

TMCI Theory of Flat Chambers Revisited

Thomas F. Guenzel

14th February 2024





- Deficiencies with the computation of the transverse single bunch mode evolution
- Introduction into Lindberg's theory (PRAB 19 120402, 2016) and its new aspects
- Application of Lindberg's theory on the 2-mode case: vertical and horizontal & single resonator and composed resonator model
- Consideration of an increasing number of non-zero radial modes for each azimuthal mode: vertical and horizontal
- Application of the many radial mode approach to realistic impedance models

we will often use HEADTAIL (G.Rumolo et al.) for comparison studies

Conclusions

This work is not closely related with the ALBA-II design since it applies above all to flat vacuum chambers



TMCI: from radial to flat chambers





addition of quadrupolar detuning generated by flat chambers





inspired by quadrupolar detuning in multi-bunch



horizontal detuning

flat vacuum chambers



total vertical detuning

qualitatively ok, but quantitatively unsatisfactory e.g. prediction for the vertical threshold is 40-50% larger

0.5

-0.5^L



Why do we need a new approach ?

Until today we cannot well predict the TMCI-thresholds on vertical and horizontal plane with existing mode-coupling programs.

in particular when using quadrupolar tune shift theory :

$$(\Delta \Omega - m\omega_s)a_p^m + I \cdot \sum_{n,q} (D_{p,q}^{m,n}a_q^n) + q \cdot a_p^m = 0$$

however Lindberg's theory is different :

$$(\Delta\Omega - m\omega_s)a_p^m + I \cdot \sum_{n,q} (D_{p,q}^{m,n} + Q_{p,q}^{m,n})a_q^n = 0$$

Could Lindberg's theory fix the large discrepancy between theory and measurement of TMCI?



How Lindberg's theory will be applied including simplifications

- In this approach the Planck-Fokker terms are neglected (TMCI is very strong).
- Zero chromaticity is assumed.
- We focus almost exclusively on the resonator model (f_{res} , Q, R_s) (Resistive wall impedance (RW) was already treated in Lindberg's own publication)
- Bunch length 15.4ps and for the ALBA impedance model 22ps
- Both dipolar and quadrupolar impedance are considered.
- in the following "mode crossing" comprises pure mode crossing but also mode coupling
- The eigenvalues of the linearized Vlasov equation provide the mode frequencies as a function of current

Actually, Lindberg's theory agrees with MOSES for pure dipolar impedance

2-mode theory (vertical & fixed ρ) Consideration of only 2 azimuthal modes and fixed ρ

$$\rho := \frac{Z_Q(\omega)}{Z_D(\omega)} = 0.5$$

according to K. Yokoya

single resonator impedance model (dipol+quad) is used.



according to Lindberg's theory we find that including the quadrupolar impedance the vert. TMCI changes in contrast to quadrupolar tune shift theory

However, the effect is not very large and is only sensible if specific resonator models (parameters) are chosen. also in case of resistive wall impedance the effect is rather small.

2-mode theory (horizontal & fixed ρ)

BAN

consideration of only 2 azimuthal modes and fixed ρ

single resonator impedance model (dipol+quad) is used.



$$\rho := \frac{Z_Q(\omega)}{Z_D(\omega)} = -1$$

according to K. Yokoya

overlay of Lindberg's mode theory with Headtail (G. Rumolo et al.).

The change of mode crossing current (threshold) comes from the different slope of mode m=-1.

there is even no mode coupling anymore, it's just mode crossing and the crossing happens at a higher current than in case of mode coupling

so on the horizontal plane the mode evolution is very different from that on the vertical plane



Example of 2-mode theory ALBA's horizontal impedance model

being a realistic impedance model spectral equality between dipolar & quadrupolar impedance is not longer required, so ρ is no longer properly defined.

appreciate the difference between the quadrupolar tune shift theory (green) and the result of the Lindberg's theory (blue) Note that this result changes if many radial modes are included (next slides).



Unfortunately we could not check this experimentally due to the risk of heatload at high single bunch current

As $\rho = -1$, is no longer the case, mode coupling again occurs, but a higher current, so it's something intermediate between the original (pre-Lindberg) mode coupling and pure mode crossing



Many radial modes

treating the transversal mode evolution as 2-(azimuthal) mode case is a tremendous simplification (we solve an intego-differential (Vlasov) equation in an infinite function space)

approach: consider increasing number of radial modes in the mode evolution

this is done by an iterative procedure: increase #radial modes in each iteration step and check if the mode slope converges

Two scenarios are distinguished:

- spectrally equal dipolar and quadrupolar impedance ρ =0.5 (Vert) and ρ = -1 (Horz)
 - convergence criterion is Cauchy ε =0.01 applied on the lowest radial mode m=0(vertical) or m=-1 (horizontal)
 - in the iteration only 2 azimuthal modes are considered: vertical plane with m=0 or horizontal plane with m=-1.
 - resonator impedance models in the range of of $\omega_r \sigma_\tau \le 5.2$ and $1 \le Q \le 800$ underwent the iterative procedure
 - RW-impedance only requires the check of one model
 - used bunch length length σ_{τ} =15.4ps and also σ_{τ} =30.8ps
 - a couple of selected models were compared to HEADTAIL (several azimuthal modes were admitted and no iteration was applied)

spectrally unequal dipolar and quadrupolar impedance as part of realistic impedance models (Vert. and Horz.)



Many radial modes VERTICAL plane

3 examples: comparison of Lindberg's theory with HEADTAIL

2-(azimuthal) mode description in cyan, the numerous radial modes in red



transverse mode evolution under the effect of different resonator impedances characterized by R_s, f_{res} and Q

the lowest radial mode's slope is steeper in case of many radial modes than it were if only 1 radial mode were considered this is actually what we are looking for



change of slope (sign not considered) for different resonator impedance parameters under increase of the number of radial modes



the graph covers the parameter space where the iterative procedure led to convergence.

for certain **R**(esonator) **I**(mpedance) models the change in slope is more than 35%, however, for **R**(esistive)**W**(all) impedance it is only 3.4%.



3 examples of mode evolution due to Lindberg's theory compared to HEADTAIL

2-(azimuthal) mode description in cyan, the numerous radial modes in red



• to achieve convergence up to 29 radial modes had to included, therefore the computation effort is very large.

increasing number of radial modes is limited by computing precision

• the inclusion of radial modes changes the steepest slope of m= -1 tremendously on the horizontal plane.



change of slope for different horizontal resonator impedance parameters under increase of the number of radial modes

The effect is 10x larger than on the vertical plane, so the scale on the y-axis is 10x larger.



the graph covers the parameter space where convergence could be achieved.

for certain **R**(esonator) **I**(mpedance) models the change in slope is reaches 400%, however, for **R**(esistive) **W**(all) impedance it is only 39%.

ALBA's vertical impedance model as multi-mode case

ALBA

iterative procedure applied on spectrally unequal dipolar and quadrupolar impedance as part of ALBA's vertical impedance model



no check-up with Headtail

inspite reinforced convergence criterion iteration stops early and the slope increases only by 4%.

the desired substantial slope increase in order to overcome

the discrepancy of theory and measured TMCI slope does not happen (well only a very little bit).

BONUS horizontal impedance model of ESRF(2004) as multi-mode case slide The OLD ESRF model was used since the iterative procedure applied on the ALBA horizontal impedance model doesn't converge well (contains resonator impedances outside the tested parameter space)

TMCI measurement



now a larger horizontal TMCI is predicted which is much more natural since the vertical TMCI's prediction is also much larger than the measurement (grosso modo we expect the same deficiencies on both planes)

agreement with the Headtail simulation is quite good.

Exploration of Lindberg's theory (2016) leads to ALBAN following conclusions

- Different treatment of quadrupolar impedance according to Lindberg compared to the quadrupolar tune shift theory leads to slightly (mostly) smaller TMCI-threshold on the vertical plane & sensibly (mostly) larger TMCI-threshold on the horizontal plane.
- While the slope of m=0 hardly changes, the slope of m=-1 indeed changes sensibly which explains the threshold changes.
- Applied on 2 realistic impedance models ALBA and ESRF -- the improvement was only 4% for ALBA (vertical), but larger for the OLD ESRF (horizontal).
- Therefore on the horizontal plane Lindberg's theory can produce the most interesting results.
- The approach is limited by numerical stability of the computation of the quadrupolar matrix elements.
- In the parameter space of application of Lindberg's theory good agreement with HEADTAIL could be achieved.
- It turns out that in this type of study HEADTAIL is very useful and could also be applied beyond the tested parameter space.



Acknowledgements:

Pol Pastells, for the execution and its simplification of numerous HEADTAIL simulations Ubaldo Iriso, for his support and numerous comments on the topic E. Kouvkini-Platia, for the provision of HEADTAIL postprocessing analysis tools

References:

Ryan Lindberg, Fokker-Planck analysis of transverse instabilities in electron storage rings, PRAB 19, 124402(2016)

Yong Ho Chin, User's guide for New Moses, CERN/LEP-TH/88-05

K.Yokoya, Resistive Impedance of Beam Pipes of General Cross Section, Part. Acc. 41 1993, 221

G.Rumolo, F. Zimmermann, Practical User Guide for HEADTAIL, CERN-SL-Note-2002-036 AP

T.F.Guenzel, The Transverse Coupling Impedance of the storage ring at the European Synchrotron Facility, PR-STAB 9, 114402(2006)