nonlinear equation

(Ricci flatness) by a linear one (Fierz-Pauli)

Is there superposition of solutions?

The sum of two nilpotent matrices needs not be nilpotent

$$h_{\mu\nu} = l_{\mu}^{(3)} l_{\nu}^{(3)}$$

 $l_{\mu}^{(2)} l_{
u}^{(2)}$

$$l^{\lambda}_{(1)}l^{(2)}_{\lambda}=0$$

The condition for that to happen is

$$\det h_{\mu\nu} = 0$$
$$\eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$h_{\mu\nu} \equiv l_{\mu}^{(1)} l_{\nu}^{(1)} + l_{\mu}^{(2)} l_{\nu}^{(2)}$$

Quantum implications to follow!

fluctuations around nilpotent Fierz-Pauli



$$\begin{aligned} R_{\nu\sigma} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} (l_{\nu} l_{\sigma}) + \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} (l^{\mu} l_{\sigma}) + \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\sigma} (l^{\mu} l_{\nu}) + \kappa \partial_{\mu} \left(l^{\mu} l^{\delta} \partial_{\delta} (l_{\nu} l_{\sigma}) \right) \right) - \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left\{ -\partial^{\mu} (l_{\lambda} l_{\sigma}) \partial^{\lambda} (l_{\nu} l_{\mu}) - \partial^{\mu} (l_{\lambda} l_{\sigma}) \partial_{\nu} (l^{\lambda} l_{\mu}) + \partial^{\mu} (l_{\lambda} l_{\sigma}) \partial_{\mu} (l^{\lambda} l_{\nu}) + \\ &+ \partial_{\lambda} (l^{\mu} l_{\sigma}) \partial^{\lambda} (l_{\nu} l_{\mu}) - \partial_{\lambda} (l^{\mu} l_{\sigma}) \partial_{\nu} (l^{\lambda} l_{\mu}) - \partial_{\lambda} (l^{\mu} l_{\sigma}) \partial_{\mu} (l^{\lambda} l_{\nu}) - \partial_{\lambda} (l^{\mu} l_{\sigma}) l^{\lambda} l^{\delta} \partial_{\delta} (l_{\nu} l_{\mu}) + \\ &+ \partial_{\sigma} (l^{\mu} l_{\lambda}) \partial^{\lambda} (l_{\nu} l_{\mu}) - \partial_{\sigma} (l^{\mu} l_{\lambda}) \partial_{\mu} (l^{\lambda} l_{\nu}) - \\ &- l^{\mu} l^{\delta} \partial_{\delta} (l_{\lambda} l_{\sigma}) \partial_{\mu} (l^{\lambda} l_{\nu}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$R \equiv g^{\nu\sigma}R_{\nu\sigma} = (\eta^{\nu\sigma} - l^{\nu}l^{\sigma}) R_{\nu\sigma}$$

$$l^{\nu}l^{\sigma}R_{\nu\sigma}=0$$

(2

Ergo

$$R = \eta^{\nu\sigma}R_{\nu\sigma} = \partial_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}(l^{\mu}l^{\nu}) + \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \partial_{\mu}(l_{\lambda}l_{\sigma})\partial^{\lambda}(l^{\sigma}l^{\mu}) - \partial^{\mu}(l_{\lambda}l_{\mu})\partial^{\sigma}(l^{\lambda}l^{\mu}) - \partial_{\lambda}(l^{\mu}l_{\sigma})\partial_{\sigma}(l^{\lambda}l_{\mu}) - \partial_{\sigma}(l^{\mu}l_{\lambda})\partial^{\alpha}(l^{\sigma}l_{\mu}) - \partial_{\sigma}(l^{\mu}l_{\lambda})\partial_{\mu}(l^{\lambda}l_{\sigma}) \right\}$$

$$-\partial_{\lambda}(l^{\mu}l_{\sigma})\partial_{\mu}(l^{\lambda}l^{\sigma}) + \partial_{\sigma}(l^{\mu}l_{\lambda})\partial^{\lambda}(l^{\sigma}l_{\mu}) - \partial_{\sigma}(l^{\mu}l_{\lambda})\partial_{\mu}(l^{\lambda}l_{\sigma}) \right\}$$

$$(2)$$

$$X_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\overline{\Box} \left(l_{\mu} l_{\nu} \right) + \overline{\nabla}^{\lambda} \overline{\nabla}_{\mu} \left(l_{\lambda} l_{\nu} \right) + \overline{\nabla}^{\lambda} \overline{\nabla}_{\nu} \left(l_{\lambda} l_{\mu} \right) \right) = 0$$

$$\dot{l}^{lpha} \equiv l^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} l^{lpha} = \phi \, l^{lpha}$$

.

$$\overline{
abla}_{\lambda}l_{\mu}\overline{
abla}^{\lambda}l^{\mu} = -\dot{ heta} - \dot{\phi} - \phi^2 - heta\phi - \overline{R}_{lphaeta}l^{lpha}l^{eta}$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\mu}l^{\nu}\overline{\nabla}_{\nu}l^{\mu} = \dot{\phi} - \dot{\theta} + \phi\theta - \overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}l^{\alpha}l^{\beta}$$

A full expansion of the Ricci tensor

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} + R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} + R^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} + R^{(3)}_{\mu\nu}$$

Power counting with nilpotent fluctuations is not trivial

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\kappa}{2} \left[\bar{\nabla}_{\lambda} \bar{\nabla}_{\mu} (h_{\nu}^{\ \lambda}) + \bar{\nabla}_{\lambda} \bar{\nabla}_{\nu} (h_{\mu}^{\ \lambda}) - \bar{\Box} (h_{\mu\nu}) \right] \\ R^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \left[\bar{\nabla}_{\sigma} \left[h^{\sigma\rho} \bar{\nabla}_{\rho} (h_{\mu\nu}) \right] - \bar{\nabla}_{\sigma} (h_{\mu}^{\ \rho}) \bar{\nabla}_{\rho} (h_{\nu}^{\ \sigma}) + \right. \\ &\left. + \bar{\nabla}^{\rho} (h_{\mu}^{\ \sigma}) \bar{\nabla}_{\rho} (h_{\nu\sigma}) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\nabla}_{\mu} (h_{\rho\sigma}) \bar{\nabla}_{\nu} (h^{\rho\sigma}) \right] \\ R^{(3)}_{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{\kappa^3}{2} h^{\rho\sigma} \bar{\nabla}_{\rho} (h_{\mu\lambda}) \bar{\nabla}_{\sigma} (h_{\nu}^{\ \lambda}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\{R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} = 0\} \Longrightarrow \{R^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} + R^{(3)}_{\mu\nu} = 0\}$$

Nilpotency not only makes the expansion finite, but also

makes it linear at the level of the EM

$$S_{\rm EH}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{4} h_{\alpha\beta} \overline{\Box} h^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} h^{\mu\lambda} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} h^{\nu}_{\lambda} \right] \\ \left[\frac{3}{4} h^{\rho\sigma} \overline{\nabla}_{\rho} h_{\alpha\beta} \overline{\nabla}_{\sigma} h^{\alpha\beta} - h^{\rho\sigma} h^{\alpha\beta} \overline{\nabla}_{\rho} \overline{\nabla}_{\alpha} h_{\sigma\beta} + h^{\rho\sigma} h^{\alpha\beta} \overline{\nabla}_{\rho} \overline{\nabla}_{\sigma} h_{\alpha\beta} \right]$$

$$(24)$$

This is UG at lowest order in unimodular variables

$$R = \overline{R} + \overline{\nabla}_{\mu}\dot{l}^{\mu} + \dot{\theta} + \theta^2 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{l}^2$$

Hilbert's lagrangian vanishes on shell