Update on п, K and p production in High Multiplicity pp collisions at 13 TeV using TPC and TOF detectors

<u>Navneet Kumar</u> <u>Supervisor: Dr. Lokesh Kumar</u>

Department of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh

22-11-2023







ALICE- STAR India Collaboration Meeting, 21st-24th November 2023

Work done and presented



★ Obtained the TPC+TOF corrected spectra using the High Multiplicity (HM) trigger with fine bins of multiplicity 0-0.01%, 0.01-0.05%, 0.05-0.1% for pp collisions at 13 TeV.



H CONTRACTOR



- ★ Obtained the corrected spectra for pions, kaons and protons using the TPC-TOF analysis.
- ★ Combined spectra with other analysis i.e. ITSsa and kinks is obtained.
- \star Compare the spectra with published results.



Outline



★ Motivation

- ★ ALICE detector system
- ★ Analysis details and Analysis crew
- ★ Results & discussions
- ★ Summary





- Study the thermodynamic property and explore the collective phenomena.
 - $p_{\rm T}$ spectra of the identified hadrons carry the information of radial flow, chemical and kinetic freeze-out temperature.
- The particle ratio study helps to understand the hadron chemistry of the particle production.
 - Strangeness enhancement.
- Useful in comparison among the colliding systems.
 - High-multiplicity events are of particular interest because they exhibit behaviours reminiscent with Pb-Pb collisions.
 - Hints of collectivity in small systems (pp and pA).



ALICE Detector System





Forward detector (V0): V0A (2.8< η < 5.1) & V0C (-3.7< η <-1.7) ★ Trigger, Centrality Estimator

Multiplicity/Centrality event classes definition: ★ V0M





★ Data Set : pp @ 13 TeV
★ Period: LHC16l _pass2 (58 runs) (approx 8M events)

 MC Production : Pythia8
 Period: LHC20l7c (Geant 4), anchored to LHC16l_pass2









- ITS stand alone
 - > Rajendra Nath Patra: University of Jammu (IN)
- TPC+ TOF fits:
 - Navneet Kumar, Lokesh Kumar: Deapartment of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh (IN)
- Kink topology:
 - Martha Spyropoulou-Stassinaki: Department of Physics, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens (GR)
- Coordination:
 - > Nicolo Jacazio: Universita e INFN, Bologna (IT)
 - > Ivan Ravasenga: CERN, Geneva

Analysis Region			
	<i>p</i> _T (GeV/ <i>c</i>)		
	π	К	р
ITSsa	0.1-0.75	0.2-0.6	0.3-0.7
TPC-TOF	0.6-4.0	0.6-4.0	0.7-4.0
Kinks	0.25-0.75	0.25-0.95	



Particle Identifications (PIDs)



- ★ For PIDs we use combination of both TPC and TOF detctors
- ★ In this analysis we use two dimensions fit method
- ★ For each particle $(\pi/\kappa/p)$, the $T_i = t \langle t \rangle_i$ and $X_i = \frac{dE}{dx} \langle \frac{dE}{dX} \rangle_i$ distributions are obtained.
- \star A template is included in the fit for TOF mismatch.
- ★ For Fitting we use a model where every peak in (TOF,TPC) is described as:

$$\frac{dN_{tot}^2}{dT_i dX_i} = \sum \frac{dN_j^2}{dT_i dX_i} + M_i$$

★ The best fitting parameters are found by maximizing the log likelihood of the model.









Light flavor spectra in HM pp events





*p*_T spectra of *π*, K, p for pp, 13 TeV HM classes. The ratio to MB spectra [EPJ C (2021) 81:256].
 – mass dependent hardening can be observed.







- > Multiplicity dependent p_T increases in pp system as found in Pb–Pb collisions,
- The increase is steeper with mass supports the picture of the collective evolution (radial flow)



Ratio of particles (K/ π and p/ π)





Smooth transition of ratio of the particles from pp to Pb–Pb collisions.

- → increasing trend of the K/ π ratio -> Strangeness enhancement
- \blacktriangleright Decreasing trend in the p/ π interpreted as antibaryon-baryon annihilation.

The HM results follow the smooth transition.

- \checkmark K/π and p/π ratios at pp *s* = 13 TeV HM results confirm the trend.
- Ratios at high multiplicity of small system reach results of AA systems.



Ratio of $p_{\rm T}$ **spectra of HM pp (K**/ π **and p**/ π **)**





- K/ π and p/ π compared among different multiplicity events of pp system.
- → High multiplicity results shows similar trend in the given p_T range . The ratio to V0M-X class shows an enhancement of p/π with increasing p_T similar effect to radial flow.







- \checkmark π, K and p spectra results for pp high multiplicity events presented and compared with published results and p/π compared among different multiplicity events of pp system.
- ➢ High multiplicity small system exhibits features of AA collisions.
- Radial flow effect can be observed from mass dependent p_{τ} spectra.
 - Multiplicity dependent p_{T} shows mass ordering followed in small system radial flow.
 - Hadron chemistry driven by multiplicity and not by collision energy nor system.
- ► Enhancement of p/π of p_T spectra at low p_T similar to AA system.

Outlook

•Obtain the physics results and proceed with the paper proposal.





Thank You



Backup







ALI-PREL-548294







Navneet Kumar, ALICE-STAR India Collaboration Meeting 19 22/11/2023

Corrections

- tracking efficiency = $\frac{No.of \ reconstructed \ tracks}{No.of \ generated \ tracks}$ PID efficiency = $\frac{N_{rec, PID}(p_T, \eta)}{N_{rec, tot}(p_T, \eta)}$ Z-Vertex corrections: $C_{zvtx} = \left(\frac{N_{|z|<10}^{MC}}{N_{gvtx}^{MC}}\right) / \left(\frac{N_{|z|<10}^{data}}{N_{gvtx}^{data}}\right) = 1.0029.$
 - Secondary Corrections: Data-driven procedure is used to determine the fraction of secondaries.

TOF and TPC Analysis details

- For PIDs we use both TOF and TPC detctors
- In this analysis we use two dimensions fit method
 - Major problems: The distance between the peaks in the PID signal is not fixed. (It is a function of p_{T} and η)
 - Since we are doing the 2D fits therefore corressponds to each fit we have six parameters.
 - TPC response: $T_i = t \langle t \rangle_{i_{dE}}$ TOF reponse: $X_i = \frac{dE}{dx} \langle \frac{dE}{dX} \rangle_i$ $i \in \{\pi, \kappa, p\}$

 - $\langle a \rangle_i = expected$ value of parameter a given the reconstructed momentum
 - The TPC signal is well described as:

$$\frac{dN_j}{dX_i} = \frac{N_{j,tot}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{j|i}} \exp\left(\frac{-(X_i - \mu_{j|i})}{2\sigma_{j|i}^2}\right)$$

• The TOF signal is described as:

$$\frac{dN_{j}}{dT_{i}} = \frac{N_{j,tot} A_{j|i}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{j|i}} \{ \exp(\frac{-(T_{i} - \mu_{i|j})^{2}}{2\sigma_{j|i}^{2}}) \} \qquad T_{i} < \kappa_{j|i} + \mu_{j|i} \\ \exp(-\lambda_{j|i}(T_{i} - \mu_{j|i})) + B_{j|i} \qquad T_{i} > \kappa_{j|i} + \mu_{j|i}$$

Contd ... **TOF Mismatch**

• A template is included in the fit for TOF mismatch.

C

- The template is generated by assigning a random time to each track.
 - $T_{randomhit} = fT \ 0 \ Fill \rightarrow GetRandom() to fill + currentRndTime$
- The fill time is: $t0fill = -1.26416 * 10^4 \text{ ps.}$
 - $curretRndTime = \frac{currentRndlength}{curretRndlength}$
- CurrentRndlength is the distance (straight) from the interaction point to the cluster. T0 fill



Corrections PID Efficiency (Pions)

 $\epsilon = \frac{Reconstructed tracks with PID signal}{Total number of reconstructed tracks}$ Have you check how you calculated the tracking efficiecy.



PID Efficiency (kaons and protons)



CorrectionsTOF Matching Efficiency (Pions) $\mathcal{E} =$ Correctly matched tarcks $\mathcal{E} =$ Total Number of the balance of the DDD in the balance of the DDD in the DDD in the balance of the b

[–] Total Number of tracks with PID signal



25

Secondary Corrections

Loose DCA cut on data.

$$\frac{\sqrt{x_{DCA}^2 + y_{DCA}^2}}{2.4 \text{cm}} + \frac{z_{DCA}}{3.2 \text{cm}} < 1$$

Tight DCA cut on data.

 $\sqrt{x_{DCA}^2 + y_{DCA}^2} < \left(0.0182 + \frac{0.0350}{p_T^{1.01}}\right)$ cm

To achieve the high purity of the sample we apply a strict cut on TOF and TPC as:

$$\sqrt{(n\,\sigma_{TOF})^2 + (n\,\sigma_{TPC})^2} < 1$$

The fractions of primaries after the DCA cut:

•
$$f_{\text{prim}}^{\text{tight}} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{prim}} f_{\text{prim}}^{\text{loose}}}{\alpha_{\text{prim}} f_{\text{prim}}^{\text{loose}} + \alpha_{\text{weak}} f_{\text{weak}}^{\text{loose}} + \alpha_{\text{mat}} f_{\text{mat}}^{\text{loose}}}$$

• Where $\alpha_{\text{prim}}(p_T) = \frac{N_{\text{prim}}^{\text{tight}}}{N_{\text{prim}}^{\text{loose}}}$