Flavour-Invariant Contributions to the Strong CP Problem

based on 2402.09361

in collaboration with Ravneet Bedi, Tony Gherghetta, Christophe Grojean, Guilherme Guedes and Pham Ngoc Hoa Vuong

Jonathan Kley PLANCK 2024 04/06/2024







The strong CP problem

- Can add $\theta_{QCD}G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu}$ to the Lagrangian without breaking QCD symmetries
- Neutron EDM measurements suggest that $\theta_{\rm QCD} \leq 10^{-10}$

[nEDM collab., 2001.11966]

• Well-motivated solution is the axion relaxing $\theta_{\rm QCD}$ to 0

The strong CP problem

- Can add $\theta_{QCD}G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu}$ to the Lagrangian without breaking QCD symmetries
- Neutron EDM measurements suggest that $\theta_{\rm QCD} \leq 10^{-10}$

[nEDM collab., 2001.11966]

- Well-motivated solution is the axion relaxing $\theta_{\rm QCD}$ to 0
- QCD axion: potential fully determined by QCD. For instance,

$$m_a f_a = \sqrt{rac{4m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2}} m_\pi f_\pi$$
 [Weinberg, 1977]

• Quality problem: How stable is the axion solution against additional contributions to its potential?

In particular: What happens if there are **additional CP-violating parameters** in the theory?

Corrections to the axion potential

• Corrections to the axion potential can come in different forms



Corrections to the axion potential

• Corrections to the axion potential can come in different forms



Example: instantons, but contribution scales as $\propto e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s}}$

Corrections to the axion potential

• Corrections to the axion potential can come in different forms



QCD axion Aligned contribution Misaligned contribution

... solves strong CP problem.
... solves strong CP problem but changes axion mass.
... does not solve strong CP problem and can change mass.

$$V(a)pprox (m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 + {old C}) \left(1-cos\left({a+\delta\over f}
ight)
ight)$$

Corrections to the axion potential in the SM



Corrections to the axion potential in the SM



• Generally, when only QCD explicitly breaks PQ, CPV effects suppressed by $\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{\Lambda_{\text{CPV}}}\right)^p$

 \Rightarrow Consider small instantons by enhancing strong coupling in UV $\propto e^{-rac{2\pi}{lpha_s}}$

• Generally, when only QCD explicitly breaks PQ, CPV effects suppressed by $\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{\Lambda_{\rm CPV}}\right)^p$

\Rightarrow Consider small instantons by enhancing strong coupling in UV $\propto e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s}}$

• We use non-interacting gas of **1-instantons**. Think of the following scenario:

[Kitano et al., 2103.08598] [Bedi et al., 2205.07948]



• Generally, when only QCD explicitly breaks PQ, CPV effects suppressed by $\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{\Lambda_{\rm CPV}}\right)^p$

\Rightarrow Consider small instantons by enhancing strong coupling in UV $\propto e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s}}$

• We use non-interacting gas of **1-instantons**. Think of the following scenario:

[Kitano et al., 2103.08598] [Bedi et al., 2205.07948]



How do UV contributions look like compared to SM contributions suppressed by Jarlskog invariant?

• Generally, when only QCD explicitly breaks PQ, CPV effects suppressed by $\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{\Lambda_{\rm CDV}}\right)^p$

\Rightarrow Consider small instantons by enhancing strong coupling in UV $\propto e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s}}$

• We use non-interacting gas of **1-instantons**. Think of the following scenario:

[Kitano et al., 2103.08598] [Bedi et al., 2205.07948]



How do UV contributions look like compared to SM contributions suppressed by Jarlskog invariant?

CP-violation in the SMEFT

• Use the **SMEFT** to describe new sources of **CP violation** beyond the SM

$${\cal L}_{
m SMEFT} = {\cal L}_{
m SM} + {{\cal L}^{(6)}\over \Lambda^2} + \dots$$

• At dimension 6: 1149 CP-odd couplings in the SMEFT. How to study them systematically?

CP-violation in the SMEFT

• Use the **SMEFT** to describe new sources of **CP violation** beyond the SM

$${\cal L}_{
m SMEFT} = {\cal L}_{
m SM} + {{\cal L}^{(6)}\over \Lambda^2} + \dots$$

• At dimension 6: 1149 CP-odd couplings in the SMEFT. How to study them systematically?

 \implies Flavour invariants!

CP-violation in the SMEFT

• Use the **SMEFT** to describe new sources of **CP violation** beyond the SM

$${\cal L}_{
m SMEFT} = {\cal L}_{
m SM} + {{\cal L}^{(6)}\over \Lambda^2} + \dots$$

• At dimension 6: 1149 CP-odd couplings in the SMEFT. How to study them systematically?

 \implies Flavour invariants!

• Construct generalised Jarlskog invariants. Example: $\mathcal{O}_{uH} = |H|^2 \bar{Q} \tilde{H} u$

[Bonnefoy et al.,2112.03889] [Bonnefoy et al.,2302.07288]

- Wilson coefficient has 9 complex parameters

- Can be captured by flavour invariants of the form $\operatorname{ImTr}\left(X_{u}^{a}X_{d}^{b}X_{u}^{c}X_{d}^{d}C_{uH}Y_{u}^{\dagger}\right) \qquad \qquad X_{u,d} = Y_{u,d}Y_{u,d}^{\dagger}$

Can show: **only 705 dimension-6 SMEFT phases** can be written in **flavour-invariant** way (possibly less flavour suppressed than Jarlskog invariant).

More flavour invariants?

- Allowing for non-perturbative effects, Jarlskog invariant is not the only CP-odd invariant in the SM.
- We have another spurion now: $heta_{
 m QCD}$

	$U(3)_Q$	$U(3)_u$	$U(3)_d$	$U(3)_L$	$U(3)_e$
$e^{i heta_{ m QCD}}$	1_{+6}	1_{-3}	1_{-3}	1_0	1_{0}
Y_u	3_{+1}	$\mathbf{ar{3}}_{-1}$	1_{0}	1_{0}	1_{0}
Y_d	3_{+1}	1_{0}	$\mathbf{\overline{3}}_{-1}$	1_{0}	1_{0}
Y_e	1_{0}	1_{0}	1_{0}	3_{+1}	$\mathbf{\bar{3}}_{-1}$

• Can build more invariants, e.g. $J_{\theta} = \operatorname{Im}(e^{-i\theta_{\mathrm{QCD}}} \det Y_u \det Y_d)$

More flavour invariants?

- Allowing for non-perturbative effects, Jarlskog invariant is not the only CP-odd invariant in the SM.
- We have another spurion now: $heta_{
 m QCD}$

	$U(3)_Q$	$U(3)_u$	$U(3)_d$	$U(3)_L$	$U(3)_e$
$e^{i heta_{ m QCD}}$	1_{+6}	1_{-3}	1_{-3}	1_{0}	1_{0}
Y_u	3_{+1}	$ar{3}_{-1}$	1_{0}	1_{0}	1_{0}
Y_d	3_{+1}	1_{0}	$\mathbf{ar{3}}_{-1}$	1_{0}	1_{0}
Y_e	1_{0}	1_{0}	1_{0}	3_{+1}	$\mathbf{\bar{3}}_{-1}$

- Can build more invariants, e.g. $J_{ heta} = \operatorname{Im}(e^{-i heta_{\mathrm{QCD}}}\det Y_u\det Y_d)$
- Are there also more non-redundant EFT invariants?

More flavour invariants?

- Allowing for non-perturbative effects, Jarlskog invariant is not the only CP-odd invariant in the SM.
- We have another spurion now: $heta_{
 m QCD}$

	$U(3)_Q$	$U(3)_u$	$U(3)_d$	$U(3)_L$	$U(3)_e$
$e^{i heta_{ m QCD}}$	1_{+6}	1_{-3}	1_{-3}	1_{0}	1_{0}
Y_u	3_{+1}	$\mathbf{ar{3}}_{-1}$	1_{0}	1_{0}	1_{0}
Y_d	3_{+1}	1_{0}	$\mathbf{\bar{3}}_{-1}$	1_{0}	1_{0}
Y_e	1_{0}	1_{0}	1_{0}	3_{+1}	$ar{3}_{-1}$

- Can build more invariants, e.g. $J_{ heta} = \operatorname{Im}(e^{-i heta_{\mathrm{QCD}}}\det Y_u\det Y_d)$
- Are there also more non-redundant EFT invariants? No!
- But invariants featuring θ_{QCD} are more suitable to describe SMEFT CPV in non-perturbative computations. E.g.,

$$\mathrm{Im}(e^{-\mathrm{i} heta_{QCD}}\epsilon^{IJK}\epsilon^{ijk}Y_{u,Ii}Y_{u,Jj}C_{uH,Kk}\det Y_d) \qquad \mathcal{O}_{uH}=|H|^2ar{Q} ilde{H}u$$

Small Instanton Contribution

• Small instantons generate axion potential of the form

$$V(a) = \chi_6(0) rac{a}{f_a} + \chi(0) rac{a^2}{f_a^2} \quad \Longrightarrow \left\langle rac{a}{f_a}
ight
angle \equiv heta_{
m ind} = -rac{\chi_6(0)}{\chi(0)}$$

Small Instanton Contribution

• Small instantons generate axion potential of the form

$$V(a) = \chi_6(0) rac{a}{f_a} + \chi(0) rac{a^2}{f_a^2} \quad \implies \left\langle rac{a}{f_a}
ight
angle \equiv heta_{
m ind} = -rac{\chi_6(0)}{\chi(0)}$$

• Coefficients in the potential can be computed from following correlators

$$egin{aligned} \chi(0) &= -i \lim_{k o 0} \int d^4 x \, e^{i k \cdot x} \left\langle 0 \left| rac{1}{32 \pi^2} G ilde{G}(0), rac{1}{32 \pi^2} G ilde{G}(x)
ight| 0
ight
angle \ \chi_6(0) &= -i \lim_{k o 0} \int d^4 x \, e^{i k \cdot x} \left\langle 0 \left| rac{1}{32 \pi^2} G ilde{G}(x), \mathcal{O}_6(0)
ight| 0
ight
angle \end{aligned}$$

Small Instanton Contribution

• Small instantons generate axion potential of the form

$$V(a) = \chi_6(0) rac{a}{f_a} + \chi(0) rac{a^2}{f_a^2} \quad \implies \left\langle rac{a}{f_a}
ight
angle \equiv heta_{
m ind} = -rac{\chi_6(0)}{\chi(0)}$$

• Coefficients in the potential can be computed from following correlators

$$egin{aligned} \chi(0) &= -i \lim_{k o 0} \int d^4 x \, e^{i k \cdot x} \left\langle 0 \left| rac{1}{32 \pi^2} G ilde{G}(0), rac{1}{32 \pi^2} G ilde{G}(x)
ight| 0
ight
angle \ \chi_6(0) &= -i \lim_{k o 0} \int d^4 x \, e^{i k \cdot x} \left\langle 0 \left| rac{1}{32 \pi^2} G ilde{G}(x), \mathcal{O}_6(0)
ight| 0
ight
angle \end{aligned}$$

• Compute these in instanton background

$$A^a_\mu(x) = rac{2\eta^a_{\mu
u}(x-x_0)^
u}{(x-x_0)^2+
ho^2} \qquad \qquad Q = rac{1}{32\pi^2}\int d^4x\,G^a_{\mu
u} ilde{G}^{a,\mu
u} Q = 1 ext{ BPST solution}$$

Adding the SM degrees of freedom

- We want to calculate contributions of SMEFT operators \rightarrow have to add fermions and Higgs
- Calculate the correlation function in topological susceptibility from path integral

$$egin{aligned} &\langle 0 \, | \mathcal{O} | 0
angle = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}ar{\psi}\mathcal{D}h \, e^{-S_E[A]} e^{iS_0[\psi,ar{\psi}]} e^{iS_0[h]} e^{iS_{int}[\psi,ar{\psi},h]} \mathcal{O} \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S$$

Adding the SM degrees of freedom

- We want to calculate contributions of SMEFT operators \rightarrow have to add fermions and Higgs
- Calculate the correlation function in topological susceptibility from path integral

$$egin{aligned} &\langle 0 \, | \mathcal{O} | 0
angle = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}ar{\psi}\mathcal{D}h \, e^{-S_E[A]} e^{iS_0[\psi,ar{\psi}]} e^{iS_0[h]} e^{iS_{int}[\psi,ar{\psi},h]} \mathcal{O} \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + iQ heta \ & S$$

• Zero modes of SU(3) charged fermions take special form in instanton background

$$i\gamma_\mu D^\mu \psi^{(n)} = \lambda_n \psi^{(n)}, \quad \lambda_0 = 0 \qquad \qquad \psi^{(0)}(x) = inom{\chi_L}{\chi_R} = rac{1}{\pi} rac{
ho}{ig[(x-x_0)^2+
ho^2ig]^{3/2}} inom{0}{1} arphi$$

Adding the SM degrees of freedom

- We want to calculate contributions of SMEFT operators \rightarrow have to add fermions and Higgs
- Calculate the correlation function in topological susceptibility from path integral

$$egin{aligned} &\langle 0 \, | \mathcal{O} | 0
angle = \int \mathcal{D} A \mathcal{D} \psi \mathcal{D} ar{\psi} \mathcal{D} h \, e^{-S_E[A]} e^{i S_0[\psi,ar{\psi}]} e^{i S_0[h]} e^{i S_{int}[\psi,ar{\psi},h]} \mathcal{O} \ & S_E[A] = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |Q| + i Q heta \end{aligned}$$

• Zero modes of SU(3) charged fermions take special form in instanton background

$$i\gamma_\mu D^\mu \psi^{(n)} = \lambda_n \psi^{(n)}, \quad \lambda_0 = 0 \qquad \qquad \psi^{(0)}(x) = inom{\chi_L}{\chi_R} = rac{1}{\pi} rac{
ho}{ig[(x-x_0)^2+
ho^2ig]^{3/2}} inom{0}{1} arphi$$

• Can split of zero modes from the non-zero modes to simplify calculation

$$\psi = \psi^{(0)} \xi_{\psi} + \psi'$$

 $\mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} = ||\psi^{(0)}||^{-1} d\xi_{\psi}||\bar{\psi}^{(0)}||^{-1} d\bar{\xi}_{\psi} \mathcal{D}\psi' \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}'$
simple Grassmann integration

• First, ignore non-zero mode interactions and integrate over free action of non-zero modes

['t Hooft, 1976]

Identifying the flavor invariants

• As an example, let's take a 4-fermion operator, like

$${\cal O}^{(1)}_{quqd} = (ar Q^i u) \epsilon_{ij} (ar Q^j d)$$

• After splitting of the zero modes we have something that schematically looks like

 $\chi_6(0) \sim (ext{bosonic}) imes \int d\xi_{\psi_1} dar{\xi}_{\psi_1} d\xi_{\psi_2} dar{\xi}_{\psi_2} e^{i\int_x ar{\xi}_1 ar{\psi}_1^{(0)} Y_1 h \psi_1^{(0)} \xi_1 + ar{\xi}_2 ar{\psi}_2^{(0)} Y_2 h \psi_2^{(0)} \xi_2 + ext{h.c.}} \quad Car{\xi}_1 \psi_1^{(0)} \xi_1 ar{\psi}_1^{(0)} ar{\xi}_2 ar{\psi}_2^{(0)} \psi_2^{(0)} \xi_2$



Identifying the flavor invariants

• As an example, let's take a 4-fermion operator, like

$${\cal O}^{(1)}_{quqd}=(ar Q^i u)\epsilon_{ij}(ar Q^j d)$$

• After splitting of the zero modes we have something that schematically looks like

 $\chi_6(0) \sim (ext{bosonic}) imes \int d\xi_{\psi_1} dar{\xi}_{\psi_1} d\xi_{\psi_2} dar{\xi}_{\psi_2} \, e^{i\int_x ar{\xi}_1 ar{\psi}_1^{(0)} Y_1 h \psi_1^{(0)} \xi_1 + ar{\xi}_2 ar{\psi}_2^{(0)} Y_2 h \psi_2^{(0)} \xi_2 + ext{h.c.}} \quad Car{\xi}_1 \psi_1^{(0)} \xi_1 ar{\psi}_1^{(0)} ar{\xi}_2 ar{\psi}_2^{(0)} \psi_2^{(0)} \xi_2$

• This almost looks like the well-known Grassmann integration identity

$$\int d^n lpha d^n eta \, e^{lpha A eta} = \det A$$

• Need generalisation with operator insertion, for 3 generations

$$\int d^3 \xi_1 d^3 \xi_2 \; e^{\xi_1 A \xi_2} \xi_1 B \xi_2 = rac{1}{2} \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 i_3} \epsilon^{j_1 j_2 j_3} A_{i_1 j_1} A_{i_2 j_2} B_{i_3 j_3}$$



Identifying the flavor invariants

• As an example, let's take a 4-fermion operator, like

$${\cal O}^{(1)}_{quqd} = (ar Q^i u) \epsilon_{ij} (ar Q^j d)$$

• After splitting of the zero modes we have something that schematically looks like

 $\chi_6(0) \sim (ext{bosonic}) imes \int d\xi_{\psi_1} dar{\xi}_{\psi_1} d\xi_{\psi_2} dar{\xi}_{\psi_2} \, e^{i\int_x ar{\xi}_1 ar{\psi}_1^{(0)} Y_1 h \psi_1^{(0)} \xi_1 + ar{\xi}_2 ar{\psi}_2^{(0)} Y_2 h \psi_2^{(0)} \xi_2 + ext{h.c.}} \quad Car{\xi}_1 \psi_1^{(0)} \xi_1 ar{\psi}_1^{(0)} ar{\xi}_2 ar{\psi}_2^{(0)} \psi_2^{(0)} \xi_2$

• This almost looks like the well-known Grassmann integration identity

$$\int d^n lpha d^n eta \, e^{lpha A eta} = \det A$$

• Need generalisation with operator insertion, for 3 generations

$$\int d^3 \xi_1 d^3 \xi_2 \; e^{\xi_1 A \xi_2} \xi_1 B \xi_2 = rac{1}{2} \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 i_3} \epsilon^{j_1 j_2 j_3} A_{i_1 j_1} A_{i_2 j_2} B_{i_3 j_3}$$



• Identifying A with Yukawa couplings, B with operator and adding anti-instanton, we find

$$\chi_6(0) \sim \mathrm{Im}\left[e^{-i heta}\epsilon^{i_1i_2m}\epsilon^{j_1j_2n}Y_{u,i_1j_1}Y_{u,i_2j_2}C^{(1)}_{quqd,mnop}\epsilon^{k_1k_2o}\epsilon^{l_1l_2p}Y_{d,k_1l_1}Y_{d,k_2l_2}
ight] imes igg(egin{array}{c} \mathrm{int.\ over\ zero\ mode} \ \mathrm{coordinates\ and\ Higgses} \end{pmatrix}$$

$${\cal O}^{(1)}_{lequ}=(ar L^i e)\epsilon_{ij}(ar Q^j u)$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[e^{-i heta}C^{(1)}_{lequ,ijkl}Y^{\dagger}_{e,ji}\epsilon^{k_1k_2k}\epsilon^{l_1l_2l}Y_{u,k_1l_1}Y_{u,k_2l_2}\mathrm{det}Y_d
ight]$$

$${\cal O}^{(1)}_{lequ}=(ar L^i e)\epsilon_{ij}(ar Q^j u)$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[e^{-i heta}C^{(1)}_{lequ,ijkl}Y^{\dagger}_{e,ji}\epsilon^{k_1k_2k}\epsilon^{l_1l_2l}Y_{u,k_1l_1}Y_{u,k_2l_2}\mathrm{det}Y_d
ight]$$

Treat non-zero modes ψ' perturbatively:

 $ar{\psi}YP_Rh\psi=ar{\psi}^{(0)}YP_Rh\psi^{(0)}+ar{\psi}^{(0)}YP_Rh\psi'+ar{\psi}'YP_Rh\psi^{(0)}+ar{\psi}'YP_Rh\psi'$

$$e^{iS_{int}[\psi',ar{\psi}']} = 1 + iS_{int}[\psi',ar{\psi}'] - rac{S_{int}[\psi',ar{\psi}']^2}{2} + \dots \ \int \mathcal{D}\psi'\mathcal{D}ar{\psi}'\,e^{iS_0[\psi',ar{\psi}']}\psi_i'(x_1)ar{\psi}_j'(x_2) = \Delta_F(x_1-x_2)\delta_{ij}$$



$${\cal O}^{(1)}_{quqd}=(ar Q^i u)\epsilon_{ij}(ar Q^j d)$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\left[e^{-i\theta}\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{2}m}\epsilon^{j_{1}j_{2}n}Y_{u,i_{1}j_{1}}Y_{u,i_{2}j_{2}}C^{(1)}_{quqd,mnop}\epsilon^{k_{1}k_{2}o}\epsilon^{l_{1}l_{2}p}Y_{d,k_{1}l_{1}}Y_{d,k_{2}l_{2}}\right] \qquad \mathrm{Im}\left[e^{-i\theta}\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{2}m}\epsilon^{j_{1}j_{2}n}(X_{u}Y_{u})_{i_{1}j_{1}}Y_{u,i_{2}j_{2}}C^{(1)}_{quqd,mnop}\epsilon^{k_{1}k_{2}o}\epsilon^{l_{1}l_{2}p}Y_{d,k_{1}l_{1}}Y_{d,k_{2}l_{2}}\right]$$

$${\cal O}^{(1)}_{quqd}=(ar Q^i u)\epsilon_{ij}(ar Q^j d)$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\left[e^{-i\theta}\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{2}m}\epsilon^{j_{1}j_{2}n}Y_{u,i_{1}j_{1}}Y_{u,i_{2}j_{2}}C^{(1)}_{quqd,mnop}\epsilon^{k_{1}k_{2}o}\epsilon^{l_{1}l_{2}p}Y_{d,k_{1}l_{1}}Y_{d,k_{2}l_{2}}\right] \qquad \mathrm{Im}\left[e^{-i\theta}\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{2}m}\epsilon^{j_{1}j_{2}n}(X_{u}Y_{u})_{i_{1}j_{1}}Y_{u,i_{2}j_{2}}C^{(1)}_{quqd,mnop}\epsilon^{k_{1}k_{2}o}\epsilon^{l_{1}l_{2}p}Y_{d,k_{1}l_{1}}Y_{d,k_{2}l_{2}}\right]$$





Conclusions

- Axion solution to the strong CP problem depends on quality of PQ symmetry
- Additional contributions to axion potential can spoil resolution of strong CP problem
- We studied small instanton contributions to the axion potential and showed that they are proportional to SMEFT CPV invariants
- We can also use the invariants to study the contributions of all remaining CP-odd operators

Thank you!

Backup

Trace basis vs. determinant-like basis

Example: $\mathcal{O}_{uH} = |H|^2 \bar{Q} \tilde{H} u$ $\operatorname{ImTr}\left(C_{uH}Y_{u}^{\dagger}\right)$ $\operatorname{ImTr}\left(X_{u}C_{uH}Y_{u}^{\dagger}\right)$ $\operatorname{ImTr}\left(X_d C_{uH} Y_u^{\dagger}\right)$ $\operatorname{ImTr}\left(X_{u}X_{d}C_{uH}Y_{u}^{\dagger}\right)$

 $egin{aligned} &\operatorname{Im}(e^{-\mathrm{i} heta_{QCD}}\epsilon^{IJK}\epsilon^{ijk}Y_{u,Ii}Y_{u,Jj}C_{uH,Kk}\det Y_d) \ &\operatorname{Im}(e^{-\mathrm{i} heta_{QCD}}\epsilon^{IJK}\epsilon^{ijk}Y_{u,Ii}Y_{u,Jj}(X_uC_{uH})_{Kk}\det Y_d) \ &\operatorname{Im}(e^{-\mathrm{i} heta_{QCD}}\epsilon^{IJK}\epsilon^{ijk}Y_{u,Ii}Y_{u,Jj}(X_dC_{uH})_{Kk}\det Y_d) \ &\operatorname{Im}(e^{-\mathrm{i} heta_{QCD}}\epsilon^{IJK}\epsilon^{ijk}Y_{u,Ii}Y_{u,Jj}(X_uX_dC_{uH})_{Kk}\det Y_d) \end{aligned}$

Trace basis vs. determinant-like basis

 ${\cal O}_{uH}=|H|^2ar{Q} ilde{H}u$ Example: $\operatorname{ImTr}\left(C_{uH}Y_{u}^{\dagger}\right)$ $\operatorname{ImTr}\left(X_{u}C_{uH}Y_{u}^{\dagger}\right)$ $\operatorname{ImTr}\left(X_d C_{uH} Y_u^{\dagger}\right)$ $\operatorname{ImTr}\left(X_{u}X_{d}C_{uH}Y_{u}^{\dagger}\right)$

 $egin{aligned} &\operatorname{Im}(e^{-\mathrm{i} heta_{QCD}}\epsilon^{IJK}\epsilon^{ijk}Y_{u,Ii}Y_{u,Jj}C_{uH,Kk}\det Y_d) \ &\operatorname{Im}(e^{-\mathrm{i} heta_{QCD}}\epsilon^{IJK}\epsilon^{ijk}Y_{u,Ii}Y_{u,Jj}(X_uC_{uH})_{Kk}\det Y_d) \ &\operatorname{Im}(e^{-\mathrm{i} heta_{QCD}}\epsilon^{IJK}\epsilon^{ijk}Y_{u,Ii}Y_{u,Jj}(X_dC_{uH})_{Kk}\det Y_d) \ &\operatorname{Im}(e^{-\mathrm{i} heta_{QCD}}\epsilon^{IJK}\epsilon^{ijk}Y_{u,Ii}Y_{u,Jj}(X_uX_dC_{uH})_{Kk}\det Y_d) \end{aligned}$

The two bases can be related:

$$\mathcal{I}_{abcd}(C_{uH}) = 2\left(J_{\theta}R_{(a-1)bcd}(C_{uH}Y_u^{\dagger}) + K_{\theta}L_{(a-1)bcd}(C_{uH}Y_u^{\dagger})\right)$$

Det-like CP-odd inv. SM CP-odd θ To CP-even θ -inv

Trace CP-even inv.

| Flavour-Invariant Contribution^{sny} the Strong CP Problem | Jonathan Kley | 04/06/2024

SM CP-even θ -inv.

Trace CP-odd inv.

CPV in the SM – Example: electron EDM

[Pospelov, Ritz, 1311.5537] [Smith, Touati, 1707.06805]

- How can we use flavor invariants? Estimate size of electron EDM in SM.
- We know: EDM breaks chiral symmetry $\implies d_e \propto m_e$

- EDM breaks CP
$$\implies$$
 $d_e \propto J_4$

$${\cal L}_{dip}=-rac{i}{2}d_ear e\sigma^{\mu
u}F_{\mu
u}\gamma_5 e$$

• Draw diagram that can generate J_4

$$d_{e} \sim e \frac{m_{e}}{m_{W}^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{W}^{3} \alpha_{S}}{(4\pi)^{4}} J_{4} \frac{v^{12}}{m_{W}^{12}} \approx 10^{-48} e \cdot cm \qquad [Roussy et al., 2212.11841]$$
Experiment:
$$|d_{e}| < 4.1 \times 10^{-30} e cm$$

• EDMs are strong experimental probes \implies Repeat analysis for new physics?

$$\begin{split} \chi^{(1)}_{\text{quqd}}(0)^{1-\text{inst.}} &= -i \lim_{k \to 0} \int d^4 x \, e^{ikx} \left\{ 0 \left| T \left\{ \frac{1}{32\pi^2} G \widetilde{G}(x), \frac{C^{(1)}_{\text{quqd}}}{\Lambda^2_{\mathcal{QP}}} \mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{\text{quqd}}(0) \right\} \right| 0 \right\}, \\ &= e^{-i\theta_{\text{QCD}}} \int d^4 x_0 \int \frac{d\rho}{\rho^5} d_N(\rho) \int \mathcal{D}H \mathcal{D}H^{\dagger} e^{-S_0[H,H^{\dagger}]} \int \prod_{f=1}^3 \left(\rho^2 \, d\xi^{(0)}_{u_f} d\xi^{(0)}_{d_f} d^2 \bar{\xi}^{(0)}_{Q_f} \right) \\ &\times e^{\int d^4 x (\bar{Q}Y_u \widetilde{H}u + \bar{Q}Y_d H d + \text{h.c.})(x)} \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int d^4 x \, G \widetilde{G}(x) \left(\frac{C^{(1)}_{\text{quqd}}}{\Lambda^2_{\mathcal{QP}}} \bar{Q}u \bar{Q}d(0) + \text{h.c.} \right), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \chi_{\text{quqd}}^{(1)}(0)^{1-\text{inst.}} &= e^{-i\theta_{\text{QCD}}} \int d^4 x_0 \int \frac{d\rho}{\rho^5} d_N(\rho) \int \mathcal{D}H \mathcal{D}H^{\dagger} e^{-S_0[H,H^{\dagger}]} \prod_{f=1}^3 \left(\rho^2 \, d\xi_{u_f}^{(0)} d\xi_{d_f}^{(0)} d^2 \bar{\xi}_{Q_f}^{(0)} \right) \\ &\times \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 d^4 x_3 d^4 x_4 \frac{1}{4!} \left[\sum_{\substack{\text{perm. over} \\ \text{fermion fields}}} \bar{\xi}_{Q_{i_1}}^{(0)} (\bar{\psi}^{(0)} Y_{\mathrm{u},i_1j_1} \widetilde{H}^I P_R \psi^{(0)})(x_1) \xi_{u_{j_1}}^{(0)} \right. \\ &\times \bar{\xi}_{Q_{i_2}^J}^{(0)} (\bar{\psi}^{(0)} Y_{\mathrm{u},i_2j_2} \widetilde{H}^J P_R \psi^{(0)})(x_2) \xi_{u_{j_2}}^{(0)} - \bar{\xi}_{Q_{k_1}^K}^{(0)} (\bar{\psi}^{(0)} Y_{\mathrm{d},k_1l_1} H^K P_R \psi^{(0)})(x_3) \xi_{d_{l_1}}^{(0)} \\ &\times \bar{\xi}_{Q_{k_2}^{(0)}}^{(0)} (\bar{\psi}^{(0)} Y_{\mathrm{d},k_2l_2} H^L P_R \psi^{(0)})(x_4) \xi_{d_{l_2}}^{(0)} \int d^4 x \frac{G\widetilde{G}(x)}{32\pi^2} \left(\frac{C_{\mathrm{quqd},mnop}}{\Lambda_{\mathcal{QP}}^2} \bar{\xi}_{Q_m^M}^{(0)} (\bar{\psi}^{(0)} P_R \psi^{(0)}) \xi_{u_n}^{(0)} \epsilon_{MN} \\ &\times \bar{\xi}_{Q_o^N}^{(0)} (\bar{\psi}^{(0)} P_R \psi^{(0)}) \xi_{d_p}^{(0)} \right) (0) \right], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \chi^{(1)}_{\text{quqd}}(0)^{1-\text{inst.}} &= \frac{1}{4\Lambda_{QP}^{2}} \Big[e^{-i\theta_{\text{QCD}}} \epsilon^{i_{1}i_{2}m} \epsilon^{j_{1}j_{2}n} Y_{\text{u},i_{1}j_{1}} Y_{\text{u},i_{2}j_{2}} C^{(1)}_{\text{quqd},mnop} \epsilon^{k_{1}k_{2}o} \epsilon^{l_{1}l_{2}p} Y_{\text{d},k_{1}l_{1}} Y_{\text{d},k_{2}l_{2}} \\ &+ e^{-i\theta_{\text{QCD}}} \epsilon^{i_{1}i_{2}m} \epsilon^{j_{1}j_{2}n} Y_{\text{u},i_{1}j_{1}} Y_{\text{u},i_{2}j_{2}} C^{(1)}_{\text{quqd},onmp} \epsilon^{k_{1}k_{2}o} \epsilon^{l_{1}l_{2}p} Y_{\text{d},k_{1}l_{1}} Y_{\text{d},k_{2}l_{2}} \Big] \int d^{4}x_{0} \int \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{5}} d_{N}(\rho) \rho^{6} \\ &\times \underbrace{\int \mathcal{D}H \mathcal{D}H^{\dagger} e^{-S_{0}[H,H^{\dagger}]} \Big[\int d^{4}x_{1} d^{4}x_{2} (\bar{\psi}^{(0)} H^{\dagger}_{I} \epsilon^{IJ} P_{R} \psi^{(0)})(x_{1}) (\bar{\psi}^{(0)} \epsilon_{JK} H^{K} P_{R} \psi^{(0)})(x_{2}) \Big]^{2} \\ &= 2! [\int d^{4}x_{1} d^{4}x_{2} (\bar{\psi}^{(0)} P_{R} \psi^{(0)})(x_{1}) \Delta_{H}(x_{1}-x_{2}) \epsilon_{IJ} \epsilon^{JI} (\bar{\psi}^{(0)} P_{R} \psi^{(0)})(x_{2})]^{2} \\ &\times \left(\epsilon_{MN} \epsilon^{MN} \bar{\psi}^{(0)} P_{R} \psi^{(0)} \bar{\psi}^{(0)} P_{R} \psi^{(0)} \right) (0) \int d^{4}x \frac{G\widetilde{G}(x)}{32\pi^{2}} \,. \end{split}$$

$$A_{\text{quqd}}^{(1)} = e^{-i\theta_{\text{QCD}}} \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 m} \epsilon^{j_1 j_2 n} Y_{\text{u}, i_1 j_1} Y_{\text{u}, i_2 j_2} C_{\text{quqd}, mnop}^{(1)} \epsilon^{k_1 k_2 o} \epsilon^{l_1 l_2 p} Y_{\text{d}, k_1 l_1} Y_{\text{d}, k_2 l_2}$$

$$B_{\text{quqd}}^{(1)} = e^{-i\theta_{\text{QCD}}} \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 m} \epsilon^{j_1 j_2 n} Y_{\text{u}, i_1 j_1} Y_{\text{u}, i_2 j_2} C_{\text{quqd}, onmp}^{(1)} \epsilon^{k_1 k_2 o} \epsilon^{l_1 l_2 p} Y_{\text{d}, k_1 l_1} Y_{\text{d}, k_2 l_2}$$

DESY. | Flavour-Invariant Contributions to the Strong CP Problem | Jonathan Kley | 04/06/2024

Final result:

$$\chi_{\text{quqd}}^{(1)}(0) = \frac{2i}{\Lambda_{\text{QP}}^2} \operatorname{Im} \left(A_{\text{quqd}}^{(1)} + B_{\text{quqd}}^{(1)} \right) \int d^4 x_0 \int \frac{d\rho}{\rho^5} d_N(\rho) \rho^6 \mathcal{I}^2 \left[\frac{4\rho^4}{\pi^4} \frac{1}{(x_0^2 + \rho^2)^6} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{quqd}}^{(1)} &= e^{-i\theta_{\text{QCD}}} \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 m} \epsilon^{j_1 j_2 n} Y_{\text{u}, i_1 j_1} Y_{\text{u}, i_2 j_2} C_{\text{quqd}, mnop}^{(1)} \epsilon^{k_1 k_2 o} \epsilon^{l_1 l_2 p} Y_{\text{d}, k_1 l_1} Y_{\text{d}, k_2 l_2} \\ B_{\text{quqd}}^{(1)} &= e^{-i\theta_{\text{QCD}}} \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 m} \epsilon^{j_1 j_2 n} Y_{\text{u}, i_1 j_1} Y_{\text{u}, i_2 j_2} C_{\text{quqd}, onmp}^{(1)} \epsilon^{k_1 k_2 o} \epsilon^{l_1 l_2 p} Y_{\text{d}, k_1 l_1} Y_{\text{d}, k_2 l_2} \end{aligned}$$