



MInternational UON Collider Collaboration

Study of the spent proton beam extraction channel and radiation load to the magnets in the target area

IMCC Annual meeting 13th March 2024

J. Mańczak, D. Calzolari, R. Franqueira Ximenes, A. Lechner, C. Rogers



Presentation Outline



1. Radiation load to the magnets in the proton target area.

- Study of the previous design and the motivation for change.
- Updated tapering magnet layout.
- 2. Extraction channel for the primary protons that pass through the target (spent protons)
 - Options for the chicane geometry allowing to incorporate space for an extraction window
 - Study of muon emittance and momentum spectra.
 - Spatial separation of the extracted protons and the end of the chicane how much space is available to place a beam dump.
 - Energy deposition to the chicane solenoid magnets (normal conducting)

Introduction



• The MC under current investigation is proton driven. **Protons** impact on a solid or liquid target **generating pions** by inelastic collisions.

• The generated pions travels through a tapering region where the **magnetic field** is **adiabatically decreasing**. The effect of this section is to decrease the angular divergence of the produced pions.

• Finally, the beam enters a **chicane** where the high momentum component of the beam is intercepted. Low momentum components (muons and pions) are forced to follow the field lines generated by a series of solenoids. [4]

• The scope of these studies is to assess the radiation load to the magnets in the target area (target and magnets) and develop a shielding design. All the simulation are conducted using FLUKA.

• All the results will be normalized per 2 MW proton beam intensity with 200 days of operation per year.



Parameters



Proton driver beam parameters				
	Baseline	Range		
Beam power [MW]	2	1.5 - 3.0		
Beam energy [GeV]	5	2 - 10		
Pulse frequency [Hz]	5	5 - 50		
Pulse intensity [e14 ppp]	5	3.7 - 7.5		
Bunch per pulse [bpp]	1	1		
Pulse length [ns]	2	1 - 2		
Beam size σ_p [mm]	5	1 - 15		
Impinging angle [deg]	0.0	0.0 - 10		
Target rod				
Material	Graphite $(1.8 \mathrm{g/cm^3})$			
Radius	$15\mathrm{mm}$			
Length	$80\mathrm{mm}$			
Inelastic scattering length	$44.94\mathrm{cm}$			

Note on the long-term radiation damage: we considered 139 days of operation per year $(1.2 \times 10^7 \text{ s})$. In the general parameters table, we assume to operate for 10^7 s per year. All the results are given per year of operation.

J. Manczak

13/13/2024





Radiation load to the target solenoid magnets



ak

IMCC Annual Meeting

13/13/2024

Target area geometry



This is still the old magnet layout!

J. Manczak IMCC Annual Meeting 13/13/2024

DPA/year pure tungsten



Peak DPA, constant gap 75 mm between coils and shielding



DPA/year tungsten+water+boron-carbide



Peak DPA, constant gap 75 mm between coils and shielding



DPA limits in HTS

- From the study by M. Eisterer, a rather conservative limit for DPA/year in high temperature superconductors used in the MuColl target area can be set at the order of 10⁻³, assuming 10 years of operation.
- This study however was performed using reactor neutron spectrum which does not correspond to what we expect in our target area. The effects might vary depending on the energy of the neutrons causing the DPA.
- The DPA might not be the best metric to evaluate long term radiation effects on HTS, but it's easily accessible in FLUKA and with a conservative limit still allows us to assess preventive measures.
- The effects of accumulated DPA can be partially reversed using annealing procedure (heating up the magnet coils between operation). The efficiency of this method depends on the coil material, but possibly also on the damage characteristic. For details, see the <u>study by M. Eisterer</u>.





IMCC Annual Meeting



Max DPA/year in the HTS target solenoid magnets



IMCC Annual Meeting

13/13/2024

J. Manczak

MInternational MUON Collider Collaboration

This value will depend on the annealing capabilities of the future design.

Radiation studies to the target magnets – results



	Pure Tungsten			Dose does not
Magnet coils' inner radius	Shielding thickness in the target area	$DPA/year [10^{-3}]$	Dose [MGy/year]	
$60\mathrm{cm}$	m W~34.7cm	3.1 ± 0.025	10 ± 0.26	seem to be
$65\mathrm{cm}$	m W~39.7cm	1.7 ± 0.016	5.9 ± 0.17	problematic
$70\mathrm{cm}$	m W~44.7cm	0.93 ± 0.013	3.3 ± 0.12	here
$75\mathrm{cm}$	m W~49.7cm	0.51 ± 0.0097	1.9 ± 0.086	
$80\mathrm{cm}$	m W~54.7cm	0.28 ± 0.0069	1.1 ± 0.076	
$85\mathrm{cm}$	m W~59.7cm	0.16 ± 0.0043	0.58 ± 0.053	
${\bf Tungsten + Water + Boron-Carbide}$				
$60\mathrm{cm}$	$W 31.2 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \ 2 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{B}_4\mathrm{C} \ 0.5 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{W} \ 1 \mathrm{cm}$	1.7 ± 0.021	10 ± 0.27	
$65\mathrm{cm}$	W $36.2 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \ 2 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{B}_4\mathrm{C} \ 0.5 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{W} \ 1 \mathrm{cm}$	0.9 ± 0.017	5.6 ± 0.18	
$70\mathrm{cm}$	$W 41.2 \text{ cm} + H_2O 2 \text{ cm} + B_4C 0.5 \text{ cm} + W 1 \text{ cm}$	0.49 ± 0.013	3.1 ± 0.14	
$75\mathrm{cm}$	$W 46.2 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \ 2 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{B}_4\mathrm{C} \ 0.5 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{W} \ 1 \mathrm{cm}$	0.29 ± 0.0092	1.9 ± 0.12	
$80\mathrm{cm}$	$W 51.2 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \ 2 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{B}_4\mathrm{C} \ 0.5 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{W} \ 1 \mathrm{cm}$	0.16 ± 0.0088	1 ± 0.071	
85 cm	$W 56.2 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \ 2 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{B}_4\mathrm{C} \ 0.5 \mathrm{cm} + \mathrm{W} \ 1 \mathrm{cm}$	0.089 ± 0.005	0.57 ± 0.052	

This result concluded the necessity for increase of the target solenoid inner radius AND incorporation of the neutron-capturing layer.

J. Manczak

New tapering magnet layout



New tapering magnet layout

Internationa

UON Collider

The edges are shaped so that the closest distance between the shielding and the magnets is always 7.5 cm.



Displacement per atom in the magnets



Displacement per atom in the magnets ollaboration Tungsten + Water + Boron-Carbide DPA in the 3 most exposed coils with Water + Boron-Carbide layer 0.0014 Coil2 Coil3 0.0012 Coil4 0.001 [1/year] 0.0008 MAX(DPA) PIP PIP 0.0006 0.0004 Still the DPA might be too high - further 0.0002 studies needed! 0└─ -100 -50 50 100 150 200 n Z coordinate J. Manczak **IMCC Annual Meeting** 13/03/2024

Radiation load to the target magnets - summary



- The dependence of the radiation load to the target area magnets on the shielding thickness and composition was evaluated.
- The newest target magnet design was implemented in FLUKA.
- The increased distance of the most exposed coils from the target might still be insufficient to keep the DPA at a tolerable level.
- Possibly, the shielding layers in the new magnet design can still be optimized. To be checked.



Spent proton beam extraction

J. Manczak

IMCC Annual Meeting



3 main concepts for the proton extraction



Concept 2 investigated



Parameters in the model:

- Bending radius
- Opening angle
- First half aperture
- Second half aperture
- Relative shift between the two halfs
- Magnetic field / current density in each coil

Current densities in the solenoid magnets have been fitted with the MINUIT2 minimizer to achieve 1.5T along the center line of the chicane



This increase in the field strength comes from the fact that the current densities in the tapering magnets were not varied in the fit. The attempt to include just the last magnet did not improve the result.

Long straight element with a fixed current density to stabilize the field at the of the chicane

J. Manczak

IMCC Annual Meeting

13/03/2024



Chicane parameter adjustment

13/03/2024





IMCC Annual Meeting

Spent primary protons with E > 4 GeV

J. Manczak

Geometry prepared for a straight target configuration

- Angle = 15 deg
- Bending Radius = 22 m
- Big aperture = 100 cm
- Small aperture = 50 cm
- Center of the narrow part aligned wit the center of the wide part

Figure of merit – the muon emittance



IMCC Annual Meeting

13/03/2024

Concept 2, beam shape



Concept 2, beam shape



Concept 2, beam shape



Concept 2, energy deposition to the most exposed magnets



Possibly, the shape can be fine-tuned to reduce the energy deposition or spread it more evenly between the magnets.

J. Manczak

IMCC Annual Meeting



Magnet	Integrated energy deposition [kW]
MagR45	2.09
MagR47	3.73
MagR49	5.32
MagR51	24.5
MagR53	8.63
MagR55	2.51
Total	46.8



Spent proton beam extraction channel study - summary



- An example chicane configuration was investigated in the scope of spent proton beam extraction.
- A figure of merit for optimization and future comparisons was developed in the process.
- Once the geometry of the chicane and the proton extraction channel is established, the magnetic field at the end of the tapering region should be adjusted considering the chicane magnets. Also, the minimum gap between the last tapering magnet and the chicane should be established.
- Magnetic field in the chicane was calculated assuming relatively liberal constraints on the current density and the big solenoid aperture radius 100 cm. The feasibility of this solution should be verified.

13/03/2024

IMCC Annual Meeting

J. Manczak



Thank you for your attention!









28

Backup

J. Manczak

IMCC Annual Meeting

13/03/2024

Dose in the magnets

UON Collider

Collaboration

Dose / year in the tapering coils, Tungsten only



Displacement per atom in the magnets – new layout







Displacement per atom in the magnets – new layout



DPA / year in the tapering coils, Tungsten with a Water + Boron-Carbide layer



Fitting the field



MInternational VON Collider Collaboration

The fit limits the parameters (current densties) to **16.57 A/m² +/-60%**

Field calculation based on the script developed by D. Calzolari

Data points corresponding to the center of each solenoid magnet and the field strength of 1.5T

The number of fit parameters is equal to the number of data points – the parameters are the current densities of each magnet

J. Manczak

Target meeting

07/03/2024