Searching for primordial gravitational waves in angular correlations of the CMB

Miguel-Angel Sanchis-Lozano

IFIC & Department of Theoretical Physics

University of Valencia-CSIC, Spain

Miguel.Angel.Sanchis@ific.uv.es



Based on:

M.A. Sanchis-Lozano, F. Melia, M. López-Corredoira and N. Sanchis-Gual *Astron.Astrophys.* 660 (2022) A121 [arXiv:2202.10987]

M.A. Sanchis-Lozano *Universe* 8 (2022) 8, 396 [arXiv:2205.13257]

M.A. Sanchis-Lozano and V. Sanz, *Phys.Rev.* D 109 (2024) 6, 063529 [arXiv:2312.02740]

Starting point

In an inflationary scenario, primordial **density inhomogeneities** due to unavoidable **quantum fluctuations of the inflaton field**, are the seed of later **primary (temperature) CMB anisotropies**, as well as of the large scale structure of our observable universe today.

Commonly, temperature anisotropies are mainly attributed to the scalar modes of the inflaton field, while tensor modes are expected to contribute to a quite lesser extent, hardly distinguishable from the former.

Hence, common wisdom dictates that **polarization of the CMB remains as the main hope to detect primordial gravitational waves** produced in the very early universe as only tensor modes - and not scalar modes- can produce B-modes of polarization.

Here we first focus on the expected small but maybe observational effect of the tensor modes on the CMB temperature correlations especially at large angle (low multipoles), as a way of searching for PGW.

At the end we examine the effect of tensor modes on the quadrupole and octupole contributions to the BB power spectrum of the CMB







Cosmic Microwave Background: maximum angular correlation

Image from: *Introduction to Cosmology* Matts Roos Sig Bang singularity here and now $z \approx 1060$ $\Delta z \approx 80$ co-moving space $\theta_{max} \approx 2^{\underline{o}}$



Horizon problem

N-point angular correlation functions provide a tool

to get rich information from the original temperature rawdata

An inflationary epoch in the very early universe is required

Analogy with high-energy collisions ("artistic" view)



Correlation function vs power spectrum

The information contained in the **angular power spectrum** is basically the same as in the **correlation function** but the latter <u>highlights the behaviour at large angles (small ℓ)</u>

$$C(\cos\theta) = \left\langle \delta T(\vec{n}_1) \ \delta T(\vec{n}_2) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \left(2\ell + 1 \right) C_{\ell} P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)$$
$$\cos\theta = \vec{n}_1 \cdot \vec{n}_2$$

Power-law spectrum and assuming $n_s \approx 1$

$$C_{\ell} \propto \int_0^\infty dk \ k^{n_s - 2} j_{\ell}^2(kr(t_d)) \propto \int_0^\infty \frac{j_{\ell}^2(u)}{u} \ du$$

Spherical Bessel function $u = kr_d$

r_d comoving distance to LSS

$$C_{\ell} = \frac{6}{\ell(\ell+1)} C_2 \quad \ell \leq 30 \quad --$$

Sachs-Wolfe plateau $\ell(\ell+1) C_{\ell} = \text{constant}$

the lower limit of the integral will be soon modified becoming different from zero!!! Unexpected lack of large angle (> 60-70^o) **2-point correlations** in the CMB observed by COBE, WMAP & Planck mission



in more detail using Planck 2018 data:

HOWEVER



An (even small) odd-even imbalance in the Legendre polynomials leads at large angles to

C

upwards tail (even-parity dominance)

downwards tail (odd-parity dominance

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta) &= C_{\text{even}}(\theta) + C_{\text{odd}}(\theta) = \\ &\quad \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell_{\text{even}}} (2\ell+1) \ C_{\ell} \ P_{\ell}(\cos\theta) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell_{\text{odd}}} (2\ell+1) \ C_{\ell} \ P_{\ell}(\cos\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Introducing a single infrared cutoff k_{min} into the scalar power spectrum

original proposal

Melia & Lopez-Corredoira: arXiv: 1712.07847 Astronomy & Astrophysics, Volume 610 (2018) A87

$$C_{\ell} \propto \int_{k_{\min}}^{\infty} dk \ k^{n_s - 2} j_{\ell}^2 \left(kr(t_d) \right) \propto \int_{u_{\min}}^{\infty} \frac{j_{\ell}^2(u)}{u} \, du$$

If $k_{\min} = 0 \to C_{\ell} \propto \frac{1}{\ell(\ell + 1)} \quad \ell \le 20$



Is our Universe (parity) odd?

Nature is parity violating e.g. in the electroweak sector of the Standard Model of particles and interactions



2-point angular correlation function of the CMB

$$C(\cos\theta) \equiv \left\langle \delta T(\vec{n}_1) \, \delta T(\vec{n}_2) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \left(2\ell + 1 \right) C_{\ell} P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)$$

determined using a cosmological model + fit to data

There are other anomalies/tensions of the Cosmological SM not considered in this talk



Parity asymmetry statistic

$$Q(\ell_{\max}) = \frac{2}{\ell_{\max}^{odd} - 1} \sum_{\ell=3}^{\ell_{\max}^{odd}} \frac{D_{\ell-1}}{D_{\ell}}, \ \ell_{\max} \ge 3 \quad \text{only odd integers}$$

Aluri & Jain, MNRAS 2012, 419, 3378



Notice that adding an infrared cutoff

into the scalar power spectrum

breaks parity balance

Improvement but

not really satisfactory yet



Next step: two infrared cutoffs instead of one in the primordial power spectrum affecting odd and even multipoles, respectively

M.A. Sanchis-Lozano, *Universe* 8 (2022) 8, 396 [arXiv:2205.13257]

M.A. Sanchis-Lozano and V. Sanz, *Phys.Rev.* D 109 (2024) 6, 063529 [arXiv:2312.02740]

Assumption of a KK extra-dimension in the very early universe (GUT era) leads yo a set of two infrared cutoffs for both scalar and tensor modes

Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions when applied on an extra spatial dimension: lead to the following ratio of infrared cutoffs in the scalar and tensor power spectra





M.A. Sanchis-Lozano, Universe 8 (2022) 8, 396, 2205.13257

$$C_{\ell_{\text{even/odd}}} = N \int_{u_{\min}^{\text{even/odd}}}^{\infty} du \, \frac{j_{\ell}^2(u)}{u}$$

Scalar modes: Sachs-Wolfe effect

$$u_{\min}^{\text{odd}} = 2.67 \pm 0.31$$
; $u_{\min}^{\text{even}} = 5.34 \pm 0.62$.

$$\frac{u_{\min}^{\text{even}}}{u_{\min}^{\text{odd}}} = \frac{k_{\min}^{\text{even}}}{k_{\min}^{\text{odd}}} = 2$$

(remains fixed in our fits)





Last but not least remark



Note that the effect of a lower cut-off u_{min} becomes noticeable at $\ell \geq u_{min}$

the observed parity imbalance in angular correlations can be associated with the detection of PGW

Final remark:

Checking some inflation parameters obtained from our fits:

$$r \approx 0.68 \left\langle \frac{C_{\ell}^{T}}{C_{\ell}^{S}} \right\rangle_{10 \le \ell \le 30} \approx 0.027 \pm 0.007$$

Ratio of tensor-to-scalar power spectra

Uncertainties due to theoretical approximations and modelling dependence are not included

consistency relation:

$$r = 16\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \approx 0.0017$$

BB correlations

Very preliminary



Conclusions

Anomalies/tensions from astrophysical/cosmological data somewhat question the Standard Cosmological Model

In our approach the odd-parity preference (ultimately breaking isotropy from the cosmological principle)

observed in angular correlations of CMB by COBE, WMAP and *Planck* missions leads to two infrared cut-offs

$$\rightarrow \frac{u_{\min}^{\text{even}}}{u_{\min}^{\text{odd}}} = \frac{k_{\min}^{\text{even}}}{k_{\min}^{\text{odd}}} \approx 2$$

This phenomenological result can be theoretically motivated by Dirichlet/Neumann boundary conditions imposed on a KK extra-dimension of the early universe affecting primordial scalar and tensor modes

Once primordial tensor modes from PGW are incorporated into the analysis of the temperature correlations the fits of the correlation function $C(\vartheta)$ and the statistic $Q(\vartheta_{max})$ improve significantly

Further checks using polarization of the CMB should be applied, e.g. looking at the ratio of quadrupole and octupole modes in the BB power spectrum might be a clear signal of PWG

Muito obrigado/many thanks!!!

BACK-UP



Figure 4. Histogram of $u_{\min,i}^{\text{odd}}$ (left) and $u_{\min,i}^{\text{even}}$ (right), both showing approximate Gaussian shapes. Let us point out that the condition $u_{\min,i}^{\text{even}} = 2u_{\min,i}^{\text{odd}}$ was required throughout the data analysis.

TWO INFRARED CUT-OFFs

TWO different BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

based on causality

Periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\varphi + 2\pi) &= \psi(\varphi) \quad \frac{\lambda_{\max}^{even} = 2\pi R_h}{\psi(\varphi + 2\pi)} \\ \psi(\varphi + 2\pi) &= -\psi(\varphi) \quad \rightarrow \psi(\varphi + 4\pi) = \psi(\varphi) \quad \frac{\lambda_{\max}^{even} = 4\pi R_h}{\psi(\varphi + 4\pi)} \end{aligned}$$

The angular Fourier expansion of $\psi(\varphi)$ for the periodic condition reads:

$$\psi(\varphi) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}} \alpha_n e^{in\varphi}$$
INTEGERS

For the antiperiodic conditions, the Fourier expansion reads

$$\psi(\varphi) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}^+ + 1/2} \alpha_n e^{in\varphi}$$
,
HALF-INTEGERS

$$k_{\min}^{\text{odd}} = 2\pi a(t_d) / \lambda_{\max}^{\text{odd}}, \quad k_{\min}^{\text{even}} = 2\pi a(t_d) / \lambda_{\max}^{\text{even}}$$

$$\frac{u_{\min}^{\text{even}}}{u_{\min}^{\text{odd}}} = \frac{k_{\min}^{\text{even}}}{k_{\min}^{\text{odd}}} = 2 \qquad \text{comoving scales}$$

The angular Fourier expansion of $\psi(\varphi)$ for the periodic condition reads:

$$\psi(\varphi) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}} \alpha_n \, e^{in\varphi} \tag{15}$$

and for a real function,

$$\psi(\varphi) = 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \operatorname{Re} \alpha_n \, e^{in\varphi} \,, \tag{16}$$

so that only $\cos(n\varphi)$ terms appear in the Fourier expansion. Let us define now a correlation function as in [36]

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \psi(\varphi) \,\psi(\varphi + \Delta \varphi) \,\frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \,. \tag{17}$$

For random Gaussian Fourier coefficients, if we define $\theta = \Delta \varphi / 2$, one finds

$$C(\Delta \varphi) = 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} C_n \cos(n\Delta \varphi) \to C(\theta) = 4 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} C_n \cos^2(n\theta) - 2, \qquad (18)$$

with $C_n = \langle \alpha_n \alpha_n^* \rangle$ and $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ to be identified with the angle appearing as the argument of the two-point correlation function $C(\theta)$.

For the antiperiodic conditions, the Fourier expansion reads

$$\psi(\varphi) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}^+ + 1/2} \alpha_n \, e^{in\varphi} \,, \tag{19}$$

which guarantees that it changes sign when $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + 2\pi$. Therefore,

$$C(\theta) = 4 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ + 1/2} C_n \cos^2(n\theta) - 2.$$
 (20)