







# Collimation studies for FCC-ee

<u>A. Abramov<sup>1</sup></u>, K. André<sup>1</sup>, M. Boscolo<sup>3</sup>, G. Broggi<sup>1,2,3</sup>, R. Bruce<sup>1</sup>, X. Buffat<sup>1</sup>, M. Hofer<sup>1</sup>, P. Kicsiny<sup>1,4</sup>, T. Pieloni<sup>4</sup>, S. Redaelli<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CERN, Meyrin, Switzerland <sup>3</sup> INFN-LNF, Frascati, Italy <sup>4</sup> LPAP, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland

I.FAST Low Emittance Rings workshop, CERN, Switzerland – 16/02/2024

Many thanks to:

M. Boscolo, H. Burkhardt, F. Carlier, A. Ciarma, Y. Dutheil, P. Hunchak, G. Iadarola, R. Kersevan, A. Lechner, G. Lerner, L. Nevay, M. Moudgalya, K. Oide, A. Perillo Marcone, R. Ramjiawan, T. Raubenheimer, S. White, F. Zimmermann

## **Collimation for the FCC-ee**

- The FCC-ee is the FCC first stage e+e- collider
  - 90.7 km circumference, tunnel compatible with the FCC-hh

  - The stored beam energy reaches 17.5 MJ for the 45.6 GeV Z mode, which is comparable to heavy-ion operation at the LHC

### The FCC-ee presents unique challenges

- Such beams are highly destructive: a collimation system is required
- The main roles of the collimation system are:
  - Protect the equipment from unavoidable losses
  - Reduce the backgrounds in the experiments



Comparison of lepton colliders



Damage to coated collimator jaw due to accidental beam loss in the SuperKEKB – T. Ishibashi (talk)



## **FCC-ee collimation system**

- Two types of collimation foreseen for the FCC-ee:
  - The beam halo (global) collimation
  - Synchrotron Radiation (SR) collimation near the IPs
- Halo collimation in a dedicated insertion
  - Two-stage betatron and off-momentum collimation systems in one insertion
  - Ensure protection of the aperture bottlenecks in different conditions
  - Collimation optics (M. Hofer) and collimator parameters (G. Broggi)

#### Synchrotron radiation collimators around the IPs

- 6 collimators and 2 masks upstream of the IPs (K. André)
- Designed to absorb SR photons







### **FCC-ee aperture**

- The aperture bottlenecks are in the experimental interaction regions (IRs)
  - Depend on the optics, layout, and mechanical aperture in the IRs

#### The bottlenecks must be protected

- The final focus quadrupoles are superconducting and there is a risk of quenches
- The detector is sensitive to backgrounds from beam losses
- The SR collimators and masks are not robust to large direct beam impacts, can also produce backgrounds





#### Aperture bottleneck for *Z*-operation mode

The momentum acceptance is the

 $A/D_x$ 

 $\delta = A/D_x$ , where A is the mechanical

and  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}$  is the dispersion

M. Hofer

0.05

0.04

0.03

0.02

0.01

0.00

aperture

 $\delta_{acceptance}$ 

### **FCC-ee halo collimation**

- New collimation system optics and settings
  - Based on a triple double doublet (tridodo) scheme by M. Hofer
  - Designed to maintain optimal collimator phase advances at acceptable mechanical gaps and flat β-functions at primary collimators
  - Compatible with the new V23 layout, improved dynamic aperture

Name	Plane	Material	Length [cm]	Gap [σ]	Gap [mm]	δ <sub>cut</sub> [%]
TCP.H.B1	н	MoGr	25	11	6.7	8.9
TCP.V.B1	V	MoGr	25	65	2.1	-
TCS.H1.B1	н	Мо	30	13	3.7	6.7
TCS.V1.B1	V	Мо	30	75	2.2	-
TCS.H2.B1	н	Мо	30	13	5.1	90.6
TCS.V2.B1	V	Мо	30	75	2.5	-
TCP.HP.B1	н	MoGr	25	18.5	4.2	1.3
TCS.HP1.B1	н	Мо	30	21.5	4.7	2.1
TCS.HP2.B1	н	Мо	30	21.5	26.7	1.6

#### Beam halo collimator parameters and settings

Note: 25 cm primary collimators adopted (FCC week 23 talk)

V23, tridodo\_572 collimation optics, https://gitlab.cern.ch/mihofer/fcc-ee-collimation-lattice





G. Broggi

### **FCC-ee beam loss scenarios**

- The FCC-ee will operate in a unique regime
  - Electron / positron beam dynamics and beam-matter interactions
  - Stored beam energy exceeding material damage limits
  - Superconducting final focus quadrupoles, crab sextupoles, and RF cavities
  - Must study the beam loss processes and define the ones to protect against
  - Must study the equipment loss tolerances, for both regular and accidental losses
- Important loss scenarios for particle tracking studies:
  - Beam halo >>>> Current studies

  - Beam tails from Touschek scattering and beam-gas interactions
  - Top-up injection
  - Failure modes (injection failures, asynchronous dump, others)

Setting up studies, Inputs required to set up models



## **FCC-ee collimation simulation setup**

- The FCC-ee presents unique challenges for collimation simulations:
  - Synchrotron radiation and magnet strength (optics) tapering to compensate it
  - Complex beam dynamics strong sextupoles in lattice, strong beam-beam effects (Beamstrahlung)
  - Electron/positron beam particle-matter interactions
  - Large accelerator 91 km beamline, efficiency is crucial
- Xsuite + BDSIM (Geant4)
  - Benchmarked against other codes for FCC-ee (<u>JINST report</u>)
  - Used for for the latest FCC-ee collimation studies
  - Tests / benchmarks in other machines:
    - LHC (FCC-ee optics meeting talk) G. Broggi
    - PS (<u>NDC section meeting talk</u>) T. Pugnat





## **Current study: beam halo losses**

#### "Generic beam halo" beam loss scenario:

- Specify a minimum beam lifetime that must be sustained during normal operation
  - Preliminary specification of a **5 minute** lifetime
- Assume a slow loss process halo particles always intercepted by the primary collimators
- The loss process is not simulated, all particles start impacting a collimator
  - Track the particles scattered out from the collimator and record losses on the aperture
- Currently using 1 µm impact parameter as standard
  - Selected to give a conservative performance estimate
  - Impact parameter scans ongoing





#### Impact parameter scan (Z mode) tridodo\_572



### Beam halo losses for the Z-mode

- The Z mode is the current focus (Beam 1, 45.6 GeV, e<sup>+</sup>),
  17.5 MJ stored beam energy
- The 5 minute beam lifetime  $\rightarrow$  total loss power 58.3 kW

3 cases considered: - Horizontal betatron losses (B1H) Vertical betatron losses (B1V) Off-momentum losses  $\delta < 0$  (B1-dp)

• For the off-momentum case, using a tilted collimator, aligned to the beam divergence



- Good loss cleaning performance performance observed
  - Minimal losses on the final focus quadrupoles in all scenarios
  - Residual losses on superconducting crab sextupoles



Z-mode betatron and off-momentum halo loss maps



•

## Beam halo losses for the Z-mode

- The beam collimation system shows significant loss suppression
  - More than 99.96% of losses contained within • the collimation insertion PF
  - Almost no losses reach any of the IRs ٠
  - Energy deposition studies and thermo-٠ mechanical studies are required for the collimators and most exposed magnets
- Collaborative studies ongoing: •
  - SR collimation • (K. Andre, FCC Physics Workhsop talk)
  - Detector backgrounds ٠ (A. Ciarma, FCC week 23 talk)
  - Impedance (M. Migliorati, FCCIS 23 talk) ٠
  - Energy deposition & thermomechanical studies ٠ (G. Lerner, A. Frasca, R. Andrade)
  - Studies provide input to a detailed, iterative ٠ design effort



Z-mode betatron halo loss maps for selected regions



IP

68000

68010

68020

Warm

Collimator

Cold

### **Z-mode losses on SR collimators**

- The SR collimators intercept losses for all cases
  - Highest load on C0 vertical and BWL horizontal SR collimators, up to 2.6 W
  - Lowest load on C2 horizontal and vertical SR collimators







### Z mode impact parameter scan

- Using 1 µm impact parameter, but also studying the sensitivity with impact parameter scans
  - $5 \times 10^6$  primary particles tracked for 700 turns at each step
- The new lattice and collimation system demonstrates lower losses across the board
  - Most likely due to the new collimation insertion optics and better resulting dynamic aperture (M. Hofer)
  - Absence of a clear critical impact parameter b > 0 um, which presents challenges for modelling
  - Surface roughness effects not considered can play a role for b  $\lesssim 0.1 \mbox{ um}$





### **Collimation and beam-beam effects**

- Interactions at the IPs have a crucial role in FCC-ee beam dynamics
  - Beamstrahlung, radiative Bhabha scattering, beam-beam kicks
  - Main contribution to the beam lifetime in nominal operation
  - Produce distinct beam loss distributions around the ring



- See P. Kicsiny, FCC Physics Workshop 2023 talk
- EPFL-led effort, part of a CHART-funded FCC software collaboration project
- Recent benchmarks show good agreement with established tools
- The models are modular and can be combined with other studies
- Goal: integrate beam-beam effects in collimation tracking studies
  - Long-range loss distribution from spent beam
  - Effect of beam-beam interaction on distributions during collimation tracking







Swiss Accelerator Research and Technology



### FCC-ee Z-mode spent beam losses

- Study for the first time collimation with beam-beam integrated
  - Full non-linear lattice, crab-waist, detailed aperture and collimator models, radiation and tapering, weak-strong beam-beam, Beamstrahlung, and Bhabha scattering in 4 IPs
- Initial run carried out:
  - Clockwise beam 1 (positrons), 45.6 GeV
  - Track a matched Gaussian beam of 10<sup>7</sup> primary positrons from IPA for 500 turns
  - Equilibrium beam-beam emittance and bunch length, no coupling
  - Cumulative loss over 500 turns is ~1%, <u>check in detail</u>:
    - The full aperture and collimator model, worse DA and MA due to inclusion of the collimation insertion optics, and the lack of vertical emittance generation from the lattice likely play a role
  - Only the loss distribution along the ring is considered, the lifetime from the simulation is not used:

#### cannot estimate the lifetime from this simulation





### Z-mode spent beam losses

- Lost particles accumulated to obtain loss maps
  - The loss maps are scaled to the combined nominal beam lifetime from lattice, SR, beamstrahlung and luminosity
- Significant losses observed on SR collimators
  - Large losses on the vertical SR.C0 collimators in PD, PA and PJ ٠
  - Up to 3.4 kW on a SR collimator, investigate the source •
- These are first preliminary results; detailed analysis will be carried out







 $10^{5}$ 

 $10^{4}$ 

 $10^{3}$ 

 $10^{2}$ 

 $10^{1}$ 

 $10^{0}$ 

 $10^{-1}$ 

 $[\mathsf{M}]$ 

Р.

#### A. Abramov | Low Emittance Rings workshop 2024

Lifetime for the Z mode, K. Oide talk

Lifetime $(q + BS + lattice)$	[sec]	10000
Lifetime $(lum)^b$	[sec]	1330



### Z-mode spent beam losses

- The high losses on SR collimators are in the vertical plane
- The losses are driven by a strong blow-up in the vertical
  - This blow-up is not expected
  - Check in detail settings for the beam-beam elements and the crab sextupoles
- While preliminary, the first results demonstrate the feasibility of combining collimation and beam-beam studies in the same model







### An aside: Z-mode laser intensity control losses

- In the FCC-ee, mismatched bunch charge in collisions can lead to a 3-D flip flop instability
  - Leads to a fast blow-up of a full bunch, charge asymmetry tolerance <5%
  - Control of bunch intensity with laser Compton scattering has been proposed (F. Zimmermann, IPAC'22)
  - First studies using **Xsuite + Cain + Collimasim** (I. Drebot, M. Hofer):
    - Modulated turn-by-turn laser interaction in a full model with lattice, aperture, and collimation system
    - On-line particle tracking, laser interaction, and loss location recording
    - Concept studies for the Z mode ongoing, full studies to follow



I. Drebot et al, IPAC'23

## **Open questions**

#### Single-beam backgrounds

- Efforts to model tail formation due to beam-gas, Touschek, and thermal photon
- Only long-range effects considered, local losses studied by the MDI and FLUKA teams

#### Injection and extraction protection

- Local protection devices will be challenging for the FCC-ee no ramp, tight margins, high power density
- Need to study how these devices fit in the collimation hierarchy
- In contact with ABT and FLUKA experts about this

#### Additional protection

- Tertiary collimators in the IRs or additional local protection collimators may be required
- Need to systematically study failure modes and loss sources
- Alternative collimation system design
  - Adaptation of the system to the new LCCO optics by P. Raimondi
  - Non-linear collimation a-la SuperKEKB, spoiler-absorber configuration, halo depletion mechanisms
  - Explore different options as the design and specification advances



## **FCC-ee collimation summary**

- Studies of IR beam losses and collimation for the FCC-ee
  - The collimation system design is available, including beam halo and SR collimators
    - Adapted to the latest layout and lattice baseline, new collimation optics implemented
  - Crucial beam loss scenarios identified, with studies ongoing:
    - Beam halo losses studied for the most critical Z mode, no show-stoppers identified
      - Improved collimation performance with respect to the previous baseline
      - Ongoing collaboration with the MDI, impedance, injection/extraction, engineering, FLUKA studies teams
    - First integrated beam-beam and collimation studies
      - Preliminary results available, but further studies are required
- Next steps
  - Study other beam loss scenarios top-up injection, beam-gas, failure scenarios
  - Obtain input for the equipment loss tolerances superconducting magnets, collimators, other
    - Energy deposition studies required for magnets, collimators, and masks
    - Tolerance of the detectors to backgrounds required
  - Study all beam modes
  - Explore synergies with other machines SuperKEKB, EIC, DAΦNE, Light sources



# Thank you!

