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## The SM must be extended!

## Neutrino masses and mixing
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Majorana Mass Eigenstates

$$
\nu_{\alpha_{L}} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{U}_{L}^{\nu}\right)_{\alpha j} \nu_{j_{L}}
$$

$\mathbf{U}_{L}^{\nu T} \mathbf{M}_{\nu} \mathbf{U}_{L}^{\nu}=\operatorname{diag}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)$

Lepton Mixing Matrix
$\mathbf{U}_{\ell}=\mathbf{U}_{L}^{e \dagger} \mathbf{U}_{L}^{\nu}$
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Expanding the Yukawa Lagrangian in the mass eigenstates:


FCCC
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Introduce flavour charges
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\mathbf{M}_{\nu} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\boxtimes & \boxed{ } & \boxed{\bigotimes} \\
\cdot & 0 & \boxed{\text { a }} \\
\bullet \cdot & \cdot & 0
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Example:

$$
\Phi_{1,2} \rightarrow q_{1 L}+d_{2 R}
$$

## Abelian flavour symmetries

## GOAL

Reduce the number of free parameters in the mass matrices and make the theory more predictive
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Introduce flavour charges

## Example:

$$
\Phi_{1,2}>1 L+d_{2 R}
$$

Flavour charge is not conserved

$$
Q_{\Phi_{1,2}}-Q_{q_{1 L}}+Q_{d_{2 R}} \neq 0
$$
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Solve system of equations for the field charges

Test compatibility at the $1 \sigma$ CL for all observables

## Experimental Data

| Parameter | Best fit $\pm 1 \sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $m_{d}(\times \mathrm{MeV})$ | $4.67_{-0.017}^{+0.87}$ |
| $m_{s}(\times \mathrm{MeV})$ | $934_{-3.4}^{+8.6}$ |
| $m_{b}(\times \mathrm{GeV})$ | $4.18_{-0.02}^{+0.03}$ |
| $m_{u}(\times \mathrm{MeV})$ | $2.16_{-0.26}^{+0.49}$ |
| $m_{c}(\times \mathrm{GeV})$ | $1.27 \pm 0.02$ |
| $m_{t}(\times \mathrm{GeV})$ | $172.69 \pm 0.30$ |
| $\left.\theta_{12}^{q} 2^{\circ}\right)$ | $13.04 \pm 0.05$ |
| $\left.\theta_{23}^{q}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $2.38 \pm 0.06$ |
| $\left.\theta_{13}^{q} 3{ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $0.201 \pm 0.011$ |
| $\delta^{q}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $68.75 \pm 4.5$ |
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## Experimental Data

| Parameter | Best fit $\pm 1 \sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $m_{d}(\times \mathrm{MeV})$ | $4.67_{-0.17}^{+0.48}$ |
| $m_{s}(\times \mathrm{MeV})$ | $93.4_{-3.4}^{+8.6}$ |
| $m_{b}(\times \mathrm{GeV})$ | $4.18_{-0.02}^{+0.03}$ |
| $m_{u}(\times \mathrm{MeV})$ | $2.11_{-0.26}^{+0.49}$ |
| $m_{c}(\times \mathrm{GeV})$ | $1.27 \pm 0.02$ |
| $m_{t}(\times \mathrm{GeV})$ | $172.69 \pm 0.30$ |
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| $\delta^{q}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $68.75 \pm 4.5$ |
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## Maximally-restrictive textures from U(1) symmetries

| U(1) charges |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left(\mathbf{M}_{e}, \mathbf{M}_{\nu}\right) \quad\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}\right) \quad\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{3}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| $\left(5_{1}^{e}, 2_{3}^{\nu}\right)$ | $(-1,-3,1) \quad(1,-5,-1)$ |  |  |
| $\left(5_{1}^{e}, 2_{7}^{\nu}\right)$ | $(-1,-2,0) \quad(0,-3,-1)$ |  |  |
| $\left(5_{1}^{e}, 2_{10}^{\nu}\right)$ | $(0,-1,1) \quad(1,-2,0)$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ |  |  |
| $\left(\mathbf{M}_{d}, \mathbf{M}_{u}\right)$ | $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)$ | $\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)$ | $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)$ |
| $\left(4_{3}^{d}, \mathbf{P}_{12} 5_{1}^{u} \mathbf{P}_{23}\right)$ | (0, 1, 2) | (2, 1, 0) | $(3,2,0)$ |
| $\left(4_{3}^{d}, \mathbf{P}_{123} 5_{1}^{u} \mathbf{P}_{12}\right)$ | $(0,1,2)$ | $(2,1,0)$ | $(3,0,1)$ |
| $\left(5_{1}^{d}, \mathbf{P}_{12} 4_{3}^{u}\right)$ | $(0,-1,1)$ | (1, -2, 0) | ( $2,1,0$ ) |
| $\left(5_{1}^{d}, \mathbf{P}_{321} 4_{3}^{u} \mathbf{P}_{23}\right)$ | $(0,-1,1)$ | (1,-2, 0 ) | $(-1,1,0)$ |

## Maximally restrictive mass matrices

## Quarks

Leptons

| $4_{3}^{d}$ | $\sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & 0\end{array}\right)$ |  | $5_{1}^{e} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & \times & 0 \\ \times & 0 & \times\end{array}\right)$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $5_{1}^{d}$ | $\sim\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & \times & 0 \\ \times & 0 & \times\end{array}\right)$ |  | $2_{3}^{\nu} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\times & \times & \bullet \\ \cdot & 0 & \bullet \\ \cdot & \cdot & 0\end{array}\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{P}_{12} 5_{1}^{u} \mathbf{P}_{23}$ | $\sim\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & \bullet & 0 \\ \times & \times & 0\end{array}\right)$ | $2_{7}^{\nu} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\times & 0 & \bullet \\ \cdot & 0 & \times \\ \cdot & \cdot & \bullet\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{123} 5_{1}^{u} \mathbf{P}_{12}$ | $\sim\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & \times & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ \times & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ | $2_{10}^{\nu} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\times & \bullet & 0 \\ \cdot & \times & \bullet \\ \cdot & \cdot & 0\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{12} 4_{3}^{u}$ | $\sim\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & \bullet & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ \times & \times & 0\end{array}\right)$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{321} 4_{3}^{u} \mathbf{P}_{23}$ | $\sim\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & \bullet & \times \\ \times & 0 & \times \\ 0 & \times & 0\end{array}\right)$ |  |  |
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"Decoupled" entry in the matrices of type " 5 " lead to zeros in the $\mathrm{N}_{k}$ matrices
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## Maximally-restrictive textures from U(1) symmetries

Minimal flavour patterns for quarks:
Four different models;
There is a total of ten independent parameters, matching the number of observables;

Minimal flavour patterns for leptons:
Three different models;
There are ten parameters, two less than the number of lepton observables;

## Predictions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NO: } m_{2}=\sqrt{m_{1}^{2}+\Delta m_{21}^{2}}, \quad m_{3}=\sqrt{m_{1}^{2}+\Delta m_{31}^{2}} \\
& \text { IO: } \quad m_{1}=\sqrt{m_{3}^{2}+\left|\Delta m_{31}^{2}\right|}, \quad m_{2}=\sqrt{m_{3}^{2}+\Delta m_{21}^{2}+\left|\Delta m_{31}^{2}\right|} \\
& m_{\beta \beta}=\left|c_{12}^{2} c_{13}^{2} m_{1}+s_{12}^{2} c_{13}^{2} m_{2} e^{-i \alpha_{21}}+s_{13}^{2} m_{3} e^{-i \alpha_{31}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lepton sector predictions - NO

The symmetry-constrained lepton models provide predictions for the neutrino sector, for example:


For NO, $2_{3,7}^{\mu}$ and $2_{3,7}^{\tau}$ select the first and second octant for the atmospheric mixing angle $\theta_{23}$, respectively

## Lepton sector predictions - NO

The symmetry-constrained lepton models provide predictions for the neutrino sector, for example:
 For NO, $2_{3,7}^{\mu}$ and $2_{3,7}^{\tau}$ select the first and second octant for the atmospheric mixing angle $\theta_{23}$, respectively

The lower bounds on $m_{\beta \beta}$ are within the sensitivity of $0 v \beta \beta$ decay experiments, while being simultaneously in tension with cosmological constraints on $m_{\text {lightest }}$



## Lepton sector predictions - IO

There are models that behave similarly for inverted ordering (IO), namely $2_{10}^{\mu}$ and $2_{10}^{\tau}$
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## Numerical procedure and phenomenological analysis

For the numerical analysis of the phenomenology of maximally-restrictive matrices, a private Python code was developed, which works as follows:
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Theoretical constraints


We take the limit

$$
\text { where } m_{I}=m_{H}=m_{H^{ \pm}}
$$

SM Higgs Boson \& new scalar searches
HiggsTools, HiggsSignals \& HiggsBounds

## Some flavour constraints are automatically satisfied in certain models

Quark sector constraints

## Numerical procedure and phenomenological analysis

The mass matrices labelled " 5 " exhibit an isolated non-zero entry in a given row and column, which coincides with the mass of a fermion translating into:
$5^{d, u, e}: \mathbf{N}_{d, u, e} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\times & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times\end{array}\right), 5^{s, c, \mu}: \mathbf{N}_{s, c, \mu} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\times & 0 & \times \\ 0 & \times & 0 \\ \times & 0 & \times\end{array}\right), 5^{b, t, \tau}: \mathbf{N}_{b, t, \tau} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\times & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \times\end{array}\right)$
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To directly observe the effect of flavour symmetries, consider the NP contribution to the matrix element that contributes to the $\bar{K}_{0} \rightarrow K_{0}$ transition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{21}^{\mathrm{NP}}= & \frac{f_{k}^{2} m_{K}}{96 v^{2}}\left\{\left[\left(\mathbf{N}_{d}^{*}\right)_{d s}^{2}+\left(\mathbf{N}_{d}\right)_{s d}^{2}\right] \frac{10 m_{k}^{2}}{\left(m_{s}+m_{d}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{m_{I}^{2}}-\frac{c_{\beta-\alpha}^{2}}{m_{h}^{2}}-\frac{s_{\beta-\alpha}^{2}}{m_{H}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+4\left(\mathbf{N}_{d}^{*}\right)_{d s}\left(\mathbf{N}_{d}\right)_{s d}\left[1+\frac{6 m_{K}^{2}}{\left(m_{s}+m_{d}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{m_{I}^{2}}+\frac{c_{\beta-\alpha}^{2}}{m_{h}^{2}}+\frac{s_{\beta-\alpha}^{2}}{m_{H}^{2}}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
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To directly observe the effect of flavour symmetries, consider the NP contribution to the matrix element that contributes to the $\bar{K}_{0} \rightarrow K_{0}$ transition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{21}^{\mathrm{NP}}= & \frac{f_{k}^{2} m_{K}}{96 v^{2}}\left\{\left[(\mathbf{y} / d)_{d s}^{2}+(\mathbf{y} /)_{s d}^{2}\right] \frac{10 m_{k}^{2}}{\left(m_{s}+m_{d}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{m_{I}^{2}}-\frac{c_{\beta-\alpha}^{2}}{m_{h}^{2}}-\frac{s_{\beta-\alpha}^{2}}{m_{H}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+4(\mathbf{N} / d)_{d s}(\mathbf{y} / d)_{s d}\left[1+\frac{6 m_{K}^{2}}{\left(m_{s}+m_{d}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{m_{I}^{2}}+\frac{c_{\beta-\alpha}^{2}}{m_{h}^{2}}+\frac{s_{\beta-\alpha}^{2}}{m_{H}^{2}}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Numerical procedure and phenomenological analysis

The mass matrices labelled " 5 " exhibit an isolated non-zero entry in a given row and column, which coincides with the mass of a fermion translating into:
$5^{\square u, e}: \mathbf{N}_{\square}^{\square} u, e \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\times & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times\end{array}\right), 5^{\S c, \mu}: \mathbf{N}_{\overparen{\Omega} c, \mu} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\times & 0 & \times \\ 0 & \times & 0 \\ \times & 0 & \times\end{array}\right), 5^{b, t, \tau}: \mathbf{N}_{b, t, \tau} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\times & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \times\end{array}\right)$
To directly observe the effect of flavour symmetries, consider the NP contribution to the matrix element that contributes to the $\bar{K}_{0} \rightarrow K_{0}$ transition:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M_{21}^{\mathrm{NP}}=\frac{f_{k}^{2} m_{K}}{96 v^{2}}\left\{\left[(\mathbf{y} /)_{d s}^{2}+(\mathbf{y} / d)_{s d}^{2}\right] \frac{10 m_{k}^{2}}{\left(m_{s}+m_{d}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{m_{I}^{2}}-\frac{c_{\beta-\alpha}^{2}}{m_{h}^{2}}-\frac{s_{\beta-\alpha}^{2}}{m_{H}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
\left.+4(\mathbf{N} /)_{d s}(\mathbf{y} / d)_{s d}\left[1+\frac{6 m_{K}^{2}}{\left(m_{s}+m_{d}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{m_{I}^{2}}+\frac{c_{\beta-\alpha}^{2}}{m_{h}^{2}}+\frac{s_{\beta-\alpha}^{2}}{m_{H}^{2}}\right)\right]\right\} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\downarrow m_{K}^{\mathrm{NP}}=2\left|M_{21}^{\mathrm{NP}}\right|=0 \quad \varepsilon_{K}=\varepsilon_{K}^{\mathrm{SM}}-\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{2 d}^{\mathrm{NP}} \lambda_{u}^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} \Delta m_{K}\left|\lambda_{u}\right|^{2}} \\
\text { The two constraints associated with } K^{0} \text { are inherently } \\
\text { satisfied for } d \text { or } s \text { decoupled }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

## Numerical procedure and phenomenological analysis

## Yukawa perturbativity bounds

$$
\tan ^{2} \beta \leq \frac{2 \pi v^{2}}{\left|\left(\mathbf{M}_{1}^{x}\right)_{i j}\right|^{2}}-1, \quad \tan ^{2} \beta \geq 1 /\left(\frac{2 \pi v^{2}}{\left|\left(\mathbf{M}_{2}^{x}\right)_{i j}\right|^{2}}-1\right)
$$

Thus, $\tan \beta$ finds its upper and lower bounds determined by the maximum value of $\left|\left(\mathbf{M}_{1}^{x}\right)_{i j}\right|$ and $\left|\left(\mathbf{M}_{2}^{x}\right)_{i j}\right|$.
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## Lepton sector constraints

We only consider the lepton model $\left(5_{1}^{e}, 2_{3}^{v}\right)_{\mathrm{NO}}$, as the conclusions do not differ with a more detailed analysis.

The only exception is for the $\left(5_{1}^{d}, \mathbf{P}_{123} 4_{3}^{u} \mathbf{P}_{12}\right)$ model.
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We only consider the lepton model $\left(5_{1}^{e}, 2_{3}^{v}\right)_{\mathrm{NO}}$, as the conclusions do not differ with a more detailed analysis.

The only exception is for the $\left(5_{1}^{d}, \mathbf{P}_{123} 4_{3}^{u} \mathbf{P}_{12}\right)$ model.

## Most restrictive constraints

Only some constraints shape the allowed region $\left(\tan \beta,\left\{m_{H}=m_{I}=m_{H^{ \pm}}\right\}\right)$, which we refer to as the most restrictive constraints.
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All
$\Delta m_{K}$ $\square$ $\mid\left(\mathbf{Y}_{t)_{i j}} \mid>\sqrt{4 \pi}\right.$ $\square$ $z \rightarrow b \bar{b} \square$ $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_{o} \gamma$ $\square$ $\varepsilon_{K}$ $\Delta m_{B_{d}} \square \Delta m_{B_{s}}$ $\Delta m_{B_{0}} \square \Delta m_{D}$ $B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{-} \mu^{+}$


$$
\mathbf{N}_{t} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\times & \times & 0 \\
\times & \times & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \times
\end{array}\right)
$$


$\downarrow$
None of the most restrictive constraints are automatically satisfied.

The decoupled state could be picked to satisfy some constraints, for example $d$

| Observable | Constraint | Decoupled state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|\varepsilon_{K}\right\|$ | $(2.228 \pm 0.011) \times 10^{-3}$ | $(u, d, s)$ |
| $\Delta m_{K}^{\mathrm{NP}}$ | $<3.484 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{GeV}$ | $(d, s)$ |
| $\Delta m_{B_{d}}$ | $(3.334 \pm 0.013) \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{GeV}$ | $(d, b)$ |
| $\Delta m_{B_{s}}$ | $(1.1693 \pm 0.0004) \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{GeV}$ | $(s, b)$ |
| $\Delta m_{D}^{\mathrm{NP}}$ | $<6.56 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{GeV}$ | $(u, c)$ |
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## Summary and outlook

## Work done:

Study of the theoretical framework of the minimal U(1) 2HDM for flavour;
Identification of the maximally-restrictive pairs of quark and lepton mass matrices compatible with current masses, mixing and CP violation data;

Lepton sector predictions;
Phenomenological study (analytical and numerical) of the quark and charged lepton sectors.
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Abelian flavour symmetries in the 2HDM stand out as a simple approach in addressing the flavour puzzle, leading to minimal quark and lepton models that are predictive
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## Thank you !

