## The BESSY III Lattice

A highly competitive non-standard lattice for a 4th gen. Light Source with Metrology and Timing Capabilities
P. Goslawski for the CDR, accelerator \& lattice design team
(M. Arlandoo, M. Abo-Bakr, B. Kuske, J. Bengtsson, J. Völker, V. Dürr, A. Jankowiak et al., )
(K. Holldack, Z. Hüsges, K. Kiefer, A. Meseck, R. Müller, M. Sauerborn, O. Schwarzkopf, J. Viefhaus et al., )


## Two partners \& two synchrotron radiation sources



## BESSY III Objectives \& Requirements



## Facility parameters

1. $1^{\text {st }}$ undulator harmonics polarized up to 1 keV from conventional APPLE-II
2. Diffraction limited till 1 keV
3. Stay in Berlin-Adlershof
4. Nanometer spatial res. \& phase space matching
5. PTB/BAM metrology applications

## Already at BESSY II, a 3rd

 generation without combined function bends
## Ring parameters

1. Ring Energy
2.5 GeV
(1.7 GeV)
2. Emittance

100 pm rad ( 5 nm rad )
3. Circumference 350 m

16 straights @ 5.6 m ( 240 m @ 4.5 m)
4. Low beta straights \& maybe round beams
5. Metrology source
 Homogenous bends Measuring the field at the source point with a NMR probe in a volume of $10 \times 10 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$

## 6. Momentum $>1.0 \mathrm{e}-4$ compaction factor

## PTB - Metrology Sources, Homogenous Bends

ASML
(Physikalisch Technische Bundesanstalt)
EUV Litography system (200-300M€)

## An absolute measurement of the radiation power with highest accuracy

- Schwinger equation with its parameters
- Electron Energy W
with rel. unc. < 5e-4
Electron Current with rel. unc. <2e-4
- Magnetic Field B with rel. unc. < 1e-4 with rel. unc. $<20 \%$
- Distance to apert. with rel. unc. $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mm}$




## Lattice Design - 4th Generation Lightsource Lattices

## The Higher Order Achromat, HOA-MBA

- Distributed sextupoles
- MAX IV, SLS 2.0 ... up to 3 GeV
- J. Bengtsson, A. Streun, S. Leeman, et al.


The Hybrid, HMBA

- Localised sextupoles
- ESRF-EBS, PETRA IV, als.v , ... above 3 GeV
- P. Raimondi, ...



## LEGO Approach - Basic building blocks of one sector



## UC - Unit Cell <br> DSC - Dispersion Suppress.. <br> MC - Matching Cell

A 6-MBA has 5-MBA-UC 4 pure UC and $1(2 \times 1 / 2)$ broken UC $\rightarrow$ DSC

16 straights \& sectors:
$360^{\circ} / 16=22.5^{\circ}$ per sector $4^{*} 4.5^{\circ}$ main UC bend \& $2^{*} 2.25^{\circ}$ DSC bend

## LEGO Approach - Basic building blocks of one sector
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## The process towards a BESSY III lattice

## A deterministic lattice approach

- Stepwise: Power and Function of each Component \&"Knob" $\rightarrow$ LEGO approach
- Limiting the hardware (conservative ansatz) Sustainability - permanent magnets

| $\circ$ | Bore diameter of 25 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Diameter inner/outer vac. pipe of $18 / 21 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\circ$ | Bends up to 1.4 T |
| $\circ$ | Combined fct. Bend $0.8 \mathrm{~T} \& 15 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ or $30 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| $\circ$ | Quads up to $60-80 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m} \mathrm{(depends} \mathrm{on} \mathrm{RB)}$ |
| $\circ$ | Sextupoles up to $4000 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| $\circ$ | Spacing between magnets 100 mm |

- HigherOrderAchromat Approach:
- 6MBA + homogenous metrology bend


## Two lattice candidates

- Different hardware solutions:
- cf-lattice: combined function bend In center of 6MBA (community standard) sf-cf-cf-cf-cf-sf cf-cf-cf-cf-cf-cf
- sf-lattice: separated (homogenous) Bend in the center of 6MBA (metrology): cf-sf-sf-sf-sf-cf sf-sf-sf - sf-sf-sf
- PTB needs a metrology bend, one would be enough



## Linear Beam Dynamics

LEGO approach - the "one and only" (deterministic) MBA-Unit Cell (UC) for

- The two different MBA-UCs: $\mathbf{c f} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{s f}$
- $\quad U C\left(4.5^{\circ}\right): Q \_x y=(0.4,0.1), C h r o m \_x y=(0.0,0.0)$
and for the hardware specifications of our project
Impact of reverse bend on alpha \& emittance Magnet arrangement




## Linear Beam Dynamics

## LEGO approach - Unit Cell - Impact of Reverse Bend

- The two different MBA-UCs: $\mathbf{c f} \& \mathbf{s f}$
- $\quad$ UC $\left(4.5^{\circ}\right):$ Q_xy $=(0.4,0.1)$, Chrom_xy $=(0.0,0.0)$


$$
\epsilon_{0}=\frac{C_{q} \gamma^{2}}{j_{X}} \frac{I 5}{I 2}
$$

and for the hardware specifications of our project
Impact of reverse bend on alpha \& emittance Macnot arranamont
SF-UC with 1 m long main bends

## Linear Beam Dynamics

## LEGO approach - Unit Cell - Magnet arrangement

- How to set up the MBA-UC ?
- Magnet positioning/arrangement in that way, to reduce the sextupole strength for the chromatic correction $\rightarrow$ as less as possible non-linear power

$$
\xi_{t o t} \sim \oint\left[k_{2}(s) D(s)-k_{1}(s)\right] \beta(s) d s
$$

- The of MBA-UC:


| SetUp | Length | alpha | Emittance | RB angle | Nat Chrom | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUM(b3 * L) })^{2} \\ & \text { SF, SD }\left[1 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right] \end{aligned}$ | for Chrom = 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SX, RB, SY, B | 2.446 m | $2.5 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 95 pm rad | $\begin{aligned} & -0.38^{\circ}(\mathrm{k}=6.7) \\ & \mathrm{L}=0.163^{*} 2 \end{aligned}$ | -0.701, -0.355 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2324.77 } \\ & \text { 21.02, -26.84 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| RB, SX, SY, B | 2.490 m | 2.7e-4 | 95 pm rad | $\begin{aligned} & -0.26^{\circ}(\mathrm{k}=6.8) \\ & \mathrm{L}=0.125 * 2 \end{aligned}$ | -0.802, -0.278 | $\begin{aligned} & 3905.21 \\ & 27.96,-34.22 \end{aligned}$ |  |

## Linear Beam Dynamics

## LEGO approach - Unit Cell - Magnet arrangement

- How to set up the MBA-UC ?
- Magnet positioning/arrangement in that way, to reduce the sextupole strength for the chromatic correction $\rightarrow$ as less as possible non-linear power

$$
\xi_{t o t} \sim \oint\left[k_{2}(s) D(s)-k_{1}(s)\right] \beta(s) d s
$$

- The sf MBA-UC:


| SetUp | Length | alpha | Emittance | RB angle | Nat Chrom | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{SUM}(\mathrm{b} 3 * \operatorname{L})^{2} \\ & \text { SF, SD }\left[1 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right] \end{aligned}$ | for Chrom $=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SX, RB, QD, SY, B | 2.670 m | 2.0e-4 | 100 pm rad | $\begin{aligned} & -0.23^{\circ}(\mathrm{k}=8.6) \\ & \mathrm{L}=0.175^{\star} 2 \end{aligned}$ | -0.751, -0.277 | $\begin{aligned} & 901.43 \\ & 10.56,-18.42 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| SX, RB, SY, QD, B | 2.610 m | 2.1e-4 | 98 pm rad | $\begin{aligned} & -0.23^{\circ}(\mathrm{k}=8.5) \\ & \mathrm{L}=0.14^{*} 2 \end{aligned}$ | -0.740, -0.295 | $\begin{aligned} & 1500.19 \\ & 17.60,-20.98 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| RB, SX, QD, SY, B | $2.700 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Rings workshop | 2.0e-4 <br> , February 202 | 98 pm rad <br> CERN, Geneva, Sw | $\begin{aligned} & -0.19^{\circ}(k=8.4) \\ & \text { zetrañol } 0.13 * 2 \end{aligned}$ | -0.835, -0.232 | $\begin{aligned} & 2781.58 \\ & 19.39,-31.86 \end{aligned}$ | 12 HZB |

## Linear Beam Dynamics

## LEGO approach - Unit Cell -

- The two different MBA-UCs: cf \& sf
- $\operatorname{UC}\left(4.5^{\circ}\right):$ Q_xy $=(0.4,0.1)$, Chrom_xy $=(0.0,0.0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi=\frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta p / p} \sim \oint-k_{1}(s) \beta(s) d s \\
& \xi_{t o t} \sim \oint\left[k_{2}(s) D(s)-k_{1}(s)\right] \beta(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and for the hardware specifications of our project
Impact of reverse bend on alpha \& emittance Magnet arrangement


## Non-Linear Beam Dynamics - TSWM, Chromatic Tune Shift






## Non-Linear Beam Dynamics - TSWM, Chromatic Tune Shift



## Non-Linear Beam Dynamics - Sextupole Split Up

${ }^{\text {In progress }}$

## Non-linear optimization

- Defining target parameters for non-linear optimization and "knobs"
- Target parameters: (benchmark MAX IV, SLS2):
- Tune Shift With Momentum TSWM:
$\Delta \mathrm{Qx}, \Delta \mathrm{Qy} \sim 0.1$ at $\Delta \mathrm{p}=+-3 \%$ (+-5\%)
- Tune Shift with Amplitude TSWA: $\Delta \mathrm{Qx}, \Delta \mathrm{Qy} \sim 0.1$ limits acceptance $\sim 3 \mathrm{~mm}$
- Knobs:
- Chromatic Octupoles for 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ order chromaticity
- Split up of chromatic sextupoles (TSWM + TSWA)
- Findings, Results:
- The two lattice candidates show an opposite behavior in order to reduce TSWM
- SF3 with biggest impact at sf lattice
- SF1 with biggest impact at cf lattice




## Non-Linear Beam Dynamics - Sextupole Split Up

Non-linear optimization


## Alpha buckets - higher order of mom.com

$$
\text { Thanks to A.Streun } \text { In progress }
$$

## Limiting the momentum acceptance in the longitudinal plane

- cfcf, sfsf4Q



## Alpha buckets - higher order of mom.com

## Mismatch in momentum acceptance between longitudinal and transverse plane

| Lattice <br> variants | Mom.Acc. transverse <br> plane $\delta_{\text {acc, } x, y}$ <br> Chromatic Tune Shift <br> TSWM, | Mom.Acc. longit. <br> plane $\delta_{\text {acc, rf }}$ | Alpha buckets |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rf Acceptance |  |  |  |$(\delta) \quad$ Ratio between $\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{1}$.

D. Robin, E. Forest et al.,
"Quasi-isochronous storage rings", Phys. Rev. E 48, 2149, (1993)

- The often forgotten longitudinal plane ...

$$
x=x_{\beta}+D \delta+D_{1} \delta^{2}
$$

- Three oscillators in $x, y$, delta with three natural chromaticities, but only two sextupolesfamilies

$$
\Delta L / L_{0}=\alpha(\delta) \delta=\alpha_{0} \delta+\alpha_{1} \delta^{2}+\ldots
$$ for correction

- $\quad \alpha_{1}$ is the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order path lengthening is the longitudinal chromaticity

$$
\alpha_{0}=\frac{1}{L_{0}} \oint \frac{D}{\rho} d s
$$

$$
\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{L_{0}} \oint \frac{D^{\prime 2}}{2}+\frac{D_{1}}{\rho} d s
$$

- Ratio of $\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{1}$ defines the alpha bucket (unstable off-momentum fix point), and starts to limit the rf momentum acceptance


## Alpha buckets - higher order of mom.com <br> Natural Chromaticity in long. plane \& Knobs for Correction (or Attack)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { In progress } \\
& \text { Michael Arlando }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Ratio of $\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{1}$ limits the rf momentum acceptance
- Increase $\alpha_{0}$, reduce RB \&/or lengthen main bend

$$
\alpha_{0}=\frac{1}{L_{0}} \oint \frac{D}{\rho} d s
$$

- Reduce $\alpha_{1}$, figure out what is the biggest contribution

$$
\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{L_{0}} \oint \frac{D^{\prime 2}}{2}+\frac{D_{1}}{\rho} d s
$$




## Alpha buckets - higher order of mom.com

## Natural Chromaticity in long. plane \& Knobs for Correction (or Attack)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { In progress } \\
& \text { Michael Arlandoo }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Ratio of $\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{1}$ limits the rf momentum acceptance
- Increase $\alpha_{0}$, reduce RB \&/or lengthen main bend
- Reduce $\alpha_{1}$, figure out what is the biggest contribution
$\alpha_{0}=\frac{1}{L_{0}} \oint \frac{D}{\rho} d s$
$\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{L_{0}} \oint \frac{D^{\prime 2}}{2}+\frac{D_{1}}{\rho} d s$






The sf-UC with the additional vertical focussing quadrupole with very good separation of beta_xy functions at the chromatic sextupoles which guarantees for good TSWM,
generates small mom. Acc. in the longitudinal plane

## Alpha buckets - higher order of mom.com <br> Natural Chromaticity in long. plane \& Knobs for Correction (or Attack)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { In progress } \\
& \text { Michael Arlandoo }^{\text {Mol }}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Ratio of $\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{1}$ limits the rf momentum acceptance
- Increase $\alpha_{0}$, reduce $\mathrm{RB} \& /$ or lengthen main bend

$$
\alpha_{0}=\frac{1}{L_{0}} \oint \frac{D}{\rho} d s
$$

$\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{L_{0}} \oint \frac{D^{\prime 2}}{2}+\frac{D_{1}}{\rho} d s$

- Reduce $\alpha_{1}$, figure out what is the biggest contribution


The sf-UC with the additional vertical focussing quadrupole with very good separation of beta_xy functions at the chromatic sextupoles which guarantees for good TSWM,
generates small mom. Acc. in the longitudinal plane

## The process towards a BESSY III lattice

## Robustness Analysis \& Simulated Commissioning

- J. Bengtsson with tracy or thor_scsi
- Robustness analysis against misalignments and magnet field uncertainties (errors), HOA, Phase Advance, Periodicity
- Conclusion: Two stable and robust solutions cfcf, sfsf4Q with $\sim 3 \%, 5 \%$ momentum acceptance
- T. Hellert with AT and Simulated Commissioning
- BBA, Correct Orbit, LOCO



## The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Summary

## LEGO approach - the UC

- Two robust solutions: cfff, sfsf4Q
- cfcf: less magnets, little bit shorter, but mom.acc_xy only ~2-3\%
- sfsf4Q: more magnets, strongly reduced sextupole strength for chromaticity correction, mom.acc_xy ~4-5\%
- Matching with longitudinal plane!
- Currently ongoing / Next steps:
- Non-linear optimisation scheme
- Robustness \& Tolerance analysis
- Injection scheme \& Collective effects
- Intensify discussions with construction \& engineering department



## Thank you for your attention !

## Backup Slides

## Overview - BESSY II+ / III

Towards BESSY III by using BESSY II, BESSY II+
BESSY II+ paves the way to BESSY III

| BESSY II+ | BESSY II+ project |  |  |  |  |  | Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |  |
| BESSY III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | mline T |  |
|  | CDR |  |  | TDR |  |  |  | Project/Construction |  |  |  |  | Com. |  | Operation |  |
|  | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 | ... |

BESSY II+ application/project: operando capabilities, modernization, and sustainability.
$100 \mathrm{M} €(25 \% \mathrm{HZB}, 25 \%$ strategic partners or third-party projects, $50 \%$ request funding bodies) split up in
$50 \%$ for 8 new beamlines, endstations \& sample environment,

$15 \%$ for improving the sustainability of BESSY II, $\qquad$ | BESSY III |
| :--- |
| Hardware / Tech. |

Future BESSY III Science Case $35 \%$ modernization of the accelerator complex

 - metrology suitable PM dipole

Hybrid-Permanent Magnets - replace power hungry ( 30 kW ) bending electromagnet in BESSY II transferline

## BESSY II Specialties



## BESSY III

100x times more brightness than BESSY II \& 1000x times smaller focus at sample ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ down to 10 nm )



## Higher Order Achromat

## Periodicity of Sextupoles and Phase Advance between Sextupoles

- Geometric resonance driving terms cancel if the phase advance between sextupole cells is chosen wisely.




The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Non-Linear Beam Dynamics





## BESSY III

## Beamline Requests \& Portfolio



| \# | Name | Photon Energy | Main Methods | Main Applications |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | VUV to Hard | $5 \mathrm{eV}-20 \mathrm{keV}$ | XPS, HAXPES, NEXAFS, STXM XPS, HAXPES, NEXAFS, STXM | Catalysis, Energy (Storage, Batteries, Solar Fuels) |
|  | DIP | 20 eV - 1.5 keV | UPS/XPS, NEXAFS, EXAFS, XPS, UPS, ARPES | Energy, Catalysis |
| 2 | Soft \& Tender | $100 \mathrm{eV}-4 \mathrm{keV}$ | PES, HAXPES, TXM, XAS, XPCS Resonant Scattering, CDI | Energy (Batteries), Quantum Energy, Quantum |
|  | DIP | $2 \cdot 14 \mathrm{keV}$ | Diffraction/ EXAFS/XRF, NEXAFS, | Energy, Quantum, Catalysis |
| 3 | XUV to Soft | 60 eV - 1.5 keV | BEIChem, XPS BEIChem, XPS | Catalysis, Chemistry Catalysis, Chemistry |
|  | DIP | 2-14 keV | XRD/EXAFS, WAXS, SAXS, HAXPES | Energy, Catalysis |
| 4 | Magnetic Imaging | $150 \mathrm{eV}-2 \mathrm{keV}$ | Lensless Imaging, X-ray holography, XPCS STXM, Resonant Scattering, 3D mag. tomogr. | Quantum, Energy Quantum, Energy |
|  | DIP | 100 eV - 1.5 keV | XMCD, XAS with magnetic vector fields | Quantum, Energy |
| 5 | XUV Spectroscopy | $5-200 \mathrm{eV}$ | ARPES nano-ARPES | Quantum, Energy, Catalysis Quantum, Energy Catalysis |
|  | DIP | $80 \mathrm{eV}-4 \mathrm{keV}$ | NEXAFS, XPS | Catalysis, Energy, Quantum |
| 6 | Soft \& Tender Imaging | $180 \mathrm{eV}-8 \mathrm{keV}$ | TXM, FIB-TXM Tender TXM, Tomography | Life Sciences, Energy Life Sciences, Energy |
|  | DIP | 20 eV 1.5 keV | Soft X-ray spectroscopy | Catalysis, Energy, Quantum |
| 7 | Inelastic Scattering | $180 \mathrm{eV}-3 \mathrm{keV}$ | RIXS meV@1keV RIXS | Quantum, Energy Catalysis Quantum, Energy, Catalysis |
|  | DIP | $20 \mathrm{eV}-1.5 \mathrm{keV}$ | Soft X-ray Dynamics | open port |
| 8 | Spectro Microscopy | $100 \mathrm{eV}-1.8 \mathrm{keV}$ | (S)PEEM, PEEM, Ptychography nano-ARPES | Quantum, Energy, Catalysis Quantum, Energy, Catalysis |
|  | DIP | 100 eV - 4 keV | Broad band soft + tender X-ray spectroscopy | open port |
| 9 | Macromol. Crystallography | $5-20 \mathrm{keV}$ | X-ray Diffraction <br> X-ray Diffraction | Life Sciences Life Sciences |
|  | DIP | $80 \mathrm{eV}-2 \mathrm{keV}$ | Soft X-ray spectroscopy | open port |
| 10 | Multimodal Spectroscopy | $20 \mathrm{eV}-8 \mathrm{keV}$ | Multimodal Spectroscopy Time-resolved spectroscopy | open port open port |
|  | DIP | $20 \mathrm{eV}-3 \mathrm{keV}$ | Declined beamline, Multimodal spectroscopy | Catalysis |
| 11 | PTB: PGM/EUV | $60 \mathrm{eV}-1.85 \mathrm{keV}$ | Reflectometry / Scatterometry Reflectometry / Scatterometry | Metrology for Industry Metrology for Industry |
|  | DIP PTB: FCM | $1.7 \mathrm{keV}-11 \mathrm{keV}$ | $X$-ray radiometry / $X$-ray reflectometry | Metrology |
| 12 | PTB: PGM/RFA | $80 \mathrm{eV}-2 \mathrm{keV}$ | X -ray spectometry X-ray spectometry | Materials Metrology Materials Metrology |
|  | DIP PTB: white light | 40 eV - 20 keV | Primary source standard BESSY III | Metrology |
| 13 | PTB: Tender X-ray | 1 keV - 10 keV | $\mu$-XRF/ (GI)SAXS / Ptychography $\mu$-XRF/ (GI)SAXS / Ptychography | Materials Metrology, Energy Materials Metrology, |
|  | DIP PTB: XPBF/ESA | $1 \mathrm{keV}-3 \mathrm{keV}$ | X-ray optics for astrophysics | in-line Metrology for Manufacturing |
| 14 | BAMline | 5 keV - 120 keV | Diffraction, XRF, $\mu$ CT Diffraction, XRF, $\mu$ CT | Materials Metrology Materials Metrology |

P. Goslawski, iFAST - 9th Low Emittance Rings workshop, February 2024, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

## 1st Milestone Lattice: HOA - Linear Beam Dynamics

## LEGO approach - UC -

## Angle distribution between UC \& DSC

## Distribution of bending angles



- 16 sectors $\rightarrow 360 / 16=22.5^{\circ}$
- With a 6-MBA: $\quad 1 / 2+4+1 / 2$

○ $2.25^{\circ}+4.5^{\circ}+4.5^{\circ}+4.5^{\circ}+4.5^{\circ}+2.25^{\circ}$

- For our 6-MBA with 16 straights it is a 20-30\% reduction
- at $U C \sim 4.0^{\circ}$ and DSC $\sim 3.25^{\circ}$

