Technology Highlights of High Energy Accelerator Projects

(an almost random choice for entertainment on the last day of this course)

Hermann Schmickler, ex-CERN





Copyright statement and speaker's release for video publishing

The author consents to the photographic, audio and video recording of this lecture at the CERN Accelerator School. The term "lecture" includes any material incorporated therein including but not limited to text, images and references.

The author hereby grants CERN a royalty-free license to use his image and name as well as the recordings mentioned above, in order to post them on the CAS website.

The material is used for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research. The author hereby confirms that to his best knowledge the content of the lecture does not infringe the copyright, intellectual property or privacy rights of any third party. The author has cited and credited any third-party contribution in accordance with applicable professional standards and legislation in matters of attribution.





- Accelerator types
- Most important beam parameters
 - particle type \rightarrow application, very different accelerator types
 - beam energy \rightarrow acceleration (cavities), bending (dipoles)
 - beam intensity \rightarrow shielding, losses, irradiation, alignment
 - beam size (emittance) \rightarrow focusing

cavities, quadrupoles, sextupoles, alignment

- Some mechanical engineering highlights including some beam physics background
 - hollow electron lens for beam cleaning (project Hilumi-LHC)
 - CLIC two-beam module





Accelerators Installed Worldwide



Doyle, McDaniel, Hamm, The Future of Industrial Accelerators and Applications, SAND2018-5903B



The CERN Accelerator School beam of particles is a very useful tool..."



Doyle, McDaniel, Hamm, The Future of Industrial Accelerators and Applications, SAND2018-5903B



Where do breakthrough technologies come from?



Many innovations emerge from interplay between curiosity driven research and societal need



John Womersley, former CEO of STFC (UK) said:

"Particle physics is unreasonable. It makes unreasonable demands on technology. And when those technologies, those inventions, those innovations happen, they spread out into the economy, and they generate a huge impact."



https://www.symmetrymagazine.org/article/october-2009/deconstruction-livingston-plot

Image: CMS, CERN

This and the following 3 slides taken from: S.Sheehy, CAS Introduction to Accelerator physics





1) Particle types

- Particles need to be charged and stable to be accelerated →
 e+,e-,p, pbar, heavy ions
- Other particles created as secondary beams x-rays, photons, neutrons...pions, muons....
- actual choice depends exclusivly on application
- High energy colliders in these days: e+,e-,p ...(muons)
 more in 2nd lecture about colliders



Most important beam parameters



- 2) Beam energy....Lorentz-Force:
- up to max. 10 MeV electrostatic acceleration possible
 → DC beam; van de Graaff accelerator type
- higher energies demand electromagnetic acceleration

 (a) Electric field
 (b) Electric field
 (c) Electric field





 $F = q\mathbf{\vec{E}} + q\vec{v}x\mathbf{B}$

force

Magnetic force

- linear accelerators: Single pass acceleration
- →need very high acceleration gradients (CLIC: 100 MeV/m)
- circular accelerators: Multi pass acceleration through few cavities
- \rightarrow need (strong) **dipoles** to put beam onto a **circular orbit**



Most important beam parameters

3) beam intensity

 particles of equal charge expulse each other → maximum bunch intensity (up to 10¹³ charges/bunch)
 → more: instabilities, blow-up, losses

- stored energy of beams can become very large (kinetic energy) (HL-LHC up to 1 GJ stored beam energy: a 200m long TGV at 400 km/h)

- sources (how to get so many particles)
- Irradiation and activation of machine components
- damage to accelerator components
- particle (performance) loss
- sophisticated access control systems
- sophisticated beam dumps (controlled extraction of particles)

H. Schmickler, June 2024, ME-CAS







Most important beam parameters



4) beam size ... the most complex part! Description of beams in trace space:= space – angle coordinate system





Focusing



 Without additional elements any non-laminar beam will diverge along its propagation path

transverse case:

- a single lens can focus a beam to a point, but then the beam diverges again
- need a sequence of lenses
- a « lens » for a particle beam is an element with a force towards its center, which is proportinal to the distance from the center







But unlike an optical lens a magnetic lens (quadrupole)

- A quadrupole focuses only in one plane
- Defocuses in the orthogonal plane



FODO transfer Matrix in 6-D





In order to calculate numbers one usually defines a FODO cell from the middle of the first F-quadrupole up to the middle of the last Fquadrupole.

Hence the resulting transfer matrix looks:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{L^2}{2f_0^2} & \frac{L}{f_0}(L+2f_0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{L}{4f_0^3}(L-2f_0) & 1 - \frac{L^2}{2f_0^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - \frac{L^2}{2f_0^2} & -\frac{L}{f_0}(L-2f_0) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{L}{4f_0^3}(L+2f_0) & 1 - \frac{L^2}{2f_0^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{2L}{\beta_0^2\gamma_0^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



FODO lattice



• Resulting beam envelope:



"1D beam size":
$$x(s) = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \cdot \sqrt{\beta(s)} \cdot \cos\{\mu(s) + \varphi\}$$

ε = emittance [mm mrad]

In an energy conserving system the emittance of a beam is preserved; hence one has to produce the beam with a small emittance and keep it small.

$\beta(s)$ = Beta function





Dipole Errors



	error	effect	correction change excitation current, replace magnet		
	strength (k)	change in deflection			
	lateral shift	none			
	tilt	additional vertical deflection	corrector dipole magnet		
11111 11111 11111 11111 11111 11111 1111					
11111 11111		1// 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			



Quadrupole Errors



Error type	effect on beam	correction(s)		
strength	Change in focusing,	Change excitation current,		
	"beta-beating"	Repair/Replace magnet		
Lateral shift Extra dipole kick		Excitation of a corrector		
		dipole magnet		
tilt Coupling of the beam		Excitation of a additional		
	motion in the two planes	"skewed quadrupoles (45 ⁰)		



An offset quadrupole is seen as a centered quadrupole plus a dipole.



sextupoles



- For all magnetic elements in an accelerator the force on the beam depends on the magnetic field AND on the momentum of the particles.
- Particles in a bunch have always a momentum spread (spread some 10⁻³)
 (→longitudinal emittance!), so each magnetic element exerts a slightly different force onto individual particles.
- In dipoles this means that particles travel on different orbits
 → bigger vacuum chambers
- The effect in the case of quadrupoles can be corrected with sextupoles (quadratic dependence of field from origin) in a dispersive region.





J. Jowett

ε = 3.75 μm $\gamma_r = 7463$ $\sigma_{xy} = 16.6 \ \mu m$

LHC

Last slide (for now) on quadrupoles



- For particle colliders (next lecture!) one of the most important parameter of • performance is the so called **luminosity** L.
- The luminosity is a measure of how many particle interactions can be produced ٠ per time unit.
- It depends crucially on the beam densities at the interaction point (IP), in other ٠ words on the beam size at the IP.
- One uses very strong (SC-) quadrupoles for maximum focalization at the IP. ٠



$$u = \frac{n_b N_{b1} N_{b2} f g_r}{4\rho b^* e}$$

Low values of β^* are alone not sufficient, one must generate and preserve beams with the lowest possible emittance



 ϕ_2

Only one slide on longitudinal focusing



- (maybe too much) simplified picture
- Also in the longitudinal plane the beam has a finite emittance (x, x') → (z, dp_z/p_z)
- T Simple case (no accel.): B = const., below transition $\gamma < \gamma_t$

The phase of the synchronous particle must therefore be φ_0 = 0.

- Φ_1 The particle **B** is accelerated
 - Below transition, an energy increase means an increase in revolution frequency
 - The particle arrives earlier tends toward φ_0



- The particle is decelerated
 - decrease in energy decrease in revolution frequency
 - The particle arrives later tends toward φ_0



Highlights



- Just a personal choice!
- Show two highly integrated subsystems
- Related to the previously explained physics aspects
- 1. Hollow Electron Lens ← handling of high power beams



 CLIC Two-beam module ← almost every aspect of accelerator technology one dreams of !





Hollow electron lens (HEL) for the HL-LHC



- Project developped at CERN for the Hi-Lumi upgrade of the LHC with the help of many CERN collaborators and many external collaborations.
- Main motivation:

Complement to existing collimation system

- up to 700 MJ stored beam energy
- existing two stage collimation system needs « help »
- beam can develop « non-gaussian tails »





Following slides: Adriana Rossi, 12th HLLHC Collaboration Meeting, Uppsala (Sweden), 19-22 Sept. '22





designed

 Pressure analysis for magnet system complete

G. Ferlin

Cryogenics



Circuits and quench protection

- Magnet circuit defined
- Quench protection system thoroughly studied
- Energy extraction required for mains only

S. Yammine, M. Wozniak

ER

Key technology demonstration

Beam Gas Curtain (e- and hadron beams)

- Version for LHC measurements to be tested at EBTS - Q1/2 '22, at LHC in 2023.
- Gas curtain 9x0.3mm at 10¹⁶ N_2/m^3
- Design to fit in tight HEL space in progress

x2 [mm]

Numerical simulations and **Strip-line BPM (e- and hadron beams)**

laboratory measurements demonstrate the feasibility of measuring both ~ DC e-beam and bunched LHC beam, with < 2um difference

M. Wendt

12th HLLHC Collaboration Meeting, Uppsala (Sweden), 19-22 Sept. '22

12

Electron Beam Test Stand

- Resistive magnets (0.4T max)
- H/V correctors
- Capability of testing:
 - E-gun
 - Collector
 - BPM, BGC
 - Modulator
 - HV power convertor
 - HV control and interlocks

S. Sadovich

SY-BI-XEI section

Solenoid with H/V correctors BPM BGC collector GUN Beam Diagnostics Sector Valve Box

Electron Beam Test Stand

Electron gun

Collector Current vs Gun Voltage | Fit FNAL: I_{coll} =229.40 $V_{marx}^{1.4}$ Fit CERN old: I_{coll} =494.42 $V_{marx}^{0.99}$ | Fit CERN new: I_{coll} =212.84 $V_{marx}^{1.6}$

Electron gun

Gun Magnet Current	(# 100	200	200	200	200	200	100
BDB Magnet Current	(A 55	55	100	185	300	420	420
Gun B-Field (T)	0.045	0.091	0.091	0.091	0.091	0.091	0.046
BDB B-Field (T)	0.02	0.033	0.039	0.051	0.066	0.081	0.068
Expansion Factor	1.493	1.646	1.518	1.341	1.178	1.059	0.822
Beam Size (mm)	24.0	26.5	24.4	21.6	19.0	17.1	13.2

 $[\]otimes$ 8-16 mm cathode

20mA x 3µs pulse

CLIC (= Compact LInear Collider) in a nutshell

Novel two beam acceleration scheme (cost, efficiency)

e+e- collider: cms energy: 250 GeV up to 3 TeV

Very high luminosity needs 1nm vertical beam size at IP

CLIC main linac module

- 100 MV/m accelerating gradient copper cavities
- large NC quadrupoles
- 100 A electron beam to deliver power
- 1 A main beam
- 7kW/m power dissipation, watercooling
- mechanical and thermal stability on the um level
- um level pre-alignment without beam
- active stabilization against ground motion on the nm-level

Alexandre.Samochkine @ cern.ch

MODULE TYPES

Alexandre.Samochkine @ cern.ch

Standard Module (L=2010 mm) DB (100 A) 4 PETS, 2 Quads with BPM Each PETS feeds 2 AS

MB (1 A) 8 acc. structures MB filling factor: 91%

+

special modules (damping region, modules with instrumentation and/or vacuum equipment)

Alexandre.Samochkine @ cern.ch

RF NETWORK LAYOUT

Alexandre.Samochkine @ cern.ch

tolerance on RF phase change between DB and MB: ± 0.12 deg

The CLIC two-beam RF network includes the standard X-band rectangular waveguides connecting PETS, AS and other supplementary devices such as choke-mode flange (CMF), Hybrid, high power load, splitter and WFM.

Alexandre.Samochkine @ cern.ch

The design of AS is driven by extreme performance requirements. The assembly accuracy is $\pm 5 \mu m$. Many features of different systems, such as vacuum, cooling, wake field monitor as well as damping waveguide absorbers are incorporated into design.

COMPLEXITY

Brazed disks with "compact" coupler & vacuum system (10⁻⁹ mbar), micro-precision assembly, cooling circuits (400 W per AS) wakefield monitor (1 WFM per SAS), interconnection to MB Q (stabilization!) structure support (alignment), output WG with RF components (e.g. loads) RF distribution (WGs & splitters)

Alexandre.Samochkine @ cern.ch

From pA to kA and from Angstroms to 100s of μm to mms.

Densities, time = 0.000 [ns]



Cavity Conditioning Algorithm

Alexandre.Samochkine @ cern.ch

Automatically controls incident power to structure.
Short term: +10kW steps every 6 min and -10kW per BD

event.

Long Term: Measures BDR (1MPulse moving avg.) and will stop power increase if BDR too high.





structures, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 19, 032001 (2016) - Published 4 March 2016

Experimental study of rf pulsed heating

Lisa Laurent,* Sami Tantawi, Valery Dolgashev, and Christopher Nantista SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA

Yasuo Higashi KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, 1-1 Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

Markus Aicheler, Samuli Heikkinen, and Walter Wuensch CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland (Received 9 September 2010; published 7 April 2011)



FIG. 6. Normalized pulsed heating temperature calculations superimposed on a copper pulsed heating sample.

depth limit, which is valid for small skin depths in comparison with the thermal diffusion length. In the samples we have tested, the skin depth is typically on the order of 0.65 μ m, and the thermal diffusion length is greater than 10 μ m. The simplified temperature rise function in this case is

$$T(t,z) = \frac{R_s}{2(\sqrt{\pi\alpha\rho}c_{\epsilon})} \int_0^t \frac{H^2(t',r)e^{-(z^2/4\alpha(t-t'))}}{\sqrt{t-t'}} dt'; \quad (3)$$



Pulsed surface heating. Fatigue process driven by pulsed resistive wall losses





FIG. 12. SEM image after (a) first 70°C run and after (b) second 70°C run. Surface extrusions were more severe after extending the rf processing time.



Images courtesy of M. Aicheler: http://indico.cern.ch/getFile.py/access?contribId=0&resId=1&materialId=slides&confId=106251





INSTRUMENTATION

BEAM POSITION & WAKE FIELD MONITORS

 $A lexandre. Samochkine @\ cern.ch$

BELLOW

RF FINGER

Limited space for BPM integration and interconnection, 1 BPM per Quad, 1 WFM per SAS (RMS position error 5 µm) Qty: DB: ~47000; MB: ~151000 units



MB BPM

resolution requirement: $2 \mu m$, 10 ns choke type, mech. design of prototype is done. Optimization for CLIC module layout is under way.



REF SPHERE

DB QUAD

RF LOAD

VACUUM FLANGE

(PETS INTERCONNECTION)

VAC CHAMBER

WFM

Design:

design: RF - S. Smith (SLAC),

mechanical - D. Gudkov (JINR)

DB BPM resolution requirement: 2 μm, 10 ns

> RF - F. Peauger (CEA-Saclay), Mechanical - A. Solodko (JINR)

AS with WFM \rightarrow end of 2010 Validation in CLEX (2011)









MAGNET SYSTEM



QUADRUPOLES



Alexandre.Samochkine @ cern.ch

Baseline: classical electro-magnetic design

MB: The magnets are needed in four different magnetic lengths (350, 850, 1350 & 1850mm).

- the beam pipe is attached to the magnet and must be aligned to the magnetic centre of the Quad with an accuracy better than 30 μ m; transverse tolerance for pre-alignment 17 μ m at 1 σ ; stabilization: 1nm >1Hz in vertical & 5nm >1Hz in horizontal direction at 1 σ .

DB: The active length specified is 150 mm. The total number of quads required for both linacs is ~42000. In current module design the DB Quad vertical size drives the beam height.



Magnets for CLIC Two-Beam Module Test Program



First 10 Coils (for 2 magnets + spares) at CERN acceptance

Quadrants (for 2 magnets + spares) delivered at CERN Metrology Lab



Magnets for CLIC Two-Beam Module Test Program



b) Optional Tunable Permanent Magnet Design

(refer to presentation of **J. Clarke** in WG 6 Session of Wednesday 20 Oct. morning)

Advantages:

-Very limited electrical requirements (moving actuators)- no cooling needs

Disadvantages (or specific difficulties):

-Complexity (moving parts) -Reliability (tuning is individual) -Stability of the PM blocks versus: time/temperature/radiation

Cost :

Limit the production cost for a so impressive series (> 40000 units), is a challenging aspect (but this for <u>both</u> solutions).







SUPPORTING SYSTEM



SUPPORT



BASELINE:

- interconnected girders form a "snake system"
- MB girders are not of the same length
- MB Q support interrupts the MB girder
- MB Q beam pipe and AS are connected by bellows





The main components of both beams are supported on rectangular shaped girders linked to one chain all along the linac. The MB focusing magnet is an exception due to stringent position requirements. It has its own support and stabilization unit, which will be integrated in a later phase.

The sensors of Wire Positioning System (WPS) are reading the transversal and vertical distances to one of the wires stretched between two beams for forming a straight reference line all along the linac.







VACUUM SYSTEM





A low pressure level (10⁻⁹ mbar) is needed for keeping the good beam quality. The interconnections between main components should sustain the vacuum forces, provide an adequate electrical continuity with low impedance and remain flexible not to restrict the alignment.















DB Quad vacuum chamber – PETS interconnections (mech. design D. Gudkov, JINR)







PRE - ALIGNMENT & STABILIZATION SYSTEM





Mechanical pre-alignment within $\pm 0.1 \text{ mm} (1\sigma) \rightarrow \text{active pre-alignment: within } \pm 10 \,\mu\text{m} (3\sigma)$

Concept: «snake system», straight alignment reference over 20 km based on overlapping stretched wires, AS and PETS pre-aligned on independent girders, MB Quad pre-aligned independently.





The CLIC study – micrometric pre-alignment 1 F.Lackner, CERN



Development of micrometric girder alignment based on cam shaft movers:



A mechanically determined system by choosing the correct amount of degrees of freedom:





2-CAM support (SLS)



The CLIC study – micrometric pre-alignment 2



Alignment accuracy studied in 1 DOF -> minimization of relative micro displacements (parasitic alignment errors):

- Sine wave response, repeatability in short and long range alignment
- Modal behaviour as function of load mass
- Verification of heat dissipation during continuous operation
- Material fatigue behaviour studies (Wear, jamming)
- Modular assembly in order to study CAM optimization based on the
- Hertzian theory, interchangeable CAM

After optimization integration on girder object in 5 DOF



1 DOF

5 DOF

The CLIC study – micrometric pre-alignment 3



Pmax

80

60



The CERN Accelerator School



$$p_{max} = \frac{1}{\xi \cdot \eta} \cdot \sqrt[3]{\frac{3F \cdot E^2 \cdot (\sum k)^2}{8\pi^3 (1 - \nu^2)^2}}$$



Optimization of cam diameter in order to increase the Hertz contact region.



40

Cam radius in [mm]

0

0

20

Efficiency - CAM radius

Stabilization Requirements



K. Artoos, CERN

60

3992 CLIC Main Beam Quadrupoles:

Four types :

Mass: ~ 100 to 400 kg

Length: 500 to 2000 mm

Stability (magnetic axis):







61

Measurements LAPP, DESY, SLAC Broadband seismometers characterisation



More measurements by CERN in accelerator environments



M. Sylte, M. Guinchard, A. Kuzmin, A. Slaathaug







- Running accelerator in deep tunnel comparable to LHC:
 between 2 and 5 nm ground vertical integrated R.M.S. displacement
- Amplitude to be reduced by a factor 4-5 in frequency range 1-20 Hz
- Above 20 Hz contribution to integrated RMS is small
- Updated ground motion model with technical noise





- 63
- Stiff structure
- At least four d.o.f.
- Precise motion
- Repeatability
- 0.1 nm resolution vertically

Parallel structure

Stiff piezo actuators

Flexural hinges







Sensors : Seismometers "to get started"











Stiff intermediate girder between alignment and stabilisation Lockable in longitudinal direction (transport)



Step 1: One d.o.f. scaled set-up



66









COLLETTE C., ARTOOS K., KUZMIN A., SYLTE M., GUINCHARD M. and HAUVILLER C., Active quadrupole stabilization for future linear particle colliders, Nuclear instruments and methods in physics research section A, vol.621 (1-3) pp.71-78 (2010).











Objectives:

- •Validate the strategy and controller in 2 d.o.f.
- Validate flexural hinge design
- Validate Mounting and assembly issues
- Validate nano positioning in 2 d.o.f.





K. Artoos, IWLC 2010, Geneva 21 October 2010



Stabilization in 2 d.o.f.







Positioning in 2 d.o.f.







Integration test at CERN




Summary



- 1000's of examples of splendid ME examples in accelerators
- Only two shown
- At todays level of complexity and pushed requirements for new projects one should:
 - Increase the knowledge of (mechanical) engineers on beam physics
 - Increase the knowledge of accelerator physicists on technologies and feasibilities

...leading to a better dialog for optimized designs

New role for CAS