

#### CaloDiT: Diffusion with transformers for fast shower simulation

Renato Cardoso<sup>1</sup>, Vijay Ekambaram<sup>3</sup>, Kristina Jaruskova<sup>1</sup>, Jayant Kalagnanam<sup>2</sup>, Nam Nguyen, <u>Piyush Raikwar<sup>1</sup></u>, Dalila Salamani<sup>1</sup>, Mudhakar Srivatsa<sup>2</sup>, Sofia Vallecorsa<sup>1</sup>, Kyongmin Yeo<sup>2</sup>, Anna Zaborowska<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CERN, Geneva, Switzerland <sup>2</sup>IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY USA <sup>3</sup>IBM Research, India

ACAT 2024 Stony Brook, Long Island NY, USA

13.03.2024



## **Motivation**

- Development of machine learning models for fast shower simulation is computationally expensive.
- Moreover, designing model for each experiment requires dedicated expertise.

Make FastSim easily available without access to ML expertise.

- 1. Generic energy scoring mesh [guide]
  - Collect energy irrespective of the detector geometry.
  - Ready to use models. (Requires training)
- 2. Generalizable ML model
  - **Train once** on very large & diverse datasets to learn rich representations.
  - Then adapt to new detectors, quickly.

# **Energy scoring**

A detector agnostic mesh is constructed to contain the largest shower.



- The size of the cells can vary across detectors according to its X<sub>0</sub> & R<sub>M</sub>, but the number of cells remains constant<sup>1</sup>.
- Explored in LHCb [poster on 14<sup>th</sup>].

## **Generative model**

High Quality

Samples

Variational Autoencoders, Normalizing Flows

Generative

Adversarial

Networks

Fast

Sampling

Denoising

Diffusion

Models

Mode

Coverage

Diversity

We use a diffusion model for higher accuracy and higher diversity.



Generative reverse denoising process

As for the architecture, we apply transformer blocks.

- A generalized architecture that works with any type of data, e.g., text, images, audio, etc.
- Models long-range dependencies (Attention mechanism).

## Model architecture

#### Conditions:

- 1. Energy (1GeV to 1TeV)
- 2. Phi (azimuthal angle) 0 to  $2\pi$
- 3. Theta 0.87 to 2.27
- 4. Geometry

#### The model:

- Diffusion steps = 400
- Embed dim = 144
- Cosine scheduler



https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.09748

### **Experiments**

- 1. Training on single geometry
  - Par04 (CaloChallenge<sup>1</sup>), simplistic cylindrical geometry
  - $\circ \qquad 1M \text{ samples}$
- 2. Joint training on multiple geometries
  - Par04 and Open Data Detector (ODD, realistic geometry)
  - 1M samples each
  - Geometry condition one hot encoding
- 3. Adaptation on FCCeeALLEGRO
  - Checkpoint from 2
  - Baseline training from scratch
  - 100K, 200K, 400K samples in each case

Note: The results are preliminary

## **1**. Training on single geometry



Par04

- Good accuracy with diffusion models
- Even cell energy

## 2. Joint training

46000

47000

48000

 $E_{dep}$ 

49000

50000

51000

•



- Ó

log10(E//MeV)

log10(E//MeV)



### Conclusion

- We present a framework for detector agnostic fastsim model, which can be easily adapted to new detectors.
- Initial results are very promising which significantly reduces the required statistics, and training time from days to just a couple of hours<sup>1</sup>.

#### Future work:

- Pretraining on more geometries.
- Improvements revolving diffusion method and model architecture.
- Faster inference via distillation.
- Testing our framework in experiments.
  - The mesh is already implemented in Gaussino and <u>DD4hep</u>.
  - $\circ \qquad \text{Work started for ATLAS}$

#### Thank you for listening! Questions?

piyush.raikwar@cern.ch



#### Transformer

- Proposed for sequence-to-sequence tasks.
- I/O is any type of sequences.
- Encoder-Decoder blocks.
- Positional embeddings.
- Attention: Dynamically focus on important parts in the input.
- Multi-headed attention.



#### **Attention in transformers**



- **Dynamically focuses on important parts** in the input.
- Helps in modelling correlations between energy deposits.

### **Transverse profiles - Par04**

Transverse profile,  $\gamma$ , Par04, 50GeV,  $\phi=0.2$ ,  $\theta=2.1$ Transverse first moment,  $\gamma$ , Par04, 50GeV,  $\phi=0.2$ ,  $\theta=2.1$ Transverse second moment,  $\gamma$ , Par04, 50GeV,  $\phi=0.2$ ,  $\theta=2.1$ -- Geant4 - Geant4 - Geant4 0.16 2.5---- Single training Single training → Single training 0.14 2.00.12 E > (MeV)0.10 Entries 1.2 80.0 Entri V 1.0 0.06 0.04  $10^{-2}$ 0.50.02 0.0 0.00 2 5 25 30 35 40 45 50 Ó 2 4 Ó. 3 4 20  $< r^2 > (mm^2)$ r(ID) < r > (mm)Transverse profile,  $\gamma$ , Par04, 50GeV,  $\phi=0.2$ ,  $\theta=2.1$ Transverse first moment,  $\gamma$ , Par04, 50GeV,  $\phi=0.2$ ,  $\theta=2.1$ Transverse second moment,  $\gamma$ , Par04, 50GeV,  $\phi=0.2$ ,  $\theta=2.1$ - Geant4 - Geant4 - Geant4 0.16 2.5 ---- Single training ---- Single training Single training Joint training Joint training Joint training 0.14 -2.00.12 E > (MeV)0.10 Entries 1.5 Entrie V 1.0 0.06 0.04  $10^{-2}$ 0.5 0.02 0.0 0.00 20 25 35 40 45 50 0 9 6 0 2 3 4 5 30  $< r^2 > (mm^2)$ r(ID)  $\langle r \rangle$  (mm)

Single geometry training

Joint training

## Joint training - ODD



Energy deposited,  $\gamma$ , ODD, 50GeV,  $\phi=0.0, \theta=1.57$ 

0.0175

