# SCALE SEPARATION IN EXOTIC ATOMS

# PRECISION SPECTROSCOPY AS WINDOW TO NEW PHYSICS

# **SOTIRIS PITELIS**

INSTITUT FÜR KERNPHYSIK, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz

### **SEPARATION OF SCALES ACROSS HYDROGEN-LIKE ATOMS**

The **Bohr radii** of hydrogen-like atoms vary based on the **reduced mass** of the atom:

## **ENHANCED SOFT CONTRIBUTIONS** IN THE STANDARD MODEL



• Soft contributions can break the finite-size expansion: see f.i. the light particle cut across the upper loop of the diagram in FIG 2.



This leads to **significant differences** in their atomic spectra.



FIG 1: Leading contributions to the H (left) and µH (right) Lamb Shift.

## $\simeq 1.02 \text{ MeV}$ $\pi$

FIG 2: Soft SM contribution to the proton form factor which breaks the finite-size expansion

- Breaking occurs in systems with  $Z\alpha m_r \sim 2m_e$ , like  $\mu$ H.
- Not a problem with current experimental accuracy, but...

In hydrogen-like atoms, contributions may be enhanced depending on their lightest t-channel cut compared to the scale of the Bohr radius!

> **TABLE II:** Breaking of the expansion in moments of charge distribution for the 2-loop diagram in **FIG** 2. Contribution to LS

SYSTEM	EXACT CALCULATION	EXPANSION	CURRENT EXPERIMENTAL ACCURACY
H [kHz]	4×10 <sup>-11</sup>	3×10 <sup>-11</sup>	3.2
μΗ [μeV]	-8×10 <sup>-10</sup>	3×10 <sup>-8</sup>	2.3

Each observable should be studied separately. For instance, the expansion of the HFS contribution is breaking for both H and  $\mu$ H.

#### FINITE-SIZE CONTRIBUTION: **TO EXPAND OR NOT?**

• Finite-size contribution to the 2P-2S Lamb shift in hydrogen-like systems due to the proton electric Sachs form factor  $G_E(Q^2)$ :



 $m_r$  is the reduced mass of the proton-lepton system,  $Q^2 = -q^2$  is the squared momentum transfer, and  $t_0$  is the lowest particle-production threshold in the t channel.

• Finite-size expansion:

$$E_{\rm LS}^{\rm fin.} \simeq -\frac{(Z\alpha)^4 m_r^3}{12} \left[ \langle r^2 \rangle_E - Z\alpha m_r \langle r^3 \rangle_E \right] + O(\alpha^6)$$

where  $\langle r^2 \rangle_E$  and  $\langle r^3 \rangle_E$  are the second and third moments of the proton charge distribution.

**TABLE III:** Breaking of the expansion in moments of charge
 distribution for the 2-loop diagram in FIG 2. <u>Contribution to 1S HFS</u>

SYSTEM	EXACT CALCULATION	EXPANSION	CURRENT EXPERIMENTAL ACCURACY
H [10 <sup>-6</sup> kHz]	-0.009	-0.4	2
μΗ [μeV]	-10-7	-10-5	3 (1 ppm, projected)

## NEW PHYSICS SEARCHES: **PICKING THE RIGHT TOOL FOR THE JOB**



- Different atoms are sensitive to different ranges of New Physics parameters.
  - <u>A dark matter example:</u>
- State of the art results for the Lamb shift (in µeV):
  - Mu: 4.3309(105) H: 4.37483(1) μH: 202 370.6(2.3)

• Breaks down when  $\sqrt{t_0}$  becomes comparable to  $Z\alpha m_r$ , which is the inverse Bohr radius of the system.

**TABLE I:** Inverse Bohr radii of hydrogen-like atoms

SYSTEM	Ps	Mu	Η	μH
$Z\alpha m_r$ [MeV]	1.86×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.71×10 <sup>-3</sup>	$3.73 \times 10^{-3}$	0.693

FIG 3: Sensitivity plot for a potential dark matter fermion contribution, indicating when the size of the contribution reaches the present experimental accuracy for the individual atoms

- µH is less accurately measured than Mu, but it is equally or more sensitive.
- H is measured most accurately, but  $\mu$ H is still more sensitive at higher  $m_{\chi}!$

When using hydrogen-like atoms as labs for New Physics searches, we can use the range of their Bohr radii to our

advantage!

How does this affect our

calculations?

# LIGHT NEW PHYSICS?

#### **DISCUSS!**

This work is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through the Emmy Noether Programme (grant 449369623).