

LAPP activities: ground motion, vibration models, simulations, SuperKEKB

G. Balik, <u>L. Brunetti,</u> J.P. Baud, I. De Bonis, A. Dominjon, G. Lamanna, M. Marchand, E. Montbarbon, F. Poirier







Global scheme of the vibrations effects on the beam and the related controls:



- Vibrations (ground motion and mechanics)
- ✤ Instrumentation
- Control
- Beam parameters (position, emittance, luminosity...)



Global view of the team works:

Vibrations models & global effects

- 3: Uniform waves (static deformation) optics studies
- Dynamic aspects: prospect of a GND generator (GND motion and
- coherence studies at SuperKEKB)



- Vibrations sensors (R&D and sensors in operation on site)

FCC IS 2023 Rome

done in the past

- Active system for vibrations controls (ATF2, CLIC) –

MDI strategy





➢ For the next studies, focus on these 10 quadrupoles placed around the IP

 A global and innovative approach to set the tolerances of the magnet dynamic behavior:



Coupling of the mechanical and the optics studies

- The tolerances of the mechanics are adjusted by the evaluated beam parameters of the optics simulation
- Complementary study to the current ones (T. Charles, K. Oide et al)







Coherence measurements at LHC

FCC IS 2023 Rome

Mechanics : methodology of the dynamic behavior evaluation

The final assembly is complex and not enough achieved to be evaluated



Development of a methodology on a simple geometry inspired by a known case: SuperKEKB



Finite elements model under ANSYS of a simple structure



Test of the method on a prorotype

For more details, S. Grabon's presentation: https://indico.cern.ch/event/1064327/timetable/



Magnet slice displacement



Mechanics : validation of the method on a cantilever beam prototype



define and to verify the tolerances

- Validation of the method on a elementary prototype which could be adapted with various operation configurations has been processed
- More structure details are expected to be studied and integrated

Frapezoida

Central

OC1L1

3D view of the FCC-ee IR until the

end of the first final focus quadrupole



Optics : beam tracking studies

QC1L2

_ _ _ _

QC1L1

Detector

Methodology:

- consideration of one-block quadrupoles (no slicing yet)
- Tools:
 - □ V22.2 layout used:~91 km long
 - □ Z lattice considered, as smallest beam spot sizes at IP
 - □ Optics simulation with MAD-X:

Dynamical study -> Tracking module used, number of accelerator turn dependent

□ **No optics correction** considered, to highlight vibrations impact on beam characteristics

QC2L2 QC2L1

QC1L3

• Setup:



- 40 FFS quadrupoles considered
 → 5 per IP side, with 4 IPs
- Based on the previous study evaluating the impact of individually misaligned quadrupoles
- After studying a static case, vibrations are defined by a **sine** wave function.
- 15 Hz Frequency chosen to correspond to the first mode frequency of the SuperKEKB cryostat
- Correlation between magnetic elements and/or girders along the ring

• Observables:

IP





For more details, E. Montbarbon's presentation: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1064327/timetable/</u>

Optics : beam tracking studies – preliminary results & prospects

• First studies:

All FFS Quadrupoles

- Bunch of **200 electrons**, gaussian beam
- Tracking study over **3000 turns** (\Leftrightarrow 1s beam time)
- Vibration of all FFS quadrupoles: sine at 15 Hz with 1 μ m of amplitude
- **Random phase advance** between all quadrupoles, fixed at the first turn -> simulation of 5 seeds to efficiently compare results



- 15 Hz pattern noticed in each seed
- Amplitude of y mean and phasing between the seeds very different
- Need to focus the studies on the impact of individually vibrating quadrupole

QC2L2 QC2L1 QC1L2 QC1L1 QC1L2 QC1L1 Detector

Each FFS Quadrupole

Comparison with individual vibrations of the most impacting quad. at IPs



Each FFS quadrupole vibration will give its own contribution to the mean vertical offset @ IP.

Status and prospects:

- The method to evaluate the impact of time-dependent vertical vibrations applied in the Final Focusing System (FFS) on beam phase space is developed and the tracking studies will be gradually complexified
- Use of the MUST computing facility (IN2P3/USMB) to deal with all simulations scenarios
 - This study will require dedicated investigation to provide more realistic results and is a long term work (vibrations relative to mechanics design, local and global corrections...)
- ***** This study is integrated into a more global one: Effect of plane ground waves





Aims of the study:

- Compute the response of a potential spatial coherence on the performances of FCC-ee
- Compare simulation results obtained to the ones of other machines (e.g. LEP, LHC)



Characteristics of the wave:

- Incident angle: 0 rad
- Phasing advance: $\pi/2$ rad
- *n*: harmonic number

f : frequency of the plane ground wave *C*: circumference of the ring = 91,18 km v_{wave} : velocity of S-waves = 3000 m.s⁻¹ *A* : amplitude of oscillation = 5.10⁻⁷ m

- Assumptions:
 - Only one beam considered: no beam-beam effect introduced in the simulations
 - Beam made out of only one particle, placed on the ideal closed orbit
 - No multi-turn tracking
 - No local nor global correction
 - Work performed on the Z lattice (V22)
 - Sinusoidal plane ground wave

 Vertical misalignment attributed to each quadrupole j along the accelerator ring, regarding the corrugated model:

$$\varepsilon(j) = A * \sin\left(\frac{2\pi f}{v_{wave}}(X(j) * \cos(\alpha) - Z(j) * \sin(\alpha)) + \varphi\right)$$

(With α : wave front tilt angle and φ : phasing advance)



- Estimated amplification factor for LEP & LHC in function of the harmonics numbers:
 - <u>yco</u>: difference of vertical offsets between the closed orbit and the ideal closed orbit at the four Interaction Points (IPs), given by the TWISS table
 - <u>yco(rms)</u> : vertical RMS value of the vertical closed orbit offset over the whole ring, written in the SUMM table
 - <u>amplification factor</u>: to normalize from the maximum amplitude : $\frac{yco(rms)}{maximum amplitude of the wave} \times C$



Biblio:

E. Keil, Effect of Plane Ground Waves on the Closed Orbit in Circular Colliders, CERN SL/97-61 (AP), 1997

RJ Steinhagen, "LHC Beam Stability and Feedback Control", 2007

M.Schaumann, "The effect of ground motion on the LHC and HL-LHC beam orbit", 2023



• FCC-ee MAD-X result and comparison with the LEP results :



- FCC-ee is more sensitive.
- Now it has to be investigated the meaning and the induced effects on the machine with further analysis



• 2 methods are carried out (simulation and analytic approaches):



Analytic: sequence made out with quadrupoles only
Optics simulation: full sequence of FCC-ee

Definition of the analytic approach:

Each misalignment of quadrupole ε generates a dipole kick δ : as $\delta = kl\varepsilon$

The ith dipole kick creates a perturbation yco_i of the closed orbit:

$$yco_{j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{\beta_{i}\beta_{j}}}{2\sin(\pi Q)} \cdot \cos(\pi Q - 2\pi \Delta \mu_{ij}) \cdot k_{j}l_{j}\varepsilon_{j}$$

Both approaches are complementary and strengthen the obtained results





Large portion of the contribution comes from the quadrupoles close to the IPs



Comparison analytical model vs MAD-X

Difference of vertical offsets between the closed orbit and the ideal closed orbit @ IP.8





- Except an initial offset which has to be fixed, the correlation of the two approaches is very consistent
- First main peak @ 214 is coherent with the vertical tune machine
- More investigation is ongoing to comfort the complementary of the 2 methods
 - Analytic: fast knowledge of each quadrupole effect on the trajectory
 - **Optics simulation**: **accurate** evaluation of the effects of all the magnetics elements on the beam parameters

Schematics of the plane ground wave impacting FCC-ee



Prospects

Short term:

- Investigation of the offset (vs lattice and magnetic elements)
- Parametric study to test the influence of the phase and the direction of the waves
 - Presentation during a next optics meeting soon
- Mid-term:
 - Evaluate a more realistic setups with known waves speeds and directions (collaboration is initiated with a French seismology lab) to convert harmonic to frequency

Study on plane waves done @ ATF2 – comparison model vs measurements

PSD of ATF2 ground motion (near final doublets) on Friday 12/12/08 at 3pm (during shift)



• Theoretical formula of the DSP of the absolute ground motion:

$$p(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{a_i}{1 + \left[d_i(w - w_i) / w_i^2 \right]^4}$$

• a_n, w_n, d_n: amplitude, freq and width of the 3 main waves



Schematics of the plane ground wave impacting FCC-ee



- 1st study will be done at SuperKEKB
- Long term: roadmap in progress....





Some examples of vibratory analysis at the MDI:







o SuperKEKB vibration weekly reports: <u>https://lappweb.in2p3.fr/SuperKEKB/</u>

- o M. Serluca et al, « Vibration and luminosity frequency analysis of the SuperKEKB collider », NIMA (2021).
- o L. Brunetti et al, "Influence of vibratory effects on the beam parameters of SuperKEKB, IPAC23



Coupled analysis with luminosity measurements:

□ Influence of the mechanics



Experimental layout in both ring (left: LER, right: HER) of the luminosity measurements - IJClab



□ Influence of coherent vibrations



PSD of the luminosity (left) and vibrations (right) with a peak at the same frequency due to the external disturbance



Positions of focusing magnets in the QCR-R cryostat (left) -Maximum amplitudes of the deformation (right)



Comparison between the PSD of luminosity and the PSD max (z-axis) of the four sensors located around the BELLE II detector in [10-30] Hz bandwidth.

Study in progress: to do the correlation between real measurements and the optics simulation under SAD



Analysis of the luminosity measurements:



Experimental layout in both ring (left: LER, right: HER) of the luminosity measurements - IJClab





• The signals contains a lot of information which are very helpful for the beam diagnostic, especially for the vibrations effects...





• Quantification:



Ratio of the luminometer signal : An / Me

HER	LER
[8-20] %	[1-2] %

• The analysis is in progress but the quantification is complex due to various factors: nature of the beams, positions of the devices, alignment and beam deflection, electronics noise and sensitivity...

Simulation under SAD

- Evaluation of the beam deflection in function of the cryostat deformation
- Evaluate the accuracy between the measured and estimated effects



The installation of a IP BPMs fast acquisition is in under investigation
To follow the effects vs the increasing of the luminosity will be very relevant



Vibrations effects of coherent vibrations:

Planned vibration measurement campaign around the ring – from 2023 Nov. 17th to 26th



2 synchronized DAQ will be performed

LER

Estimation (using SAD) of the beam vertical offset @IP of each magnet with a misalignment of 1um (threshold of 0,05um)

Selection of 5 strategic points at about -60m, 25m, 60m, 650m and 1500m from the IP

- > To study the vibrations effects vs spatial coherence
- > To have relevant inputs from an experiment in operation for the FCC-ee uniform waves study and the FCC-ee GND model



- MDI: Complex interplay between mechanical and beam optics studies
 - Mechanical modelling method is operative to implement new MDI elements
 - Vibrations optics simulations under MAD-X are in progress
- Uniform waves
 - Coherent results with LEP and LHC
 - Two consistent, complementary and relevant approaches (MAD-X & Analytic) to have an efficient tool of investigation
 - Large potential of interest (more realistic situation)
- SuperKEKB: Benefit from an experience in operation
 - Great opportunity to evaluate the effects on a MDI setup and to compare optics simulations and real measurements
 - Demonstrated interest in achieving measurements of global coherence at SuperKEKB and to compare the data to the IP BPM measurements
- Others
 - Future implication on the arc-cell prototype
 - R&D dedicated to positioning system
- Particular thanks to: M. Boscolo, T. Charles, F. Fransesini, M. Hofer, K. Oide, G. Roy, L. Van Riesen-Haupt, P. Raimondi, T. Raubenheimer, F. Zimmermann and the whole FCC-ee collaboration team