Study of proton structure using $c\bar{c}$ correlation in ultraperipheral pA collisions

Barbara Linek^{1,2}

In collaboration with Agnieszka Łuszczak, Marta Łuszczak, Roman Pasechnik, Wolfgang Schäfer and Antoni Szczurek

> Forward Physics in ALICE 3 18-20 October 2023

¹Doctoral School of the University of Rzeszow, Poland ²Institute of Physics, University of Rzeszow, Poland

arXiv:2308.00457

Introduction

- The diffractive production of high momentum particles provides information about, among other, unintegrated gluon distribution of the target.
- An example of above are Generalized transverse momentum distribution (GTMDs) which are derived from full transformation to the momentum space, the so-called Wigner distributions.
- In the region of small Bjorken—x GTMDs are equivalent to dipole amplitude depending on the dipole size and impact parameter.
- GTMDs depend on the transverse momentum transfer and the transverse momentum of a parton in the proton or nucleus, including a dependence on the azimuthal angle between the two transverse vectors. It is therefore possible to distinguish so-called elliptic GTMD.
- Above azimuthal angle correlation refers to the dipole orientation with respect to the background color field
 of the target.
- It is possible to study the elliptic gluon distributions in diffractive reaction such as exclusive dijet production in *ep* collisions, *pA* and *AA* ultra-peripheral collisions (UPC) or exclusive QQ photo-production in *pA* and *AA* UPC.

Barbara Linek

Introduction

- This analysis concerns the exclusive production of $c\bar{c}$ pairs in proton-lead collisions at the LHC energy.
- The dominant reaction mechanism in mentioned collisions is the diffractive photoproduction of the $c\bar{c}$ pair on a proton by a Weizsäcker-Williams photon emitted by the lead nucleus.
- One is interested in the angular correlation of the jet momentum \vec{P}_{\perp} and the momentum transfer to the proton $\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}$ described as $cos\phi$.
- These correlations can be absorbed into the GTMD, in which would they correspond to the δ –function terms.
- At large jet momenta that correlations are expressed in term of the elliptic GTMD, while at lower P_{\perp} they are better understood as coming from the matrix element at finite Δ_{\perp} .



 $\vec{P}_{\perp} = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{p}_{\perp Q} - \vec{p}_{\perp \bar{Q}})$ $\vec{\Delta}_{\perp} = \vec{p}_{\perp Q} + \vec{p}_{\perp \bar{Q}}$ $\cos\phi = \frac{\vec{P}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{P_{\perp} \Delta_{\perp}}$

Diffractive photo-production of $Q \bar{Q}$ in proton-nucleus collisions



The cross section for the proton-nucleus reaction can be written as:

Barbara Linek

In the color dipole approach to diffractive processes, the cross section for the $\gamma p \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}$ diffractive process is written as:

$$\frac{d\sigma(\gamma p \to Q\bar{Q}p; s_{\gamma p})}{dz d^2 \vec{P}_{\perp} d^2 \vec{\Delta}_{\perp}} = \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma}, \lambda, \overline{\lambda}} \left| \int \frac{d^2 \vec{b}_{\perp} d^2 \vec{r}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i\vec{\Delta}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{b}_{\perp}} e^{-i\vec{P}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}} N(Y, \vec{r}_{\perp}, \vec{b}_{\perp}) \psi_{\lambda \overline{\lambda}}^{\gamma_{\lambda}}(z, \vec{r}_{\perp}) \right|^2$$

with the dipole scattering amplitude:

$$N(Y, \vec{r}_{\perp}, \vec{b}_{\perp}) = \int d^2 \vec{q}_{\perp} d^2 \vec{\kappa}_{\perp} f\left(Y, \frac{\vec{q}_{\perp}}{2} + \vec{\kappa}_{\perp}, \frac{\vec{q}_{\perp}}{2} - \vec{\kappa}_{\perp}\right) \exp\left[i\vec{q}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{b}_{\perp}\right]$$
$$\times \left\{ \exp\left[i\frac{1}{2}\vec{q}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}\right] + \exp\left[-i\frac{1}{2}\vec{q}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}\right] - \exp\left[i\vec{\kappa}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}\right] - \exp\left[-i\vec{\kappa}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}\right]\right\}$$

and the Light-Front wave function for the $\gamma \to Q\bar{Q}$ depends on the helicities of quarks $\lambda/2$, $\bar{\lambda}/2$ and photon λ_{γ} :

$$\psi_{\lambda\bar{\lambda}}^{\lambda\gamma}(z,\vec{r}_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi z(1-z)}} \int \frac{d^{2}\vec{l}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{i\vec{r}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{l}_{\perp}} \psi_{\lambda\bar{\lambda}}^{\lambda\gamma}(z,\vec{l}_{\perp})$$

Barbara Linek

$$N(Y, \vec{r}_{\perp}, \vec{b}_{\perp}) = \int d^2 \vec{q}_{\perp} d^2 \vec{\kappa}_{\perp} f\left(Y, \frac{\vec{q}_{\perp}}{2} + \vec{\kappa}_{\perp}, \frac{\vec{q}_{\perp}}{2} - \vec{\kappa}_{\perp}\right) \exp\left[i\vec{q}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{b}_{\perp}\right]$$
$$\left\{\exp\left[i\frac{1}{2}\vec{q}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}\right] + \exp\left[-i\frac{1}{2}\vec{q}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}\right] - \exp\left[i\vec{\kappa}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}\right] - \exp\left[-i\vec{\kappa}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}\right]\right\}$$

- The dipole scattering amplitude depends on the "rapidity" $Y = \ln\left(\frac{x_0}{x_P}\right)$, $x_0 = 0.01$ and impact parameters of quark $\vec{b}_{\perp Q} = \vec{b}_{\perp} + \frac{\vec{r}_{\perp}}{2}$ and antiquark $\vec{b}_{\perp \bar{Q}} = \vec{b}_{\perp} \frac{\vec{r}_{\perp}}{2}$.
- The unintegrated gluon density matrix is written as:

$$f\left(Y,\frac{\vec{q}_{\perp}}{2}+\vec{\kappa}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{q}_{\perp}}{2}-\vec{\kappa}_{\perp}\right) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{4\pi N_{\rm C}} \frac{\mathcal{F}\left(x_{\mathbb{P}},\frac{\vec{q}_{\perp}}{2}+\vec{\kappa}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{q}_{\perp}}{2}-\vec{\kappa}_{\perp}\right)}{\left(\frac{\vec{q}_{\perp}}{2}+\vec{\kappa}_{\perp}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\vec{q}_{\perp}}{2}-\vec{\kappa}_{\perp}\right)^2}$$

Barbara Linek

The diffractive photo-production cross section is expressed in terms of amplitudes for the sum of quark helicities equal to zero or one:

$$\frac{d\sigma(\gamma p \to Q\bar{Q}p; s_{\gamma p})}{dz d^2 \vec{P}_{\perp} d^2 \vec{\Delta}_{\perp}} = e_f^2 \alpha_{em} 2N_C (2\pi)^2 \left\{ (z^2 + (1-z)^2) \left| \vec{\mathcal{M}}_0 \right|^2 + m_Q^2 \left| \mathcal{M}_1 \right|^2 \right\}$$

$$\vec{\mathcal{M}}_{0}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}) = \int \frac{d^{2}\vec{k}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} f\left(Y,\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} + \vec{k}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} - \vec{k}_{\perp}\right) \left\{ \frac{\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{\Delta}_{\perp}\right)^{2}} + m_{Q}^{2} + \frac{\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{\Delta}_{\perp}\right)^{2}} - \frac{\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{k}_{\perp}}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)^{2}} - \frac{\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{k}_{\perp}}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)^{2}} + m_{Q}^{2} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{1}\left(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}\right) = \int \frac{d^{2}\vec{k}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} f\left(Y,\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} + \vec{k}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} - \vec{k}_{\perp}\right) \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} - \frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} + \frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_$$

Barbara Linek

Decomposing of the amplitudes allows to better understood azimuthal correlation:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\mathcal{M}}_{0}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}) &= \vec{\mathcal{I}}_{0}\left(\vec{P}_{\perp},\frac{1}{2}\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}\right)C(Y,\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}) - \int \frac{d^{2}\vec{k}_{\perp}}{2\pi}\vec{\mathcal{I}}_{0}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{k}_{\perp})f\left(Y,\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2}+\vec{k}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2}-\vec{k}_{\perp}\right) \\ \mathcal{M}_{1}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}) &= \mathcal{I}_{1}\left(\vec{P}_{\perp},\frac{1}{2}\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}\right)C(Y,\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}) - \int \frac{d^{2}\vec{k}_{\perp}}{2\pi}\mathcal{I}_{1}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{k}_{\perp})f\left(Y,\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2}+\vec{k}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2}-\vec{k}_{\perp}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \vec{J}_{0}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{q}_{\perp}) &= \frac{\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{q}_{\perp}}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} + \frac{\vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{q}_{\perp}}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} - \frac{2\vec{P}_{\perp}}{\vec{P}_{\perp}^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} \\ \mathcal{J}_{1}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{q}_{\perp}) &= \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} - \frac{2}{\vec{P}_{\perp}^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} \\ \mathcal{J}_{1}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{q}_{\perp}) &= \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} - \frac{2}{\vec{P}_{\perp}^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} \\ \mathcal{J}_{1}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{q}_{\perp}) &= \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} - \frac{2}{\vec{P}_{\perp}^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} \\ \mathcal{J}_{1}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{q}_{\perp}) &= \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} - \frac{2}{\vec{P}_{\perp}^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} \\ \mathcal{J}_{1}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{q}_{\perp}) &= \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} - \frac{2}{\vec{P}_{\perp}^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} \\ \mathcal{J}_{1}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{q}_{\perp}) &= \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} - \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{q}_{\perp}\right)^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} - \frac{2}{\vec{P}_{\perp}^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}} \\ \mathcal{J}_{2}(\vec{P}_{\perp},\vec{P}_$$

Barbara Linek

MPM and GBW parametrizations

Two different parametrization of the off-forward gluon density matrix were use in calculation:

$$f\left(Y,\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2}+\vec{\kappa}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2}-\vec{k}_{\perp}\right) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{4\pi N_{\rm C}} \frac{\mathcal{F}\left(x_{\mathbb{P}},\vec{k}_{\perp},-\vec{k}_{\perp}\right)}{\vec{k}_{\perp}^4} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}B\vec{\Delta}^2\right]$$

In both – the Golec–Biernat–Wüsthoff (GBW) model and the Moriggi–Peccini–Machado (MPM) model, the diffractive slope equals $B = 4 \ GeV^{-2}$.



Barbara Linek

Fourier transform of dipole amplitude

Gluon density matrix encodes the same information as the Fourier transform of the dipole amplitude , up to the term containing δ – function

$$f\left(Y,\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2}+\vec{k}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2}-\vec{k}_{\perp}\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}T\left(Y,\vec{k}_{\perp},\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}\right), \qquad T\left(Y,\vec{k}_{\perp},\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}\right) = \int \frac{d^{2}\vec{b}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{d^{2}\vec{r}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{-i\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{b}_{\perp}} e^{-i\vec{k}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{r}_{\perp}}N\left(Y,\vec{r}_{\perp},\vec{b}_{\perp}\right)$$

which is clearly visible in the form

$$T(Y,\vec{k}_{\perp},\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}) = C(Y,\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}) \left(\delta^2 \left(\vec{k}_{\perp} - \frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} \right) + \delta^2 \left(\vec{k}_{\perp} + \frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} \right) \right) - f\left(Y,\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} + \vec{k}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} - \vec{k}_{\perp} \right) - f\left(Y,\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} - \vec{k}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} - \vec{k}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} + \vec{k}_{\perp} \right)$$

This transform is non-convergent, therefore it needs to be regularize by inserting a Gaussian cutoff function:

$$T(Y,\vec{k}_{\perp},\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}) = \int \frac{d^2b_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2\vec{r}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{b}_{\perp}} e^{-i\vec{k}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{r}_{\perp}} N(Y,\vec{r}_{\perp},\vec{b}_{\perp}) e^{-\varepsilon r_{\perp}^2}$$

or, using δ – function with $\delta_{\varepsilon}^{2}(\vec{k}_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \exp\left(\frac{\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{4\varepsilon}\right)$ $T(Y,\vec{k}_{\perp},\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}) = C(Y,\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}) \left(\delta_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left(\vec{k}_{\perp} - \frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2}\right) + \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left(\vec{k}_{\perp} + \frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2}\right)\right) - f_{\varepsilon}\left(Y,\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} + \vec{k}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} - \vec{k}_{\perp}\right) - f_{\varepsilon}\left(Y,\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} - \vec{k}_{\perp},\frac{\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}}{2} + \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)$

Barbara Linek

Study of proton structure using $c\bar{c}$ correlation in ultraperipheral pA collisions

Fourier transform of dipole amplitude

The matrix elements in terms of T —matrix are:

$$\vec{\mathcal{M}}_{0} = \int \frac{d^{2}\vec{k}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} T\left(Y,\vec{k}_{\perp},\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}\right) \left\{ \frac{\vec{P}_{\perp}-\vec{k}_{\perp}}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp}-\vec{k}_{\perp}\right)^{2}+m_{Q}^{2}} - \frac{\vec{P}_{\perp}}{\vec{P}_{\perp}^{2}+m_{Q}^{2}} \right\}, \qquad \mathcal{M}_{1} = \int \frac{d^{2}\vec{k}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} T\left(Y,\vec{k}_{\perp},\vec{\Delta}_{\perp}\right) \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(\vec{P}_{\perp}-\vec{k}_{\perp}\right)^{2}+m_{Q}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\vec{P}_{\perp}^{2}+m_{Q}^{2}} \right\}$$

The leading dependence on dipole orientation is quantified by the elliptic part of the dipole amplitude in the Fourier expansion:

$$N(Y, \vec{r}_{\perp}, \vec{b}_{\perp}) = N_0(Y, r_{\perp}, b_{\perp}) + 2\cos(2\phi_{br})N_{\epsilon}(Y, r_{\perp}, b_{\perp}) + \cdots$$

Therefore, the isotropic and elliptic parts of the dipole amplitude to GTMDs are translated by the appropriate Fourier-Bessel transforms:

$$T_{0}(Y,k_{\perp},\Delta_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{\perp} db_{\perp} J_{0}(\Delta_{\perp}b_{\perp}) \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{\perp} dr_{\perp} J_{0}(k_{\perp}r_{\perp}) N_{0}(Y,r_{\perp},b_{\perp}) e^{-\varepsilon_{r}r_{\perp}^{2}}$$
$$T_{\epsilon}(Y,k_{\perp},\Delta_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{\perp} db_{\perp} J_{2}(\Delta_{\perp}b_{\perp}) \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{\perp} dr_{\perp} J_{2}(k_{\perp}r_{\perp}) N_{\epsilon}(Y,r_{\perp},b_{\perp}) e^{-\varepsilon_{r}r_{\perp}^{2}}$$

Barbara Linek

HHU, MV-IR and KT parametrizations

Parametrization based on the regularized Fourier transform of dipole amplitude are as follows:

- HHU model numerically solving the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation for the dipole S matrix;
- The MV IR model based on the original effective McLerran-Venugopalan model independent of the *Y*, therefore we used formula including additional dependence: $T_{MV-IR}^{mod}(Y, \vec{k}_{\perp}, \vec{\Delta}_{\perp}) = T_{MV_IR}(Y, k_{\perp}, \vec{\Delta}_{\perp})e^{\lambda Y}$, $Y = \ln\left(\frac{0.01}{x_{D}}\right)$, $\lambda = 0.277$;
- The Kowalski Teaney (KT) model that has been adjusted to the proton structure function data but does not include dipole orientation effects;



Barbara Linek

Different parametrizations of GTMD



Barbara Linek

Study of proton structure using $c\bar{c}$ correlation in ultraperipheral pA collisions

Distributions in y_c and Y_{pair}



Barbara Linek

Distributions in Δ_{\perp} and P_{\perp}



Barbara Linek

Study of proton structure using $c\bar{c}$ correlation in ultraperipheral pA collisions

Distributions in -t and $\log_{10}(x_{\mathbb{P}})$



Barbara Linek

Study of proton structure using $c\bar{c}$ correlation in ultraperipheral pA collisions

Distributions in ϕ



Barbara Linek

Study of proton structure using $c\bar{c}$ correlation in ultraperipheral pA collisions

Conclusions

- Several differential distributions for the diffractive photo-production of cc̄ pair in pA → p(cc̄)A reaction at LHC energies have been presented.
- Models based on the Fourier transform of the dipole matrices was regularized by an extra factor that leads to rather large uncertainties as far as normalization of the cross section is concerned.
- The special attention has been paid to azimuthal correlations which were proposed in the literature to test the models of small—x dynamics.
- The find azimuthal correlations turned out to be rather small.
- The modulations depends on the specific GTMD models used in analysis, therefore it is important to test them in actual measurements at the LHC.



Thank you for your attention

Barbara Linek



Additional slides

Barbara Linek



Small Bjorken-x range results

GTMD	$\sigma(\mu b), 0 < P_T < 10 GeV$		
approaches	$0 < x_{IP} < 1.0$	$0 < x_{IP} < 0.02$	
GBW	335.199	330.046	
МРМ	321.141	293.300	
$\varepsilon = (0.5 fm)^{-2}$			
HHU	520.691	520.691	
KT	66.699	65.023	
MV - IR	136.675	129.883	
$\varepsilon = 1/2(0.5fm)^{-2}$			
HHU	743.411	743.411	
KT	85.487	83.039	
MV - IR	169.561	161.360	

GTMD	$\sigma(\mu b), 5 < P_T < 10 GeV$		
approaches	$0 < x_{IP} < 1.0$	$0 < x_{IP} < 0.02$	
GBW	0.051	0.046	
МРМ	0.201	0.173	
$\varepsilon = (0.5 fm)^{-2}$			
HHU	4.573	4.573	
KT	0.111	0.110	
MV - IR	0.606	0.526	
$\varepsilon = 1/2(0.5fm)^{-2}$			
HHU	4.348	4.348	
KT	0.106	0.106	
MV - IR	0.587	0.510	

Barbara Linek

Small Bjorken-x range results



Barbara Linek

Study of proton structure using $c\bar{c}$ correlation in ultraperipheral pA collisions

Small Bjorken-x range results



Barbara Linek