

The Three-loop Jet Function for Top Quarks

(Soon to appear in arXiv)

Alberto Martín Clavero Vicent Mateu Barreda Maximilian Stahlhofen Robin Brüser





Overview

- 1. Introduction to EFTs
- 2. Factorisation theorem
- 3. The 3-loop jet function
- 4. Conclusions

Introduction to EFTs



• Low energy observables are insensitive to high energy physics.

- Low energy observables are insensitive to high energy physics.
- We expand in powers of a small parameter λ and compute quantities until some order *n*.

$$O_{QCD}(s,Q) = \sum_{n} C_n(Q,\mu) O_{EFT,n}(s,\mu) \Big(rac{s}{Q}\Big)^n$$

- Low energy observables are insensitive to high energy physics.
- We expand in powers of a small parameter λ and compute quantities until some order *n*.

$$O_{QCD}(s,Q) = \sum_{n} C_n(Q,\mu) O_{EFT,n}(s,\mu) \left(\frac{s}{Q}\right)^n$$

• EFTs are a natural way of introducing separation of scales.

$$\langle O_{QCD}
angle \sim \log\left(rac{s}{Q}
ight) \qquad C_n \sim \log\left(rac{\mu}{Q}
ight) \qquad \langle O_{EFT,n}
angle \sim \log\left(rac{s}{\mu}
ight)$$

- Low energy observables are insensitive to high energy physics.
- We expand in powers of a small parameter λ and compute quantities until some order *n*.

$$O_{QCD}(s,Q) = \sum_{n} C_n(Q,\mu) O_{EFT,n}(s,\mu) \left(\frac{s}{Q}\right)^n$$

• EFTs are a natural way of introducing separation of scales.

$$\langle O_{QCD}
angle \sim \log\left(rac{s}{Q}
ight) \qquad C_n \sim \log\left(rac{\mu}{Q}
ight) \qquad \langle O_{EFT,n}
angle \sim \log\left(rac{s}{\mu}
ight)$$

 We can set the value of μ to make the logs in (O_{EFT,n}) of order 1 and then resum the logs in C_n(Q, μ) with an RGE.

HQET

[Manohar, Wise Camb.Monogr.Part.Phys.Nucl.Phys.Cosmol. 10 (2000) 1-191] Consider a single (top) quark with offshellness

$$p_t = m_t v + k,$$
 $v^2 = 1$ & $k^2 \ll m_t^2$

We can expand in $\lambda = (k^2/m_t^2) \ll 1$.

HQET

[Manohar, Wise Camb.Monogr.Part.Phys.Nucl.Phys.Cosmol. 10 (2000) 1-191] Consider a single (top) quark with offshellness

$$p_t = m_t v + k,$$
 $v^2 = 1$ & $k^2 \ll m_t^2$

We can expand in $\lambda = (k^2/m_t^2) \ll 1$.

The basics of SCET I

[Bauer, Fleming, Luke Phys.Rev.D 63 (2000) 014006], [Bauer, Fleming, Pirjol, Stewart Phys.Rev.D 63 (2001) 114020], [Bauer, Pirjol, Stewart Phys.Rev.D 65 (2002) 054022]

- Consider the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2$ top jets, with CM energy $Q^2 \gg m_i^2$.
- We can regard each jet as a (anti)quark + other particles going roughly in the same direction.

Separation of scales in SCET I

Two energy scales:

- Q = CM energy.
- $\Delta =$ energy scale in *t* rest frame.
- $\lambda = (\Delta/Q) \ll 1$ separates:
 - Hard modes $p_h^2 = Q^2$.
 - Collinear modes $p_n^2 = Q^2 (\Delta/Q)^2$.
 - Soft modes $p_s^2 = Q^2 (\Delta/Q)^4$.

Separation of scales in SCET I

Wilson lines naturally appear in SCET:

Factorisation theorem

The QCD cross section

• We are interested in $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2$ jets + soft radiation.

• The QCD cross-section is

$$\sigma = \sum_{X_{\mathsf{jets}}} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (q - p_X) \sum_{i=a,v} L^i_{\mu v} \langle 0 | \mathcal{J}^{v^{\dagger}}_i | X
angle \langle X | \mathcal{J}^{\mu}_i | 0
angle$$

The QCD cross section

• We are interested in $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2$ jets + soft radiation.

• The QCD cross-section is

$$\sigma = \sum_{X_{\rm jets}} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (q - p_X) \sum_{i=a,v} L^i_{\mu v} \langle 0 | \mathcal{J}^{v\dagger}_i | X \rangle \langle X | \mathcal{J}^{\mu}_i | 0 \rangle$$

Relevant energy scales: Q ≫ m_t ≫ Γ_t > Λ_{QCD}

EFT approach

[Fleming, Hoang, Mantry, Stewart Phys.Rev.D 77 (2008) 074010]

 $Q \gg m_t \gg \Gamma_t > \Lambda_{QCD}$

The factorised cross-section

After some hard work...

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\sigma}{dM_t^2 dM_{\tilde{t}}^2} = &\sigma_0 H_Q(Q,\mu_m) H_m(m_t,\frac{Q}{m_t},\mu_m,\mu) \\ & \times \int dl^+ dl^- \mathcal{B}_+ \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_t - \frac{Ql^+}{m_t},\Gamma_t,\delta m_t,\mu\right) \mathcal{B}_- \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\tilde{t}} - \frac{Ql^-}{m_t},\Gamma_t,\delta m_t,\mu\right) \mathcal{S}(l^+,l^-,\mu) \end{aligned}$$

The factorised cross-section

After some hard work...

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\sigma}{dM_t^2 dM_{\bar{t}}^2} = &\sigma_0 H_Q(Q,\mu_m) H_m(m_t,\frac{Q}{m_t},\mu_m,\mu) \\ & \times \int dl^+ dl^- B_+ \left(\hat{s}_t - \frac{Ql^+}{m_t},\Gamma_t,\delta m_t,\mu\right) B_- \left(\hat{s}_{\bar{t}} - \frac{Ql^-}{m_t},\Gamma_t,\delta m_t,\mu\right) S(l^+,l^-,\mu) \end{aligned}$$

- $B_+ = B_- \equiv B$
- $\hat{s}_{\bar{t}} \frac{Ql^{-}}{m_t} \equiv s$ is related to the offshellness.
- We can set $\Gamma_t = 0$, $\delta m_t = 0$ since they can be reintroduced by shifting *s*.

[Fleming, Hoang, Mantry, Stewart Phys.Rev.D 77 (2008) 094008]

$$B(s,\mu) \equiv \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{-i}{4\pi N_c m_t} \int d^4 x \, e^{i \, r \cdot x} \langle 0| T\{\bar{h}_{v_+}(0) W_n(0) W_n^{\dagger}(x) h_{v_+}(x) | 0 \rangle\right]$$

[Fleming, Hoang, Mantry, Stewart Phys.Rev.D 77 (2008) 094008]

$$B(s,\mu) \equiv \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{-i}{4\pi N_c m_t} \int d^4 x \, e^{i \, r \cdot x} \langle 0| T\{\bar{h}_{v_+}(0) W_n(0) W_n^{\dagger}(x) h_{v_+}(x) | 0 \rangle\right]$$

[Fleming, Hoang, Mantry, Stewart Phys.Rev.D 77 (2008) 094008]

$$B(s,\mu) \equiv \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{-i}{4\pi N_c m_t} \int d^4 x \, e^{i \, r \cdot x} \langle 0| T\{\bar{h}_{v_+}(0) W_n(0) W_n^{\dagger}(x) h_{v_+}(x) | 0 \rangle\right]$$

[Fleming, Hoang, Mantry, Stewart Phys.Rev.D 77 (2008) 094008]

$$B(s,\mu) \equiv \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{-i}{4\pi N_c m_t} \int d^4 x \, e^{i \, r \cdot x} \langle 0| T\{\bar{h}_{v_+}(0) W_n(0) W_n^{\dagger}(x) h_{v_+}(x) | 0 \rangle\right]$$

$$B(s,\mu) \equiv \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{-i}{4\pi N_c m_t} \int d^4 x \, e^{i \, r \cdot x} \left\langle 0 \right| T\{\bar{h}_{v_+}(0) W_n(0) W_n^{\dagger}(x) h_{v_+}(x) | 0 \right\rangle\right]$$

Why computing the jet-function at higher perturbative orders in α_s is interesting?

- Precision measurements of the top mass in a renormalon-free scheme [Hoang Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 70 (2020) 225-255].
- Calibration of the MC top quark mass parameters [Butenschoen, Dehnadi, Hoang, Mateu, Preisser, Stewart Phys.Rev.Lett. 117 (2016) 23, 232001], [Dehnadi, Hoang, Jin, Mateu JHEP 12 (2023) 065].
- It appears in other observables e.g. heavy jet mass, 2-jetiness and C-jetiness [Lepenik, Mateu JHEP 03 (2020) 024].

The 3-loop jet function

The strategy to compute the jet function.

$$B(s,\mu) \equiv \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{-i}{4\pi N_c m_t} \int d^4 x \, e^{i \, r \cdot x} \langle 0| T\{\bar{h}_{v_+}(0) W_n(0) W_n^{\dagger}(x) h_{v_+}(x)|0\rangle\right]$$

- 1. Draw all possible Feynman diagrams.
- 2. Translate from diagrams to expressions contributing to the matrix element.
- 3. Work out the color, Lorentz and Dirac algebra.
- 4. (?)
- 5. Compute all integrals.
- 6. Substitute the results for the integrals and sum over all diagrams.

• Tree level:

1 Feynman diagram $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0$ scalar loop integrals.

• Tree level:

1 Feynman diagram $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0$ scalar loop integrals.

• 1 loop:

4 Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 3 scalar loop integrals.

• Tree level:

1 Feynman diagram $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0$ scalar loop integrals.

• 1 loop:

4 Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 3 scalar loop integrals.

• 2 loops:

54 Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 74 scalar loop integrals.

• Tree level:

1 Feynman diagram $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0$ scalar loop integrals.

• 1 loop:

4 Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 3 scalar loop integrals.

• 2 loops:

54 Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 74 scalar loop integrals.

• 3 loops:

1134 Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 5406 scalar loop integrals.

1. Generate Feynman diagrams

Easily done thanks to qgraf [Nogueira J.Comput.Phys. 105 (1993) 279-289].

1. Generate Feynman diagrams

Easily done thanks to qgraf [Nogueira J.Comput.Phys. 105 (1993) 279-289].

- 2. Translate to expressions
- 3. Work out the color/Lorentz/Dirac algebra and apply partial fractioning.

We use the private code Looping [Brüser].

Generated diagrams (qgraf) Feynman Rules (FORM, color.h) [Kuipers, Ueda, Vermaseren, Vollinga Comput.Phys.Commun. 184 (2013) 1453-1467] [Ritbergen, Schellekens, Vermaseren Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 14 (1999) 41-96]

Diagrams in terms of scalar integrals

4. **(?)**

4. Reducing the number of integrals

The key ingredient is using IBP (integration-by-parts) identities:

.

$$\int \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\ell}} \left(\frac{d^{d}k_{r}}{i\pi^{d/2}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{i}^{\mu}} \boldsymbol{q}^{\mu} \prod_{j}^{n_{\text{int}}} \frac{1}{D_{j}^{\gamma_{j}}} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

With q^{μ} any external vector or loop momentum.

4. Reducing the number of integrals

The key ingredient is using IBP (integration-by-parts) identities:

$$\int \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\ell}} \left(\frac{d^{d}k_{r}}{i\pi^{d/2}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{i}^{\mu}} \boldsymbol{q}^{\mu} \prod_{j}^{n_{\text{int}}} \frac{1}{D_{j}^{\gamma_{j}}} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

With q^{μ} any external vector or loop momentum.

$$G[a_1, a_2, a_3] \equiv \int \frac{d^d k_1}{i\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{[-(2\nu \cdot k_1 - 1)]^{a_1}(-n \cdot k_1)^{a_2}(-k_1^2)^{a_3}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^{\mu}} k_1^{\mu}\right) \quad G[1, 1, 1] \quad \to \quad (4 - d)G[1, 1, 1] + G[2, 1, 1] = 0$$

For the IBP reduction we used FIRE6 [Smirnov, Chuharev Comput.Phys.Commun. 247 (2020) 106877] and LiteRed [Lee J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 523 (2014) 012059].

We can express all the integrals as a linear combination of **master integrals (MI)** (which we choose to be as simple as possible).

• Tree level:

1 Feynman diagram $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0$ scalar loop integrals.

We can express all the integrals as a linear combination of **master integrals (MI)** (which we choose to be as simple as possible).

- Tree level:
 - 1 Feynman diagram $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0$ scalar loop integrals.
- 1 loop:

4 Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 3 scalar integrals $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 1 MI.

We can express all the integrals as a linear combination of **master integrals (MI)** (which we choose to be as simple as possible).

• Tree level:

1 Feynman diagram $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0$ scalar loop integrals.

• 1 loop:

4 Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 3 scalar integrals $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 1 MI.

• 2 loops:

54 Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 74 scalar integrals $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 3 MIs.

We can express all the integrals as a linear combination of **master integrals (MI)** (which we choose to be as simple as possible).

• Tree level:

1 Feynman diagram $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0$ scalar loop integrals.

• 1 loop:

4 Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 3 scalar integrals $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 1 MI.

• 2 loops:

54 Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 74 scalar integrals $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 3 MIs.

• 3 loops:

1134 Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 5406 scalar integrals $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ 21 MIs.

5. Calculating the MI

It is convenient to use the Feynman parametrization

$$\int \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\ell}} \left(\frac{d^d k_r}{i \pi^{d/2}} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n_{\text{int}}} \frac{1}{D_j^{\nu_j}} \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu - \frac{n_{\ell}d}{2}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n_{\text{int}}} \Gamma(\nu)} \int_{x_j \ge 0} d^{n_{\text{int}}} x \,\delta(1 - \sum_j^* x_j) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n_{\text{int}}} x_j^{\nu_j - 1} \right) \frac{[\mathcal{U}(x)]^{\nu - \frac{(n_{\ell}+1)d}{2}}}{[\mathcal{F}(x)]^{\nu - \frac{n_{\ell}d}{2}}}$$

5. Calculating the MI

It is convenient to use the Feynman parametrization

$$\int \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\ell}} \left(\frac{d^d k_r}{i \pi^{d/2}} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n_{\text{int}}} \frac{1}{D_j^{\nu_j}} \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu - \frac{n_{\ell}d}{2}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n_{\text{int}}} \Gamma(\nu)} \int_{x_j \ge 0} d^{n_{\text{int}}} x \,\delta(1 - \sum_j^* x_j) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n_{\text{int}}} x_j^{\nu_j - 1} \right) \frac{[\mathcal{U}(x)]^{\nu - \frac{(n_{\ell}+1)d}{2}}}{[\mathcal{F}(x)]^{\nu - \frac{n_{\ell}d}{2}}}$$

In general, it is not trivial to compute the integral.

$$\Gamma^{2}(1-\varepsilon)\Gamma(-1+4\varepsilon)\int_{0}^{\infty}\int_{0}^{\infty}dx_{3} dx_{4} (1+x_{3})^{-3\varepsilon}(1+x_{4})^{-1+\varepsilon}(1+x_{3}+x_{4})^{-1+\varepsilon}$$

We can numerically check the (*ɛ* expanded) result with FIESTA5 [Smirnov, Shapurov, Vysotsky Comput.Phys.Commun. 277 (2022) 108386] or PySecDec [Borowka, Heinrich, Jahn, Jones, Kerner, Schlenk, Zirke Comput.Phys.Commun. 222 (2018) 313-326]. 18/26

Mellin-Barnes transform:

$$(A + B)^{-c} = rac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\infty}^{\gamma + i\infty} d\sigma \, rac{\Gamma(\sigma + c)\Gamma(-\sigma)}{\Gamma(c)} A^{\sigma} B^{-\sigma - c}$$

In our previous example, it returns

$$\frac{\Gamma(-1+4\varepsilon)\Gamma(1-\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(3\varepsilon)}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty}dz\,\frac{\Gamma(-1+3\varepsilon-z)\Gamma(-z)\Gamma(1+z)\Gamma(1-\varepsilon+z)}{(1-2\varepsilon+z)}$$

Mellin-Barnes transform:

$$(A+B)^{-c} = rac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} d\sigma \, rac{\Gamma(\sigma+c)\Gamma(-\sigma)}{\Gamma(c)} A^{\sigma} B^{-\sigma-c}$$

In our previous example, it returns

$$\frac{\Gamma(-1+4\varepsilon)\Gamma(1-\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(3\varepsilon)}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty}dz\,\frac{\Gamma(-1+3\varepsilon-z)\Gamma(-z)\Gamma(1+z)\Gamma(1-\varepsilon+z)}{(1-2\varepsilon+z)}$$

- It can be solved using the residues theorem.
- There are many useful Mathematica packages. We used MB [Czakon Comput.Phys.Commun. 175 (2006) 559-571] and MBresolve [Smirnov, Smirnov Eur.Phys.J.C 62 (2009) 445-449].

Quasi-finite integrals are finite for $\varepsilon \to 0$ (or such that the divergence is a global factor). They are especially easy to integrate because we can expand the integrand in ε .

Quasi-finite integrals are finite for $\varepsilon \to 0$ (or such that the divergence is a global factor). They are especially easy to integrate because we can expand the integrand in ε . The idea is to relate the quasifinite integrals with the original MI [Manteuffel, Panzer, Schabinger JHEP 02 (2015) 120]:

Quasi-finite integrals are finite for $\varepsilon \to 0$ (or such that the divergence is a global factor). They are especially easy to integrate because we can expand the integrand in ε . The idea is to relate the quasifinite integrals with the original MI [Manteuffel, Panzer, Schabinger JHEP 02 (2015) 120]:

- 1. Look for quasi-finite integrals (Reduze):
 - Decrease the degree of IR divergence by increasing $d \rightarrow d + 2$.
 - Decrease the degree of UV divergence by raising the power of the denominators.
- 2. Apply IBP reductions and dimensional shifting relations to the quasi-finite integral. [Lee Nucl.Phys.B Proc.Suppl. 205-206 (2010) 135-140]
- 3. We express the original MI in terms of the quasi-finite integral.

Quasi-finite integrals are finite for $\varepsilon \to 0$ (or such that the divergence is a global factor). They are especially easy to integrate because we can expand the integrand in ε . The idea is to relate the quasifinite integrals with the original MI [Manteuffel, Panzer, Schabinger JHEP 02 (2015) 120]:

- 1. Look for quasi-finite integrals (Reduze):
 - Decrease the degree of IR divergence by increasing $d \rightarrow d + 2$.
 - Decrease the degree of UV divergence by raising the power of the denominators.
- 2. Apply IBP reductions and dimensional shifting relations to the quasi-finite integral. [Lee Nucl.Phys.B Proc.Suppl. 205-206 (2010) 135-140]
- 3. We express the original MI in terms of the quasi-finite integral.

We can use HyperInt [Panzer Comput.Phys.Commun. 188 (2015) 148-166] to compute the finite integral.

6. Final steps:

- Sum all diagrams.
- Take the imaginary part and renormalize the jet function

$$B(s,\mu) = \int ds' Z_B^{-1}(s-s',\mu) B^{ ext{bare}}(s')$$

6. Final steps:

- Sum all diagrams.
- Take the imaginary part and renormalize the jet function

$$B(s,\mu) = \int ds' Z_B^{-1}(s-s',\mu) B^{ ext{bare}}(s')$$

Working in this way, we managed to ...

- (Trivially) reproduce the 1 loop result.
- Reproduce the 2 loop result [Jain, Scimemi, Stewart Phys.Rev.D 77 (2008) 094008] (at arbitrary order in *ε new!*).
- Obtain the 3 loop result at order ε^1 *new!*.

The 3-loop jet function

The numeric value of our **analytic** result for the renormalized jet function for $n_f = 5$ is

$$\begin{split} m\tilde{B}(y,\mu) \simeq &1.0000 + a_s \left(5.3333 \, L^2 + 5.3333 L + 7.5266 \right) \\ &+ a_s^2 \left(14.222 \, L^4 + 55.704 \, L^3 + 132.10 \, L^2 + 122.65 \, L + 183.80 \right) \\ &+ a_s^3 \left(25.284 \, L^6 + 221.23 \, L^5 + 951.84 \, L^4 + 2231.2 \, L^3 + 3822.9 \, L^2 \right. \\ &+ 6531.2 \, L + 5827.8 \right) + O(a_s^4) \end{split}$$

Where

$$a_s \equiv \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}$$
 $L \equiv \ln\left(ie^{\gamma_E}y\mu\right)$

• The gauge parameter *ξ* drops out when summing over all diagrams, even before the evaluation of the MI.

- The gauge parameter *ξ* drops out when summing over all diagrams, even before the evaluation of the MI.
- All MI computed numerically up to order ε^3 , and agree with our **analytic** results.

- The gauge parameter ξ drops out when summing over all diagrams, even before the evaluation of the MI.
- All MI computed numerically up to order ε^3 , and agree with our **analytic** results.
- The jet function satisfies the non-abelian exponentiation theorem [Gatheral Phys.Lett.B 133 (1983) 90-94], [Frenkel, Taylor Nucl.Phys.B 246 (1984) 231-245] up to order α_s^3 .

$$ilde{B}(y,\mu) = \expig(ilde{B}_{ extsf{exp}}(y,\mu)ig)$$

- The gauge parameter ξ drops out when summing over all diagrams, even before the evaluation of the MI.
- All MI computed numerically up to order ε^3 , and agree with our **analytic** results.
- The jet function satisfies the non-abelian exponentiation theorem [Gatheral Phys.Lett.B 133 (1983) 90-94], [Frenkel, Taylor Nucl.Phys.B 246 (1984) 231-245] up to order α_s^3 .

$$ilde{\mathsf{B}}({ extsf{y}},\mu) = \expig(ilde{\mathsf{B}}_{ extsf{exp}}({ extsf{y}},\mu)ig)$$

• Our direct computation of the anomalous dimension at α_s^3 (cusp and non-cusp) agrees with indirect/known computations

$$\frac{d\log B}{d\log \mu} = -\frac{d\log Z_B}{d\log \mu} = \gamma_B$$

- The gauge parameter ξ drops out when summing over all diagrams, even before the evaluation of the MI.
- All MI computed numerically up to order ε^3 , and agree with our **analytic** results.
- The jet function satisfies the non-abelian exponentiation theorem [Gatheral Phys.Lett.B 133 (1983) 90-94], [Frenkel, Taylor Nucl.Phys.B 246 (1984) 231-245] up to order α_s^3 .

$$ilde{\mathsf{B}}({ extsf{y}},\mu) = \expig(ilde{\mathsf{B}}_{ extsf{exp}}({ extsf{y}},\mu)ig)$$

• Our direct computation of the anomalous dimension at α_s^3 (cusp and non-cusp) agrees with indirect/known computations

$$\frac{d\log B}{d\log \mu} = -\frac{d\log Z_B}{d\log \mu} = \gamma_B$$

• The piece with $\alpha_s^3 \beta_0^2$ agrees with [Mateu, Gracia JHEP 07 (2021) 229].

Conclusions

- We reviewed the basics of EFTs, and introduced SCET and bHQET.
- We presented the jet function as a common ingredient in the factorization theorem for several observables in heavy quark production.
 In particular, we discussed how it takes a role in determining the top quark mass in a renormalon-free scheme.
- We outlined some of the techniques required to solve the problems that appear when studying processes at more than one loop.
- We computed the analytic result for the 3-loop jet function: results are soon to appear in arXiv.

Thank you for your attention!

Alberto Martín Clavero Vicent Mateu Barreda Maximilian Stahlhofen Robin Brüser

June 26, 2024