Strong Gravity Frontier of Particle Physics

Yifan Chen Niels Bohr International Academy yifan.chen@nbi.ku.dk

6 June 2024, IST, Lisboa PLANCK 2024







Danmarks Grundforskningsfond Danish National Research Foundation



(日) (同) (日) (日)



European Research Council Instituted to the European Commission Ultralight Bosons and Superradiance

Black Holes as Neutrino Factories

Probing Ultralight Bosons with Event Horizon Telescope

Illuminating Black Hole Shadow with Dark Matter Annihilation

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Ultralight Bosons

and Superradiance

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

$$-rac{1}{2}
abla^\mu a
abla_\mu a -rac{1}{4}B^{\mu
u}B_{\mu
u}+\mathcal{L}_{
m EH}(H)-V(\Psi), \quad \Psi=a,\phi,B^\mu ext{ and } H^{\mu
u}.$$

Axion: hypothetical pseudoscalar motivated by strong CP problem.

Prediction from fundamental theories with extra dimensions:

e.g. $g^{MN}(5D) \to g^{\mu\nu}(4D) + B^{\mu}(4D), \quad B^{M}(5D) \to B^{\mu}(4D) + a(4D).$

String axiverse/photiverse: logarithmic mass window, $\mu \propto e^{-\mathcal{V}_{6D}}$.

• Coherent wave dark matter candidates when $\mu < 1$ eV:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}^{\mu}) \simeq \Psi_0(\mathbf{x}) \cos \omega t; \qquad \Psi_0 \simeq \frac{\sqrt{
ho}}{\mu}; \qquad \omega \simeq \mu.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Superradiant Gravitational Atoms

• Gravitational atom between BH and boson cloud: BL coordinate: $\Psi^{GA}(x^{\mu}) = e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\phi} S_{\ell m}(\theta) R_{\ell m}(r)$. Fine-structure constant: $\alpha \equiv G_N M_{BH} \mu$, Bohr radius: r_g/α^2 . BH horizon $\rightarrow \omega \simeq \mu + i\Gamma$.



$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mbox{Compton wavelength } \lambda_c &\simeq & \mbox{gravitational radius } r_g. \\ \mu \sim 10^{-12} \, \mbox{eV} &\leftrightarrow & M_{\rm BH} \sim 10 \, M_{\odot}. \end{array}$$

m = 1

 $\begin{array}{l} \Psi_{\rm max}^{\rm GA} \equiv \Psi_0 \mbox{ approaches } M_{\rm pl} \\ \mbox{when } M_{\rm cloud} \leq 10\% \ M_{\rm BH}: \\ \\ \frac{M_{\rm cloud}}{M_{\rm BH}} \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 0.5\% \ \left(\frac{\Psi_0}{10^{15} \, {\rm GeV}}\right)^2 \ \left(\frac{0.4}{\alpha}\right)^4 \mbox{ for scalar,} \\ 0.8\% \ \left(\frac{\Psi_0}{10^{17} \, {\rm GeV}}\right)^2 \ \left(\frac{0.4}{\alpha}\right)^4 \mbox{ for vector.} \end{array} \right. \\ \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Local dark matter field:} \\ \Psi_0^\odot \approx 2 \, {\rm GeV} \ \left(\frac{10^{-12} \, {\rm eV}}{\mu}\right) \end{array}$

► Black holes are powerful transducers for ultralight bosons.

Superradiance for Boson with Negligible Interaction

For bosons with negligible interaction, superradiance stops after BH spins down and M_{cloud} takes up to 10%M_{BH}.



- High spin excludes boson mass in SR range with reasonable τ_{BH}. [Arvanitaki, Brito, Davoudiasl, Denton, Stott, Unal, Saha et al]
- ► GW from boson annihilation and transition slowly decreases M_{cloud}.

(日)、

[Yoshino, Brito, Isi, Siemonsen, Sun, Palomba, Zhu, Tsukada, Yuan, LVK et al]

Superradiant Saturating Cloud

 Self interaction or matter interaction triggers cloud energy leakage, balancing SR, invalidating spin constraints.



- Two examples for axion:
 - lonized axion waves for $\Psi_0 \sim f_a < 10^{16}\,{
 m GeV}$ [Yoshino et al 12', Baryakht et al 20'].
 - γ production for $g_{a\gamma} \Psi_0 \sim 1$ [Rosa et al 17', Ikeda et al 18', Spieksma et al 23'].
- **Saturated** M_{cloud} is determined by interaction strength.

Black Holes as

Neutrino Factories

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Particle Production from Boson Background

• Neutrino self-interaction mediated by light scalar, majoron: $g_{\phi\nu}\phi\nu_L\nu_L$.

$$\omega_{\nu}^{2} = k^{2} + m_{\text{eff}}^{2}, \qquad m_{\text{eff}} = m_{\nu} + g_{\phi\nu}\phi_{0}\cos\mu t.$$

▶ Non-adiabatic production when $|\dot{\omega}_{\nu}/\omega_{\nu}^2| \gg 1$: A fermi sphere with $k_* = \sqrt{g_{\phi\nu}\phi_0\mu}/2$ is pumped when $m_{\rm eff} \sim 0$ [Greene Kofman 98' 00'].



Production rate: $\Gamma_{\phi\nu} \approx (g_{\phi\nu}\phi_0)^{3/2} \mu^{5/2}/(48\pi^3)$.

- Schwinger pair production from vector clouds with $g_{V\nu}A'^{\mu}\nu_{L}^{\dagger}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\nu_{L}$. Production rate: $\Gamma_{V\nu} \approx \frac{g_{V\nu}^{2}E_{A'}^{2}}{48\pi}$, where $E_{A'} \sim \mu |\vec{A'}|$.
- **Strong field frontier**: similar to preheating and strong field QED.

Neutrino Acceleration from Boson Cloud

Neutrino propagation under boson background:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{p}_{\nu}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{p}_{\nu}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\kappa\beta}^{\alpha}\,\boldsymbol{p}_{\nu}^{\kappa}\,\boldsymbol{p}_{\nu}^{\beta} + \begin{cases} -\nabla^{\alpha}m_{\mathrm{eff}}^{2}/(2\boldsymbol{p}_{\nu}^{0})\leftarrow\text{scalar force [Uzan et al 20']}.\\ \pm\,\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}\nu}(\vec{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}}_{\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}}+\vec{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\nu}\times\vec{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}}_{\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}}). \end{cases}$$

 $\cos\theta_{o}$

 $|\cos\theta_{o}|$

-1

-1

-0.5

-0.5

 $\text{Log}_{10}[\omega_{\text{acc}}^{\psi}/(g_{\text{S/V}}\Psi_0)]$

 $\Delta \Phi_{\omega} / \Phi_{\tau}^{tot}$

 $\frac{\overline{\omega}_{\rm acc}}{\alpha,\Psi} \approx 0.274$

0

0

≈0.365

- Final average momentum: $\bar{\omega}_{\rm acc}^{\nu} \sim g_{\Psi\nu} \Psi_0.$
- Flux from scalar cloud prefers face-on observer while vector cloud prefers edge-on one.
- Both spatial and temporal variation are necessary for acceleration in scalar cloud.

• ν decays to charged leptons and π^{\pm} once $m_{\text{eff}} > m_{\pi}$.

Spin Measurement and Neutrino Flux

- Neutrino emission from saturation phase $\Gamma_{\Psi\nu} = \Gamma_{SR}$.
 - \rightarrow saturated field value Ψ_0^c .
- High spin excludes region:
 - Scalar: $g_{\phi\nu}\Psi_0^c \ge m_{\pi}$.
 - Vector: $\Gamma_{V\nu} \ll \Gamma_{SR}$.
- TeV-point sources surpass diffusive atmospheric ν.
- Multi-messenger observation:
 - GW and EM searches for BHs.
 - Neutrino and boosted dark matter.

SN198 -7 Scalar Stellar -8 -og ₁₀[g_{SV}] M87' -mass -9 SgrA BHs -10 BH -11 Spin -12 -20 -18 -16 -14 -12 CMB -6 Vector -8 [^^]0[-10 [0] -12 [0] -12 Stellar-mass BHs Neutrino Fluxes -14 **BH Spin** -16 -13 -12 -11

 $Log_{10}[\mu/eV]$

[YC, Xue, Cardoso, arXiv:2308.00741]

Probing Ultralight Bosons

with Event Horizon Telescope

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

EHT and ngEHT for new physics

Event Horizon Telescope: best-ever spatial resolution from VLBI.





Stokes Q, U **EVPA** $\chi \equiv$ $\arg(Q + i \ U)/2$ [EHT 21']

Photon bound orbits outside BHs:

Photon ring with enhanced intensity.

- \rightarrow Precise test of general relativity.
 - Astrometry for new physics?

Synchrotronic Linear polarization reveals magnetic field structure.

Four days' observations **show slight difference**.

New interactions?

[Fundamental Physics Opportunities with the ngEHT, Ayzenberg et al, 2312.02130]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

Photon Ring Astrometry for Gravitational Atoms

Superradiant clouds generate local oscillatory metric perturbations $g_{\mu\nu} \simeq g_{\mu\nu}^{\rm K} + \epsilon h_{\mu\nu}$ that deflect geodesics $x^{\mu} \simeq x_{(0)}^{\mu} + \epsilon x_{(1)}^{\mu}$:



- Axion/scalar cloud mainly causes time delay [Khmelnitsky, Rubakov 13'].
- Polarized vector or tensor cloud contribute to both time delay and spatial deflection.
- Photon ring autocorrelations [Hadar et al 20] probe M_{cloud}/M_{BH} to 10⁻³ for vector and 10⁻⁷ for tensor.

Axion Cloud Induced Birefringence

- Axion-induced Birefringence: rotation of linear polarization: $\mathbf{g}_{a\gamma}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\mu\nu}/2 \rightarrow \Delta\chi = g_{a\gamma}[a(t_{obs}, \mathbf{x}_{obs}) - a(t_{emit}, \mathbf{x}_{emit})].$
- Extended sources, plasma and curved space-time effects?

Covariant radiative transfer [IPOLE simulation]

with an accretion flow model outside SMBH:

[Strominger 19']



Stringent Constraints on Axion-Photon Coupling



Next-generation EHT is expected to significantly increase sensitivity.

[YC, Li, Liu, Lu, Mizuno, Shu, Xue, Yuan, Zhao, Zhou, PRL **124** (2020) no.6, 061102, Nature Astron. **6** (2022) no.5, 592-598, JCAP **09** (2022), 073]

Illuminating Black Hole Shadow

with Dark Matter Annihilation

Black Hole Inner Shadow [Chael, Johnson, Lupsasca 21']

- Best-fit GRMHD model (MAD) from EHT observation:
 - Jet region: strong B and low n_e .
 - Geometric thin emissions near equator, extending to BH horizon,
 - \rightarrow Inner shadow: lensed contour of equatorial BH horizon.



▶ **ngEHT** with high dynamic range $I/I_{\text{max}} > 10^{-3}$ can see inner shadow.

Dark Matter Spike

Particle DM density can be significant outside SMBHs assuming adiabatic accretion [Gondolo, Silk 99'].



• Annihilation into e^+/e^- contribute to synchrotron radiation [Lacroix et al 18'].

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Illuminating Black Hole Shadow with Dark Matter Annihilation

Spike bi



Inner shadow can be illuminated, setting stringent constraints:

Inner Shadoy

MAD M87* Spike bb

-10

MAD M87*

Inner Shadow

 $a[r_g]$



2

 $\log_{10}(m_{\rm DM}/{\rm GeV})$

(日)、

EHT morphology

3

ngEHT morphology



-31

500

0

ж

Illuminating Black Hole Shadow with Dark Matter Annihilation



◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ● 三 のへの

Summary

- Rotating black holes are powerful transducers for ultralight bosons due to superradiance.
- Strong field frontier:
 - Parametric particle production and acceleration.
- Multi-messenger correlation:

GW/EM observation \leftrightarrow neutrino/dark matter detection.

- Event Horizon Telescope:
 - Photon geodesics deflection.
 - Linear polarization rotation.
 - Dark matter illuminating the inner shadow.





Thank you!



BLACK HOLES AND FUNDAMENTAL FIELDS, SCHOOL & WORKSHOP, LISBON, 1-5 JULY 2024

Appendix

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Gravitational Atom-induced Geodesics Deflections

Backward ray-tracing:



Two phases of evolution:

- Perturbative generation of oscillatory deviations;
- Photon ring instability leads to exponential growth of the oscillatory deviations between two sequential crossing the equatorial plane.

Astrometrical Photon Ring Autocorrelations

A photon pair executing different half orbits number *N*:

► Intensity fluctuation correlation: $\langle \Delta I(t, \varphi) \Delta I(t+T, \varphi+\Phi) \rangle$, peaks at $T \approx N\tau_0$ and $\Phi \approx N\delta_0$ [Hadar, Johnson, Lupsasca, Wong 20].





Observables: $\Delta \Phi^N = \Phi_0^N \cos(\omega t + \delta)$ for N = 1 and 2.

▶ Probe M_{cloud}/M_{BH} to 10^{-3} for vector and 10^{-7} for tensor.

Photon Ring Autocorrelations as Astrometry

Photon ring autocorrelation exclusion criteria: ΔΦ^N > ℓ_φ ≈ 4.3° or ngEHT's smearing kernel for φ: 10°.



- A tensor with linear coupling to stress tensors is more sensitive than a vector with quadratic couplings.
- N = 2 correlation peak can probe large unexplored parameter space of cloud mass.

Sources with shorter correlation time, e.g., hotspots or pulsars can significantly increase the sensitivity.

Weakly Saturating Axion Cloud

• Strong self-interaction region $a^{\text{GA}} \simeq f_a$ happens when $f_a < 10^{16}$ GeV:

$$V(a) = m_a^2 f_a^2 \left(1 - \cos \frac{a}{f_a} \right) = \frac{m_a^2 a^2}{2} - \frac{m_a^2 a^4}{24f_a^2} + \dots;$$

A quasi-equilibruim phase where superradiance and non-linear interaction induced emission balance each other with a^{GA}_{max} ≃ O(1) f_a.



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

[Yoshino, Kodama 12' 15', Baryakht et al 20']

Event Horizon Telescope: an Earth-sized Telescope

- For single telescope with diameter D, the angular resolution for photon of wavelength λ is around ^λ/_D;
- VLBI: for multiple radio telescopes, the effective D becomes the maximum separation between the telescopes.







on the moon from the Earth. $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle$

As good as being able to see

Supermassive Black Hole (SMBH) M87* [EHT 19' 21']

Event Horizon Telescope: best-ever spatial resolution from VLBI.

Total intensity *I*





Linear polarization Q, UEVPA $\chi \equiv \arg(Q + i \ U)/2$

- First-time: shadow and the ring;
- Ring size determines $6.5 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$;
- Polarization map reveals magnetic field structure.
- Four days' observations show slight difference.

From other observations:

- Nearly extreme Kerr black hole: $a_J > 0.8$;
- Almost face-on disk with a 17° inclination angle;
- Rich information under strong gravity, what else can we learn?



Axion Cloud and Birefringence

• Axion cloud saturates
$$f_a$$
 due to self-interactions:
 $a^{GA}(x^{\mu}) \simeq R_{11}(\mathbf{x}) \cos [m_a t - \phi] \sin \theta;$
 $a^{GA}_{max} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) f_a;$
 $\omega \simeq m_a.$
• $g_{a\gamma} a F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \text{achromatic birefringence to EVPA } \chi \equiv \arg(Q + i \ U)/2:$
Local frame : $\frac{d(Q + i \ U)}{ds} = j_Q + i \ j_U + i \left(\rho_V^{FR} - 2g_{a\gamma} \frac{da^{GA}}{ds}\right) (Q + i \ U).$
Intensity weighted
 $\Delta \langle \chi(\varphi) \rangle$
each photon:
 $\Delta \chi \approx g_{a\gamma} \times a^{GA}(\chi^{\mu}_{emit})$

φ

• $\Delta \langle \chi(\varphi) \rangle$: propagating wave along φ on the sky plane BL coordinate: $a^{GA} \propto \cos[m_a t - \phi] \rightarrow \Delta \langle \chi(\varphi) \rangle \propto \mathcal{A}(\varphi) \cos[m_a t + \varphi + \delta(\varphi)].$

Axion Birefringence for RIAF around M87* (IPOLE simulation)

 $\Delta \langle \chi(\varphi) \rangle = \mathcal{A}(\varphi) \cos[\mathbf{m}_{a}t + \varphi + \delta(\varphi)].$

Scan axion mass: $\alpha \equiv r_g m_a \in [0.10, 0.44]$ with period [5, 20] days.





- $\delta(\varphi) \approx -5 \alpha \sin 17^{\circ} \cos \varphi$: phase delay at different φ .
- Asymmetry of $\mathcal{A}(\varphi) = \mathcal{O}(1)g_{a\gamma}f_a$: washout from lensed photon with $\delta_{12} = \omega\delta t - \delta\phi!$

Axion Birefringence for RIAF around M87* (IPOLE simulation)

 $\Delta \langle \chi(\varphi) \rangle = \mathcal{A}(\varphi) \cos[\mathbf{m}_{a}t + \varphi + \delta(\varphi)].$

Scan axion mass: $\alpha \equiv r_g m_a \in [0.10, 0.44]$ with period [5, 20] days.



- $\delta(\varphi) \approx -5 \alpha \sin 17^{\circ} \cos \varphi$: phase delay at different φ .
- Asymmetry of A(φ) = O(1)g_{aγ}f_a: washout from lensed photon with δ₁₂ = ωδt − δφ!



Lensed Photon Washout

The ratio between linear polarization from lensed photon and direct emissions vary from RIAF models, giving different washout effects.



• Universal birefringence signals for direct emission only:



Prospect for next-generation EHT

Next-generation EHT is expected to significantly increase sensitivity.



Recent updates:

- Constraints from EVPAs on the whole image.
- Closure traces for EVPA variations with specific patterns [Broderick et al].

Prospect for next-generation EHT

• Correlation between $\Delta \chi$ at different radius and frequency.

At 86 GHz, lensed photon is suppressed due to higher optical thickness.



- Longer and sequential observations.
- Better resolution of EVPA.
- Better understanding of accretion flow and jet. Intrinsic variations of EVPA from GRMHD simulation?

Prospect for next-generation EHT

• Correlation between $\Delta \chi$ at **different radius** and frequency.

At 86 GHz, lensed photon is suppressed due to higher optical thickness.



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

3

Longer and sequential observations.

- Better resolution of EVPA.
- Better understanding of accretion flow and jet. Intrinsic variations of EVPA from GRMHD simulation?

Birefringence from Soliton Core Dark Matter

• Ultralight axion dark matter forms soliton core in the galaxy center. Quantum pressure balences gravitational interactions $a \sim 10^{10}$ GeV.



- Linearly polarized photon from pulsar. [Liu et al 19' Caputo et al 19']
- Polarized radiation from Sgr A*. [Yuan, Xia, YC, Yuan et al 20']
- Coherent signals at each pixel increase the sensitivity.

Axion QED: Achromatic Birefringence [Carroll, Field, Jackiw 90']

$$\mathcal{L}=-rac{1}{4} {F}_{\mu
u}{F}^{\mu
u}-rac{1}{2} {g}_{a\gamma}{a}{F}_{\mu
u} ilde{F}^{\mu
u}+rac{1}{2}\partial^{\mu}a\partial_{\mu}a-V(a),$$

Chiral dispersions for photons propragating under axion background:

$$\begin{split} [\partial_t^2 - \nabla^2] A_{L,R} &= \mp 2 g_{a\gamma} n^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} a \, k \, A_{L,R}, \qquad \omega_{L,R} \sim k \mp g_{a\gamma} n^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} a. \\ n^{\mu}: \text{ unit directional vector} \end{split}$$

Rotation of electric vector position angle of linear polarization:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta\chi & = & g_{a\gamma} \int_{\rm emit}^{\rm obs} n^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} a \ dl \\ & = & g_{a\gamma} [a(t_{\rm obs}, {\bf x}_{\rm obs}) - a(t_{\rm emit}, {\bf x}_{\rm emit})]. \end{array}$$

▶ Topological effect for each photon: only $a(x_{emit}^{\mu})$ and $a(x_{obs}^{\mu})$ dependent.

Accretion Flow around M87*

- ► EHT polarimetric measurements prefer Magnetically Arrested Disk with vertical *B* around M87^{*}.
- Analytic model: sub-Kep radiatively inefficient accretion flow:



• Dimensionless thickness parameter H = 0.05 and 0.3 as benchmark.

EHT Polarization Data Characterization

Four days' polarization map with slight difference on sequential days:



Uncertainty of the azimuthal bin EVPA from polsolve:



ranging from $\pm 3^{\circ}$ to $\pm 15^{\circ}$ for the bins used.

Landscape of SMBH and Accretion Flow (IPOLE simulation)

Horizon scale SMBH landscape with nnngEHT (space, L2):



Universal birefringence signals for direct emission only:





