

# OSPO Plans: Hardware

OSPO Launch Event day 2 - 29 Nov 2023

Javier Serrano for the OSPO team

Open.Source@cern.ch - <https://opensource.cern>



# Open Hardware at CERN: a bit of history

- See <https://www.oshwa.org/research/brief-history-of-open-source-hardware-organizations-and-definitions/> for the history of Open Source Hardware (OSHW) and CERN's place in it
- Pioneering role since 2008
- The [Open Hardware Repository](#)
- The [CERN Open Hardware Licence](#) (thanks KT, Myriam!)
  - March 2011: version 1.0
  - July 2011: version 1.1
  - September 2013: version 1.2
  - March 2020: version 2.0
    - Only hardware licence [approved by the Open Source Initiative](#) (OSI)
    - Recommended for all types of hardware (including gateware) by [choosealicense.com](#) (part of GitHub)
    - Recommended by the Open Source Hardware Association (OSHWA) for [hardware](#) and [gateware](#)
    - Covers gateware well and provides support for three sharing regimes (see later)

# A preliminary question

In the following slides, when I say “hardware” I mean electronics. Is there anybody interested in open-source mechanics (or some other hardware) who would like to take a leading role in this domain?

## And similarly

Should we include design of Application-Specific Integrated Circuits (ASIC) with open-source Process Design Kits (PDK) in the scope of the OSPO?

# The tools issue

When you open-source hardware or gateware, you expect that others can freely open, edit, simulate, etc, your design. The use of **FOSS for hardware design** and gateware design/simulation is desirable but not always possible. This could be discussed in the frame of the upcoming ELEC committee (and **CAEC** if we include mechanical CAD in the discussion). Proprietary tools can even be a risk in terms of our ability to fulfil our basic mandate.

# The CERN Component Libraries

Can they be open-sourced? Altium, Cadence, KiCad libraries? What would be the cost and who would pay?

# Best practices for OSHW at CERN: some initial ideas for discussion

- Working with BE-CEM-EPR (CERN design office)
  - Using CERN component libraries
  - Design rules
  - Storing files in a standardised EDMS structure
- Design reviews (schematics, layout)
- Production readiness review
  - Development of a Production Test System (PTS)
  - Prototypes shown to work in as diverse environments as possible
- Involving companies and Knowledge Transfer (KT) early on. In some cases one might go as far as creating a consortium (e.g. White Rabbit Collaboration, thanks KT!).
- Template for electronic production procurement
- Documentation: user manual, designer manual

# Best practices for OSHW at CERN: some initial ideas for discussion

- Licensing
  - CERN Open Hardware Licence and its three variants:
    - Permissive (P)
    - Weakly reciprocal (W)
    - Strongly reciprocal (S)
- Project mandate and milestone plan
- Landing page with short description, status, contact, users, producers, etc. See evolution of the Open Hardware Repository (<https://ohwr.org>)
- Use of an issue tracking system (GitLab, Jira)



# Open question

KT is the entry point for hardware disclosures. To be discussed/decided: is **gateway** more like hardware or like software when it comes to the default entry point (KT or OSPO)? This will be decided by OSPO/KT but any comments/suggestions from you are very welcome.

# Best practices for OS gateway at CERN: some initial ideas for discussion

- Validation
  - Testbenches
  - CI with or without hardware-in-the-loop testing (ongoing discussion/effort in IT, subscribe to ci4fpga-beta egroup if interested)
- HDL style guides (and tools to check)
- Design reviews
- Documentation: user manual, designer manual
- Licensing: CERN OHL v2 and the effect of each of its three variants
- Use of an issue tracking system (GitLab, Jira)
- A common repository of reusable cores at CERN or elsewhere
- Tools for automation: [HDLMake](#), [Cheby](#), [Hog...](#)
- Gateway is code. Many questions from the software case apply: [REUSE](#), inbound/outbound contributions, managing a GitHub presence...

# The evolution of <https://ohwr.org>

- Initially a place combining two functions:
  - Collaborative development
  - Window to the world for your designs
- In the future, only the latter (a catalogue)
- Take the opportunity to improve on:
  - Findability of hardware designs
  - Relationships: “this piece of hardware works with this other piece when you use this gateway and software”
  - Curation
- See new website (under development) at <https://ohwr.github.io/ohwr.org/> (sources at <https://github.com/OHWR/ohwr.org>)

# Plans for the short term

- Focus on documenting best practices for hardware and gateway in <https://ospo.docs.cern.ch/>
- ohwr.org evolution:
  - Migration strategies for current content.
  - Release of a first version of the new website.
  - Documentation.

# References

- OSHWA best practices to [share hardware designs](#)
- OSHWA best practices to [share FPGA designs](#)
- OSPO website: <https://opensource.cern/>
- OSPO documentation site: <https://ospo.docs.cern.ch/>
- Get in touch!
  - OSPO Forum: <https://ospo.web.cern.ch/>
  - OSPO Email: [Open.Source@cern.ch](mailto:Open.Source@cern.ch)