

Investigating the transverse mode-coupling instability at the MAX IV 3 GeV storage ring

Miriam Brosi, Francis Cullinan, Åke Andersson, Jonas Breunlin, and Pedro Fernandes Tavares

14 February 2024



Miriam Brosi

Transverse Mode-Coupling Instability - TMCI

- Current-dependent tune shift couples mode 0 with mode -1 $(-\nu_{\rm s})$
- Can be avoided with positive chromaticity (with positive momentum compaction)
- Above threshold abrupt increase in growth-rate
- Presented measurements focused on vertical plane \rightarrow vert. chromaticity reduced, hor. remained > 1

Parameter	Value
Beam energy / GeV	3.0
RF voltage / kV	864
Synchrotron freq. / Hz	830
Synchrotron tune	0.00146
Vertical tune	16.275
Horizontal tune	42.2



- mbtrack2: particle tracking
- Vertical broadband resonator impedance of 200 kOhm/m at 11.5 GHz with Q=1 G. Skripka et al., NIM-A (2016), doi:10.1016/j.nima.2015.10.029
- Longitudinal broadband resonator of 732 Ohm at 6 GHz with Q = 1

G. Skripka et al., NAPAC'16, doi:10.18429/JACoW- NAPAC2016-WEA3CO04.



Amplitude-dependent tune shift - ADTS

- Tune shift from non-linear optics contributions
- Octupole magnets allow adjustment
- Measured with kicks of varying amplitude and corresponding shift in tune

$$\begin{split} \nu\left(\hat{x}\right) &= b' \cdot \hat{x}^2 + \nu\left(0\right) \rightarrow b' = \frac{\Delta\nu}{\hat{x}^2} \\ \nu\left(J\right) &= b \cdot J + \nu\left(0\right) \rightarrow b = \frac{\Delta\nu}{J} \\ J &= \frac{\hat{x}_{\rm s}^2}{\beta_{\rm s}}, \ \left[b'\right] = \frac{1}{{\rm m}^2}, \ \left[b\right] = \frac{1}{{\rm m}} \end{split}$$





Hysteresis in TMCI threshold current

Hysteresis observed in threshold current depending if charge is increased or decreased

Working theory: change in energy spread due to IBS

- **Stable beam** means vertical bunch size is small
 - \rightarrow small vertical size means stronger IBS
 - \rightarrow IBS increases the energy spread
 - ightarrow higher TMCI threshold
- Unstable beam means vertical bunch size is blown up
 - \rightarrow IBS decreases and energy spread goes down
 - ightarrow lower TMCI threshold
- IBS not included in simulation
- Energy spread dependence manually simulated shows threshold dependence as expected





Threshold current and ADTS

Measurements of the vertical TMCI threshold during injection at low vertical chromaticity.



 \rightarrow No significant influence of ADTS coefficient on threshold current observed



5 / 12

Beam loss at threshold





Previously reported in:

F. Tavares et al., Commissioning first-year operational results of MAXIV 3GeV ring, Journal the of Synchrotron Radiation 25, 1291 (2018).

F. J. Cullinan. Collective effects in MAX IV (Presented at the 7th Low Emittance Rings Workshop, 2018).

 \rightarrow Beam loss asymmetrically around zero ADTS, ranging further to positive coefficients



Dynamic above threshold at negative ADTS



- Self-containing instability possibly caused by Landau damping, which only sets in when \rightarrow bunch is "blown-up" and ADTS results in bigger tune shift/spread
- Upper "turning point" when damping becomes predominant
- \rightarrow Lower "turning point" when bunch size so low that ADTS not enough to Landau damp any longer



Magnitude at threshold



- Asymmetry between positive and negative ADTS coefficient b
- $1/\sqrt{b}$ dependence of maximal COM oscillation amplitude and bunch size (95%ile)
- 1/b dependence minimal $\langle J \rangle$ (average action of particle ensemble) (5%ile)
- \rightarrow Asymmetry in level at which instability contained



Magnitude at threshold



- Asymmetry between positive and negative ADTS coefficient b
- $1/\sqrt{b}$ dependence of maximal COM oscillation amplitude and bunch size (95%ile)
- 1/b dependence minimal $\langle J \rangle$ (average action of particle ensemble) (5%ile)
- ightarrow Asymmetry in level at which instability contained
- $\rightarrow\,$ Constant but different levels of tune shift for each sign of the ADTS



Stability considerations for Landau damping



Y. H. Chin, Hamiltonian Formulation for Transverse Bunched Beam Instabilities in the presence of Betatron Tune Spread, CERN SPS/85-9 (1985).



- Points: eigenvalues of coupling matrix for modes 0 and -1 without Landau damping
- Contours: inverse dispersion integral for different ADTS coefficients



Stability considerations for Landau damping



- Low synchrotron tune results in small tune shift before mode-coupling
 - \rightarrow low ADTS coefficients required to achieve sufficient tune spread for Landau damping
- Contours form teardrop shape around zero tune shift
- For positive ADTS opposed to tune shift from impedance \rightarrow higher ADTS coefficient required



Coherent betatron tune shift with current

Simulation

- Negative ADTS: shift to lower values
- Positive ADTS: jump to mode 0 and then shift to higher values

Measurement

- Same as simulation
- Alternative stabilized / destabilized beam (possible due to observed threshold hysteresis)





5

0 268 0 270

pos. ADTS

0 274 0 276

Tune / 1



Simulation

0 282

0 278 0 280

Summary

- Investigated vertical TMCI at close to zero chromaticity
- Threshold hysteresis observed, attributed to IBS
- No significant ADTS dependence of threshold
- Different level of tune spread/ADTS required to contain the instability depending on sign of ADTS \rightarrow Landau damping
- Low synchrotron tune at 4th generation light-sources
 - Contained instability for negative and high positive ADTS: mode 0 to mode -1 tune shift in range of Landau damping (due to ADTS) before beam loss
 - Beam loss for close to zero ADTS and low positive ADTS
- Good qualitative agreement with tracking simulation and stability calculations
- Difference in current-dependent coherent tune shift above instability threshold





11 / 12

Thank you!





Necessity of cleaning

- Residual bunches with low current
- Below threshold therefore stable
- Interference pattern overshadows stretched bunch profile of the unstable main bunch



