



Experimental Observation of Beam-Plasma Resonance Detuning due to Motion of Ions

M. Turner, E. Walter, L. Verra, A. Clairembaud, C. Amoedo, E. Gschwendtner, F. Pannell, G. Zevi Della Porta, J. Mezger, J. Pucek, J. Farmer, M. Bergamaschi, N. Lopes, N.Z. van Gils, N. Torrado, A. Sublet, P. Muggli, AWAKE Collaboration

Ion Motion in Plasma Wakefields



Ion motion in plasma wakefields may originate from:

- 1) Driver E-field (on itself or the witness)
- 2) Witness E-field (on itself, important for collider relevant beams)
 - \rightarrow Was proposed to be used to detune resonances
 - T. J. Mehrling, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, (2018)
 C. Benedetti, et al., Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 20, 111301 (2017)
- 3) Ponderomotive force of transverse wakefields
 - \rightarrow Important when there is many oscillation periods



Experimental Setup









3.5 m of vacuum propagation downstream the plasma exit. Approximately Gaussian in longitudinal and transverse direction





Xe A = 131, Single ionisation AWAKE



 $n_p = 3 \times 10^{11}$ protons per bunch $n_{pe} = 4.8 \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻³

- Growth along the bunch, stronger wakefields lead to stronger focusing and defocusing
- Larger focusing wakefields lead to larger divergence downstream of the plasma exit.





Ar (A=40), Single ionisation

CERN

AIVAKE



 $n_p = 3 \times 10^{11}$ protons per bunch $n_{pe} = 4.8 \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻³

- Single event measurements
- Measurements using Xenon and Argon plasma agree





He (A=4), Single ionisation





Simulation Results LCODE

Simulations performed by E. Walter

Proton bunch density propagated to the streak camera



Ponderomotive force of the wakefields causes ion motion \rightarrow more significant later in the bunch (more time and higher wakefield amplitudes)

Two ways ion motion affects the wakefields: Locally reduced ion density leads to longer oscillation period (less restoring force)

Radially varying ion density leads to radially varying restoring force

- → Decrease in wakefield amplitude due to decoherence later along the bunch
- → Hosing: also caused by the uniform focusing force from the ion column, proposed solution, detuning of resonance by ion motion



Comparison of Different Ion Species

Average of ~10 measurements and standard deviation



- Measured proton bunch density after propagation in Xenon and Argon Plasma (same n_{pe}) agree within the uncertainty
- Measurements using Helium agree for ξ < 550 ps
- Visible beam tail for $\xi > 600 \text{ ps}$
 - \rightarrow Scaling with ion mass
 - \rightarrow Effect visible with lighter ions

To experimentally change the wakefield amplitude, we can change the: 1) proton bunch population

2) plasma electron density



Charge in Beam Tail Decreases with Lower Wakefield Amplitude

Lower bunch population \rightarrow 1) lower SMI seed and 2) SMI growth rate





Beam Tail Appears with Higher Wakefield Amplitude



Double plasma electron density in Argon.

 \rightarrow higher SMI growth rate.

In this case, higher average wakefield amplitude.

→ effect of ion motion (beam tail) appears

No effect of ion motion observed when using Xenon.



Tomographic Bunch Density Measurement and 3D Reconstruction





Summary & Conclusions

- We observed a clear effect of ion motion on a bunch train
 - Appearance of a bunch tail when ion motion causes decoherence of electron motion
 - lons moved due the ponderomotive force of transverse wakefields
 - Demonstrates resonance detuning due to ion motion
- The observed effect scales with expectations from wakefield theory and simulations
 - Appears first for ions with lower mass
 - Increases with wakefield amplitude
 - Higher proton bunch population
 - Higher plasma electron density
 - Good agreement with simulation results
- Effect needs to be taken into account for any wakefield accelerator that is driven with multiple bunches of pulses
 - AWAKE Baseline uses Rubidium (A=87), no effect of ion motion expected at nominal density (7x10¹⁴/cm³)

