NNLL Resummation in Z+jet Events with the ARES Method



Darcy Peake, Andrea Banfi, Matthew Lim

Motivation

IRC Safety and Event-shapes

Singularities arise when emissions are soft or collinear to their emitter, occurring at every order in pQCD [1], [2].

maximises the sum

IRC Safe Observable:

• Cancels soft and collinear divergences from real and virtual diagrams at every order in pQCD

Event Shapes:

The momenta of the

final-state hadrons

- A class of IRC safe observables measuring the hadronic energy flow in an event
- Designed to examine specific features of the 'shape' of a hadronic final state
- A common example which occurs in an electronpositron collisions is **thrust** [3]:

The thrust axis splits the event into two hemispheres $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ The unit vector which Shows the <u>pencil-like</u> nature of the thrust when $\tau = 0$

Resummation

Resums the

logarithmi

Fixed-order calculations of event shapes produce logarithms in the form of $L = \ln(1/v)$. As $v \ll 1$, the logarithm becomes large thus spoiling the convergent FO series in $lpha_s$. **Resummation** reorders the FO expansion, prioritising the largest powers of L opposed to the fewest powers of α_s :

$$\Sigma(v) \simeq \exp\{Lg_1(\alpha_s L) + g_2(\alpha_s L) + \alpha_s g_3(\alpha_s L) + \cdots\}$$
Resums the next-to-leading logarithmic (NLL) terms
$$Resums the next-to-leading$$

Fixed-order predictions diverge in the small observable region, unlike experimental data, which shows a local maximum and turning point.

Fixed-order calculations are inadequate here. Resummed expansion captures the downward nature of the data, aligning theory with experiment.

Note: hadronisation corrections give a rigid shift to the right



Methodology

The ARES Formalism

 $T \equiv \max \frac{\sum_i |\vec{p_i} \cdot \vec{n}|}{|\vec{p_i} \cdot \vec{n}|}$

Observables in the ARES formalism are required to be **continuously global** and **rIRC safe** [6].

The centre-of-mass energy

Continuously global: Sensitive to emissions in all regions of phase space, where the scaling of the observable with respect to the energy of the emission is the same everywhere.

 $au \equiv 1 - T$

rIRC safety: Extends IRC safety such that within a "sea" of soft and collinear emissions, the oberservable does not change significantly with a much softer or more collinear emission.

The ARES method recognises that any rIRC safe observable $_V$, with a single soft emission collinear to leg $_{\ell}$ can be parameterised as follows:

 $V(\{p\},k) \simeq V_{
m sc}(k) \equiv d_\ell \left(rac{k_t^{(\ell)}}{Q}
ight)^a e^{-b_\ell \eta^{(\ell)}} g_\ell(\phi^{(\ell)}) \,,$

where $k_{\ell}^{(\ell)}, \eta^{(\ell)}$ and $\phi^{(\ell)}$ are the transverse momentum, rapidity, and azimuthal angle, while $a, b_{\ell}, d_{\ell}, g_{\ell}$ are the observable-dependent parameters.

The NNLL Master Formula

The ARES formalism uses the idea of a 'sea' of soft and/or collinear gluons with one extra special emission to obtain the NNLL master formula [7]:



The NNLL radiator: includes all virtual corrections of soft and/or collinear origin. More information found [8]

The 'special' emission

The soft emissions

All soft and collinear emissions widely separated in angle, and their corresponding virtual corrections, build up this NLL function

The **special emission** is given by the following:

$$\delta \mathcal{F}_{\rm NNLL} = \delta \mathcal{F}_{\rm sc} + \delta \mathcal{F}_{\rm hc} + \delta \mathcal{F}_{\rm rec} + \Delta \mathcal{F}_{\rm rec} + \delta \mathcal{F}_{\rm wa} + \Delta \mathcal{F}_{\rm wa} + \delta \mathcal{F}_{\rm correl} \,,$$

where each contribution corresponds to an emission from a particular region in the phase space.

Preliminary results





These dots represent the accompanying hadrons

 $T_t \equiv rac{\sum_i |ec{q}_{t,i} \cdot ec{q}_T|}{H_T q_T} \,.$

 $\rightarrow \mathcal{T}_1 \equiv \sum_i \min\{n_1 \cdot q_i, n_2 \cdot q_i, n_3 \cdot q_i\}$

These observables have purely analytic solutions for $\delta \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{NNLL}}(\lambda)$, their general formula found in [6], [7]. Thrust minor

This is <u>not</u> an additive observable and thus we rely on semi-analytical techniques.

Numerically we use a Monte Carlo simulation of multiple soft and collinear emissions, with one "special" emission that triggers NNLL corrections. **Analytically** we use techniques such as Mellin and Laplace transforms, for example:



References

References

[1] M. D. Schwartz, Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model, Cambridge University Press, March 2014. [2] G. Luisoni and S. Marzani, "QCD resummation for hadronic final states," J. Phys. G 2015, [6] A. Banfi, H. McAslan, P. F. Monni, G. Zanderighi, "A general method for the 42, 103101.

[3] A. Banfi, Hadronic Jets: An Introduction, 2nd ed., 2016.

[4]D. Buskulic et al. [ALEPH Collaboration], Z. Phys. C 1997, 73, 409; A. Heister et al. [ALEPH accuracy," JHEP 2020, 07, 171.

Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C 2004, 35, 457.

[5] G. Luisoni, S. Marzani, Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics 2015, 42, 103101.

resummation of event-shape distributions in e+e- annihilation," JHEP 2015, 05, 102. [7] L. Arpino, A. Banfi, B. K. El-Menoufi, "Near-to-planar three-jet events at NNLL

[8] A. Banfi, B. K. El-Menoufi, P. F. Monni, "The Sudakov radiator for jet observables and the soft physical coupling," JHEP 2019, 01, 083.